Clinical Standardized fMRI Reveals Altered Language Lateralization in Patients with Brain Tumor
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Clinical Standardized fMRI Reveals Altered Language Lateralization in Patients with Brain Tumor

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: Brain tumors affecting language-relevant areas may influence language lateralization. The purpose of this study was to systematically investigate language lateralization in brain tumor patients using clinical language fMRI, comparing the results with a group of healthy volunteers.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: Fifty-seven strictly right-handed patients with left-hemispheric-space intracranial masses (mainly neoplastic) affecting either the Broca area \( (n = 19) \) or Wernicke area \( (n = 38) \) were prospectively enrolled in this study. Fourteen healthy volunteers served as a control group. Standardized clinical language fMRI, using visually triggered sentence- and word-generation paradigms, was performed on a 1.5T MR scanner. Semiautomated analyses of all functional data were conducted on an individual basis using BrainVoyager. A regional lateralization index was calculated for Broca and Wernicke areas separately versus their corresponding right-hemisphere homologs.

RESULTS: In masses affecting the Broca area, a significant decrease in the lateralization index was found when performing word generation \( (P = .0017) \), whereas when applying sentence generation, the decrease did not reach statistical significance \( (P = .851) \). Masses affecting the Wernicke area induced a significant decrease of the lateralization index when performing sentence generation \( (P = .0007) \), whereas when applying word generation, the decrease was not statistically significant \( (P = .310) \).

CONCLUSIONS: Clinical language fMRI was feasible for patients with brain tumors and provided relevant presurgical information by localizing essential language areas and determining language dominance. A significant effect of the brain masses on language lateralization was observed, with a shift toward the contralesional, nondominant hemisphere. This may reflect compensatory mechanisms of the brain to maintain communicative abilities.

ABBREVIATIONS: BOLD = blood oxygen level–dependent; BR = Broca right; HRF = hemodynamic response function; LI = lateralization index; SG = sentence generation; WG = word generation; WHO = World Health Organization; WR = Wernicke right.
in patients with brain tumors by performing fMRI, focusing predominantly on the motor cortex.\textsuperscript{18–21} To our knowledge, no fMRI language investigation exists that analyzes language lateralization in a larger and clinically representative cohort of patients with brain tumors affecting the Broca and Wernicke areas. However, brain plasticity, as expressed by alterations in language lateralization, seems to play a major role in compensating for speech deficits in the case of long-standing and growing lesions, such as brain tumors, whereas adaptation does not occur within a short timeframe, such as in the case of a single catastrophic case of brain damage, like massive stroke.\textsuperscript{22–24} These alterations in cortical language networks can be investigated noninvasively using clinical fMRI, through determination of the dominant language hemisphere by calculating lateralization indices for the corresponding language-relevant brain areas.\textsuperscript{25–28} Compared with the invasive Wada test for assessing language lateralization, fMRI demonstrated high sensitivity and specificity in a meta-analysis.\textsuperscript{2} Previous research has found 2 different types of cortical reorganization as a response to a brain lesion: ipsilateral perilesional cortical reorganization or a shift of the dominant hemisphere to the contralateral side.

In light of the latter observation, the purpose of this study was to systematically analyze presurgical fMRI language lateralization in a large cohort of strictly right-handed patients with left hemispheric brain tumors either affecting the Broca language area or Wernicke language area, and to compare language lateralization in patients with reference data obtained in healthy volunteers. With this study, we aimed to assess the effects of space-occupying brain masses on BOLD fMRI language lateralization in a typical clinical setting.

The clinical standard fMRI protocol included 2 language paradigms: SG and WG, which have been previously established in healthy volunteers and validated against invasive reference procedures in patients with brain tumor.\textsuperscript{25,29}

**Materials and Methods**

The study was approved by the local ethics commission and was in line with the Helsinki Declaration of Human Rights. All patients participated in the study after written informed consent.

**Study Population**

Over a period of 4 years, all consecutive patients with brain tumors at the University Hospital of Heidelberg were investigated in a prospective study design with conventional MR imaging. If a space-occupying mass was detected within a critical distance of anatomy homologs of Broca (inferior frontal gyrus) or Wernicke (superior temporal gyrus, supramarginal gyrus, angular gyrus) areas in the left hemisphere, language fMRI was performed. Critical distance was defined as tumor-related T1-signal alteration, with or without contrast enhancement, in the following anatomic structures: the left inferior frontal gyrus, the dorsal aspect of the left superior temporal gyrus, the left supramarginal gyrus, and/or the adjacent angular gyrus. In extra-axial masses, direct displacement and/or compression of these anatomic structures by the tumor were considered as critical.

Handedness was assessed in each patient through a modified questionnaire, following that outlined by Annett,\textsuperscript{30} and only strictly right-handed patients were included in this study. Fifty-seven patients were enrolled in this fMRI study (32 men and 25 women; mean age, 44.3 years). Among these 57 patients, 38 patients had space-occupying masses (28 neoplastic and 10 non-neoplastic) affecting the Wernicke area and 19 had space-occupying masses (17 neoplastic and 2 non-neoplastic) affecting the Broca area. The histologically confirmed diagnoses included 11 cases of oligodendroglioma (3 affecting Wernicke area and 8 affecting Broca area), 12 cases of low-grade glioma (7 affecting Wernicke area and 5 affecting Broca area), 13 cases of high-grade glioma (11 affecting Wernicke area and 2 affecting Broca area), 6 cases of cavernoma (5 affecting Wernicke area and 1 affecting Broca area), 4 metastases (3 affecting Wernicke area and 1 affecting Broca area), 4 tumors with unknown entity (3 affecting Wernicke area and 1 affecting Broca area), 2 meningiomas (as extra-axial tumors, both affecting Wernicke area), 1 abscess (affecting Wernicke area), 1 cortical dysplasia (affecting Wernicke area), 1 gliosarcoma (affecting Broca area), 1 malignant pleomorphic cellular tumor (affecting Wernicke area), and 1 nonspecific glial proliferation (affecting Wernicke area). Clinically, 23 of the 57 patients suffered from aphasic symptoms, but none of these patients were globally aphasic. Each patient enrolled was able to perform the fMRI paradigms. Fourteen healthy right-handed volunteers (7 men and 7 women) between 21 and 47 years of age (mean age, 27 years) served as a control group for this study, as published previously.\textsuperscript{31} Before language fMRI, volunteers underwent structural MR imaging without contrast administration to exclude occult neurologic disease. Baseline characteristics of the patients and volunteers are provided in the Table.

**Baseline characteristics of the control (healthy volunteers) and patient groups**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Healthy volunteers (n = 14)</th>
<th>Patient population (n = 57)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years (± SD)</td>
<td>26 ± 5</td>
<td>44 ± 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex (n [%])</td>
<td>7 (50%)</td>
<td>32 (56%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor localization (n [%])</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affecting Broca area</td>
<td>19 (33%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affecting Wernicke area</td>
<td>38 (67%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO tumor grade (n [%])</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>9 (16%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>12 (21%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>4 (4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>12 (21%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No WHO grade</td>
<td>18 (28%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No histology available</td>
<td>4 (7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:—For tumor data, n = 57.
superimposition on fMRI, with 135 transverse sections and section thickness of 1.3 mm in patients undergoing neuronavigation, and 120 sagittal sections and section thickness of 1.5 mm in all other patients and volunteers. In the patients, a contrast agent (gadopentetate dimeglumine [Magnevist; Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Wayne, New Jersey] or gadodiamide [Omniscan; GE Healthcare, Piscataway, New Jersey]) was administered at 0.2 mL per kilogram of body weight.

BOLD fMRI was performed according to a previously published block design protocol in SG and WG tasks to evaluate language system.25 This established presurgical language fMRI protocol was validated previously against the Wada test and intraoperative electrocorticography.25 There is also broad evidence that language fMRI is very sensitive and specific for language lateralization, even when using different paradigms and different experimental setups, as described in a recently published review.2 Therefore, it was deemed unnecessary to perform further invasive measures for this study, given the relevant risks for the patients. For the SG paradigm, patients were shown pictures with comic-like figures and were asked to repeat (silently, in their mind) a short standardized sentence that had been practiced with them before the scan (Fig 1). Twelve pictures existed, with 12 standard sentences. The duration of the stimulation phase in SGs was modulated on the achievement and cognitive ability of patients; 1 picture was shown for 2 seconds as a standard, but the presentation time was individually adapted (3 or 6 seconds) to compensate for tumor-related linguistic or other cognitive deficits, when required.32 In this way, it was feasible to use the task in all patients in the same way, including those with aphasic symptoms. In the WG paradigm, the patients were presented words of different categories and had to repeat as many items as possible, matching the category within 6 or 9 seconds (depending on cognitive ability). Both paradigms were practiced under supervision of the investigator before fMRI measurements and adapted, if required. The order of the 12 pictures (SG) or words (WG) was randomly chosen by computer and without direct repetitions (pseudorandomized) during the fMRI investigation. The BOLD fMRI protocol in both task types consisted of 1 offset (9 seconds for elimination of T1*-weighted effects), and 5 baseline phases (each phase lasting 18 seconds), alternating with 4 stimulation phases (each phase lasting 36 seconds). Therefore, each fMRI measurement lasted 243 seconds. Myopia and hyperopia could be corrected with special nonmagnetic mirror glasses, if needed. This protocol was established and developed in the University Hospital of Heidelberg Department of Neuroradiology.29

**Standardized Analysis of Individual fMRI Data**

Data processing software for fMRI was BrainVoyager (Brain Innovation B.V., Maastricht, Netherlands). Standardized processing included correction of motion artifacts, spatial-temporal smoothing, voxelwise linear correlation calculation for significant BOLD signal activation using an HRF, and correction for multiple comparisons. The HRF reflects delay of hemodynamic responses for detecting neural activity. As a result of the calculation, the correlation coefficient (\( r^2 \)) was achieved as a parameter for quality of BOLD fMRI signals, and the parameter “\( \Delta S\% \)” was used as a measure of the relative BOLD-signal change within the activated BOLD cluster. Data processing was fully automated except for superimposition of conventional sequences on functional MR imaging. The tumor-affected structures of the brain could be determined in T1*-weighted 3D MR images by identification of anatomic landmarks.33,34 To detect these landmarks, coronal, sagittal, and transverse views of the 3D dataset were evaluated. Four ROIs were placed where fMRI analysis was to be performed: the Broca area in the left inferior frontal gyrus (Brodmann areas 44/45) and the Wernicke area in the left superior temporal gyrus (Brodmann area 22), with adjacent regions, including superior temporal sulcus, the middle temporal gyrus (Brodmann area 21, 22), the supramarginal gyrus (Brodmann area 40), and/or the angular gyrus (Brodmann area 39). In the right hemisphere, the ROIs were named BR and WR, according to their corresponding anatomic homologs. BOLD fMRI was analyzed individually after superimposing functional and 3D anatomic MR imaging for each patient and paradigm separately. The procedure was standardized as previously described in literature.25-29 The empirically minimal-proved cluster size of 36 mm\(^3\) was used as a standard. The cluster size of 36 mm\(^3\) has been our evaluation standard since 1997, and all reference data were established using this standard. This cluster size is small enough so as to not significantly obscure anatomic detail in the images, allowing the best possible determination of the exact anatomic correlate of the center of gravity of each activated BOLD cluster on 3D overlays of functional maps on structural images. On the other hand, the cluster size was large enough to reduce the number of very small activations (noise of single voxels) and was therefore a practical way of reducing false-positives. At first, a very high statistical threshold value for the correlation coefficient \( r \) to the applied HRF was chosen, resulting in no display of functional activity in the human brain (empty map). The initial maximum statistical threshold was set to \( r = 1.0 \), resulting in an empty map, as only 100% perfect correlations of measured BOLD-signal time courses and applied hemodynamic reference functions would be displayed in the activation maps, which is never the case in a biologic system. From this maximum threshold, a continuous reduction was performed in steps of \( r = 0.02 \). The statistical threshold value was reduced continuously until the activity with the highest correlation to HRF was displayed first. By further reducing the threshold value, the other activated areas were delineated in hierarchical order. This procedure was continued until activation in all previously defined ROIs (Broca, Wernicke, BR, WR) was identified, and thus all BOLD-signal time courses were analyzed individually under standardized conditions. As a lower limit, \( r > 0.4 \) was defined for each
region of interest. This was necessary to avoid mixing BOLD signals with background noise. If no BOLD signal in a region of interest was detected above this lower limit, it was defined as no activation. BOLD signals with a relative change above 5% were not included in the evaluation because such high-level activations most likely originate from draining veins rather than from capillaries.

To find the speech-dominant hemisphere, the local LI was calculated according to the established formula, $LI = \frac{\text{left hemisphere}}{\text{right hemisphere}} - \frac{\text{left hemisphere}}{\text{right hemisphere}}$, for Broca and Wernicke areas versus their right hemisphere homologs (Broca/BR and Wernicke/WR) separately. The values in the formula are number of activated voxels at the threshold of the peak activation of the nondominant hemisphere.

### Statistical Analysis

The Mann-Whitney U test was used to analyze the statistical significance of differences in LI between patients and healthy volunteers separately for localization (Broca/BR and Wernicke/WR) and paradigm (SG and WG). Graphics were made as box-and-whisker plots. Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS software, version 11.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois).

### Results

#### Lateralization of Language in Broca Area

Fig 2A shows a case of a 62-year-old patient with a left frontal astrocytoma (WHO grade II). The patient was right-handed. fMRI using the WG paradigm revealed language lateralization of the Broca area to the right side (LI Broca/BR = −0.85). No language or speech pathologies existed in this patient.

The WG task for Broca/BR revealed a median LI value of −0.215, with a standard deviation of 0.768. Mean value was 0.010. A significant difference in LI of Broca/BR between the 2 groups (healthy volunteers: median value, 0.970; standard deviation, 0.367; mean value 0.825) could be detected ($P = .017$) (Fig 3A).

Performing SG in patients with brain tumor for Broca/BR, a median LI of 0.840, with a standard deviation of 0.549, was detected for the same paradigm. The mean value was 0.573. In this paradigm, the lower LI in patients compared with healthy persons (median value, 0.860; standard deviation, 0.436; mean LI, 0.644) was not significant ($P = .851$).

#### Lateralization of Language in Wernicke Area

Fig 2B shows fMRI data of a 47-year-old right-handed patient with a left parietotemporal astrocytoma (WHO grade II). The patient suffered from focal seizures and anosmia. SG paradigm revealed only residual functional activity on the left side and substantial lateralization to the right anatomic homolog (LI Wernicke/WR = 0.84).

SG in the investigated patient group for Wernicke/WR revealed a calculated median value of 0.500, with a standard deviation of 0.644 and a mean value of 0.232. For this paradigm, a highly significant difference in the patient versus control group (median value, 0.960; standard deviation, 0.717; mean value, 0.653) was found ($P = .007$) (Fig 3B). For WG, the LI in the patient group for Wernicke/WR had a median value of 0.475, with a standard deviation of 0.592. The mean value was 0.376. A decrease in LI in the patient group compared with healthy volunteers (median value, 0.800; standard deviation, 0.547; mean value, 0.578) was observed, but this reduction was not significant ($P = .310$).

### Discussion

Our results demonstrate robust feasibility of performing clinical language fMRI in patients with brain tumor, in particular for determining language lateralization through calculation of the local LI of Broca/BR and Wernicke/WR, respectively. Moreover, clinical fMRI provided evidence that patients with space-occupying brain masses in the language-dominant hemisphere show a significant amount of lateralization of...
the Broca and Wernicke areas to the contralateral unaffected anatomic homologs of the corresponding language areas. In an fMRI and intraoperative electrical brain stimulation study with 77 patients with low-grade glioma, spatiotemporal functional reorganization was studied descriptively.22 The absence of presurgical aphasic symptoms was explained by compensation through perilesional brain areas rather than a shift of the dominant hemisphere to the contralateral site. These perilesional brain areas were partially infiltrated by the neoplasm but were nevertheless functionally active. fMRI has been used to show the compensation of language function of the left insula by adjacent brain areas.36 A longitudinal case study comparing pre- and postsurgical language lateralization in 2 patients demonstrated a shift of the dominant hemisphere to the opposite side after neurosurgery.22,23 In other studies using different imaging modalities, authors have assumed that cortical reorganization is a step-by-step process, with short-term recruitment of perilesional areas and long-term recruitment of more distant areas within the dominant or contralateral hemisphere.22,38-40 Our study supports the hypothesis that cortical reorganization impacts language lateralization, with a possible shift toward the contralateral nondominant hemisphere.

Presurgical fMRI for language localization has been validated with former standard invasive methods like electrocorticography or Wada testing.5,8,41-44 Because of the lack of standardized experimental procedures, data analysis, and interpretation, the correlation of fMRI with standard methods was inconsistent in these studies. In our current trial, we used a previously validated and standardized protocol for performing the fMRI paradigms (WG and SG), MR data acquisition, data processing, and analysis. This method provided a technical success rate of 100% and a lateralization success rate of 98% for both paradigms.25 The use of multiple paradigms in clinical language fMRI is recommended to allow for different linguistic preferences and to increase the number of successful measurements and reproducibility of the fMRI results before brain surgery. A variety of different paradigms for performing clinical language fMRI are currently under investigation.45-47 Both paradigms applied in this study have been optimized and established in volunteers, have been in clinical use in a standardized way since 1998, and have been validated against invasive reference procedures (intraoperative electrocorticography and Wada testing), rendering further validations unnecessary.25,29 For this investigation, SG and WG paradigms were applied in a covert manner. This reduced motion, as well as magnetic, susceptibility artifacts (which are worse when performing overt tasks in clinical fMRI).48,49 Moreover, for determination of language lateralization, covert paradigms have proved better suitability, whereas overt paradigms are of limited diagnostic value for revealing the language-dominant hemisphere.31 Regarding this study in the Broca area, the WG paradigm led to the detection of a higher lateralization rate, whereas in the Wernicke area, SG resulted in a higher lateralization rate. The higher sensitivity for lateralization assessment of the Broca area by WG and Wernicke area by SG in our clinical fMRI protocol may be related to different linguistic content and semantic processing of the different paradigms. The fMRI results from SG and WG are confirmatory regarding language localization and synergistic regarding language lateralization.31 Different methods for calculating LI have been used for determination of language dominance by fMRI.50 For this study, we used calculations of a regional LI for the region of interest pairs Broca/BR and Wernicke/WR to achieve reliable and more detailed information compared with a global LI calculation. Furthermore, for the determination of language dominance, it has been shown that regional LI correlates better with the results of Wada testing compared with global LI, which is based on voxel counts of the complete hemisphere.51

To date, literature regarding language lateralization in brain tumor patients is sparse. Different authors analyzed language lateralization after single or multiple brain resections.22,23,52 Those studies led to the hypothesis that neuronal plasticity occurs predominantly in slow-growing neoplasms or after repetitive limited surgical resections.24,37 However, no study has been performed to evaluate language lateralization in a larger cohort of patients with brain tumor before neurosurgery. Taken together, our results indicate that brain tumors affecting the Broca or Wernicke areas have an impact on language lateralization. This might be part of a compensatory mechanism possibly allowing preservation of speech in these patients.

This noninvasively gained information adds to a purely clinical assessment of speech deficits in patients with brain
tumor and is valuable in the diagnostic work-up. In addition, it has the potential to alter presurgical planning, as the extent of surgery may be adjusted to the amount of functional adaptations regarding eloquent brain areas. To prove this, further studies using intraoperative validation of neuropsychiatric changes, as indicated by fMRI, are warranted. Furthermore, these neuropsychiatric changes delineated by clinical language fMRI should be analyzed in terms of reorganization patterns offering therapeutic implications as well as prognostic information.

A limitation of this study was the heterogeneous patient population, which included different types of space-occupying masses. The rationale of this study was to assess the impact of intracranial space-occupying masses on language lateralization using standardized fMRI in a realistic clinical scenario. Therefore, the study population reflected a typical mixture of admissions to a major university hospital. Furthermore it should be considered that presurgical language fMRI is an evolving, though not yet clinically established, imaging approach. The primary aim of our study was to analyze a large number of patients with brain tumors to investigate language lateralization; therefore, we included all consecutive right-handed patients with left hemispheric brain tumors affecting the Broca and Wernicke areas, independent of the WHO grade. A cohort large enough to enable statistical comparisons between different entities of brain tumors would require a large multicenter trial.

In this study, we did not address local language reorganization in other functional brain areas surrounding the space-occupying masses or in secondary functional areas, which represents an additional limitation of our work. The rationale to set our focus on essential language centers and their anatomic homologs in the right hemisphere was driven by clinical relevance. The most important reason for presurgical language fMRI is to preserve essential language areas, namely, Broca and Wernicke areas, during surgery. Surgical damage to other language-related functional brain areas usually does not cause severe language deficits. Furthermore, it should be considered that the brain activation pattern is heavily dependent on the language paradigms applied and therefore differs dramatically when looking at cortical language networks as a whole. The clinical information that can be derived from differences in the brain activation pattern as a whole is still unclear; therefore, this information cannot be applied in a strict sense for presurgical fMRI. This topic may be addressed in future work on language network reorganization in the case of brain tumors.

Conclusions
Standardized clinical fMRI enabled robust localization of Broca and Wernicke language areas, and their anatomic homologs in the right hemisphere, in patients with brain tumors affecting those essential language centers. The intracranial space-occupying masses exhibited statistically significant effects on language lateralization by a shift of activation toward the unaffected right hemisphere. This may be related to compensatory mechanisms of the human brain and to maintaining communicative abilities.
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