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Wetertight closure of the dura is a primary concern in the management of intradural spine tumors. Although Harvey Cushing asserted that “an accurate approximation of the dura in its two layers is desirable,” in the modern era, a single-layered dural closure with suture is the standard of care. To further reduce the chance of leakage, numerous adjunctive dural sealants have emerged in the last several years. By being applied to the suture line following primary repair, these substances are designed to bond with the tissue and fill small gaps in the closure, thus preventing leakage of CSF through the repair site.

Three such substances are commonly used in our practice. Fibrin glue (TISSEEL; Baxter BioSurgery, Deerfield, Illinois) has been used as a dural sealant in both cranial and spinal cases. It relies on a solution of fibrinogen, aprotinin, and clotting factors. When mixed with a thrombin counterpart, the fibrin cross-links, causing coagulation and binding to surrounding tissues. The glue is subsequently degraded by natural fibrinolysis during several weeks. Hydrogel (DuraSeal; Covidien, Dublin, Ireland) is a compound based on polyethylene glycol and trilysine, a small amino acid. When trilysine is mixed with polyethylene glycol, the substances cross-link almost instantly, forming a flexible layer that adheres to surrounding tissues. It also has been shown effective in non-autologous dural repairs. The PEGH is subsequently broken down by hydrolysis during 4–8 weeks, and the byproducts are renally cleared. The BSAG polymer (BioGlue; CryoLife, Atlanta, Georgia) is primarily used in cardiovascular applications as a hemostatic and structural reinforcing agent along suture lines. It has been used in neurosurgical procedures to minimize the risk of cerebrospinal leak after dural closure and in sellar reconstruction following transphenoidal surgery. BSAG is composed of a highly cross-linked protein polymer and takes several months to degrade, much longer than the other 2 water-based compounds.

Both fibrin glue and PEGH have a high water content and thus have characteristics similar to CSF or reactive inflammation on MR imaging. Furthermore, they are applied over the dural repair, exactly where a postoperative CSF collection or infection may be expected to form. In patients treated with fibrin glue or PEGH, MR images obtained within the first few weeks of surgery to assess postoperative pseudomeningocele are difficult to interpret. The misinterpretation of dural sealant as a pseudomeningocele may therefore result in unnecessary lengthening of the hospital stay, invasive CSF diversion procedures, and reoperation. BSAG, on the other hand, is not water-based and should thus be distinguishable from CSF with postoperative MR imaging.

This study aims to characterize the appearance of fibrin glue, PEGH, and BSAG on MR imaging in the postoperative setting. One study has examined the radiographic appearance of PEGH in a canine model; however, to our knowledge, there is no existing comparison of MR imaging appearance in patients in the immediate postoperative timeframe. The analysis will seek to describe the signal characteristics of these 3 sealants under MR imaging and to establish criteria for distinguishing appropriately placed dural sealants from pseudomeningocele.
Materials and Methods

Patient Population
We analyzed data collected from 15 patients undergoing spinal surgery for removal of intradural lesions at our medical center. All patients had fibrin glue, PEGH, or BSAG applied to the dural repair during closure. Patients who had sealant applied after repair of incidental dural tears were excluded from the study because the closure of unintentional dural rents is often imperfect and CSF leakage through the repair cannot be definitely excluded. Patients who underwent nonroutine MR imaging for new neurologic deficits or other unexpected symptoms were excluded as well.

The study was conducted under institutional review board approval (reference number 10-00271).

Data Collection
Data for these patients were collected retrospectively via chart review. Hospital and clinic records were reviewed to extract data pertaining to patient age, dates of surgery, intraoperative technique, and dates of routine follow-up imaging. MR images were included in the analysis if they occurred within 3 days of surgery and were ordered as routine studies. Postoperative courses were reviewed. To ensure that measurements of signal intensity in the extradural region on postoperative MRI were due to sealant and not to CSF, we excluded patients if clinical evidence of spinal fluid leak or pseudomeningocele was documented as a complication. Pathology reports were reviewed for any intraoperative specimens.

The final reports of the included MR images were reviewed, and all information involving imaging techniques and sequences performed was noted. A 3D volumetric analysis was conducted in a blinded fashion by the lead author (P.E.T.) under the oversight of a board-certified neuroradiologist (P.V.M.). Manual segmentation was performed with region-of-interest analysis to measure the volume (in cubic centimeters) of sealant by using a PACS workstation. Signal characteristics within the ROIs were quantified. CSF signal intensity was then measured in 5 randomly selected areas for each series. Region-of-interest intensity was then normalized as follows: mean region-of-interest intensity/mean CSF intensity. Determination of volumes was made without consideration of clinical outcome.

Statistical Analysis
Normalized sealant intensities were analyzed as continuous variables. Comparison of normalized sealant intensities between groups and MR images was conducted by using 2-way ANOVA. Post hoc analysis of subsets was conducted by using a Bonferroni correction.

Results
Fifteen patients were identified who fit the inclusion criteria, 5 each who received fibrin glue, PEGH, and BSAG. The patient demographics and clinical characteristics as well as pathologic diagnoses are summarized in the Table.

Imaging techniques were largely similar throughout the patient population. MR imaging was performed by using a 1.5T magnet. The protocol typically included the following sequences: 1) T1-weighted spin-echo unenhanced, 2) T1-weighted spin-echo PGFS, and 3) T2-weighted FSE. Additional sequences were evaluated if available.

A total of 15 imaging studies were reviewed. Representative sections of the T1, T1 PGFS, and T2 FSE scans are depicted in Figs 1–3. On the initial postoperative scan, the mean signal intensity for voxels corresponding to fibrin glue standardized to intensity of CSF was 1.15 on T1, 0.98 on T1 PGFS, and 0.94 on T2 FSE. The mean signal intensity for voxels corresponding to PEGH standardized to CSF was 1.36 on T1, 1.44 on T1 PGFS, and 1.68 on T2 FSE. The mean standardized signal intensity for voxels corresponding to BSAG was 2.12 on T1, 1.70 on T1 PGFS, and 0.13 on T2 FSE. While there was no signifi-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (yr)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Sealant</th>
<th>Pathologic Diagnosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>T11–L1</td>
<td>PEGH</td>
<td>Schwannoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>T4–7</td>
<td>Fibrin glue</td>
<td>Schwannoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>S1</td>
<td>PEGH</td>
<td>Ependymoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>C4–6</td>
<td>BSAG</td>
<td>Meningioma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>L2–3</td>
<td>BSAG</td>
<td>Schwannoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L1</td>
<td>Fibrin glue</td>
<td>Ependymoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>T12–L1</td>
<td>PEGH</td>
<td>Ependymoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>L4–5</td>
<td>PEGH</td>
<td>Ependymoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>T4–8</td>
<td>Fibrin glue</td>
<td>Meningioma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>L1–3</td>
<td>BSAG</td>
<td>Ependymoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>T12–L1</td>
<td>BSAG</td>
<td>Schwannoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L3</td>
<td>BSAG</td>
<td>Ependymoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>C12</td>
<td>Fibrin glue</td>
<td>Schwannoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>T8–12</td>
<td>PEGH</td>
<td>Ependymoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L23</td>
<td>Fibrin glue</td>
<td>Schwannoma</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig 1. MR images of the lumbar spine after fibrin glue application. T1 (A), T1 PGFS (B), and T2 FSE (C) weighted sequences are depicted. Arrows depict the rostral and caudal limits of the region of application.
cant difference in intensity characteristics between fibrin glue and PEGH ($P < .74$), both of these substances demonstrated significantly different characteristics from BSAG ($P < .01$). Additionally, PEGH demonstrated a weak statistical trend toward hyperintensity on T2 FSE compared with CSF ($P < .17$). These signal characteristics are summarized in Fig 4.

The median volume of fibrin glue applied, as calculated by region-of-interest measurement on the initial postoperative scan over T1, T1 PGFS, and T2 FSE sequences, was 1.86 cm$^3$. Similarly, the median volume of PEGH was 2.81 cm$^3$, while BSAG had a median volume of 1.30 cm$^3$ (Fig 5). No significant difference was found among these 3 groups, indicating that the dural sealants were each used in relatively equal quantities.

**Discussion**

Data suggest that the usage of a dural sealant may reduce the rates of CSF leakage, spinal headache, and pseudomeningocele formation after an intradural procedure.$^{10-13}$ All 3 of the sealants in this study use basic biologic chemistry to accomplish their task. As such, they have similar properties to natural tissue. Fibrin glue and PEGH have a high water content and are readily absorbed by the body with time. The third, BSAG, has much lower water content and may take years to fully absorb. Because of these characteristics, however, both fibrin glue and PEGH are difficult to distinguish from CSF on MR imaging. This analysis has demonstrated that with conventional T1- and T2-weighted techniques, neither fibrin glue nor PEGH may be reliably differentiated from each other or from CSF, though a statistical trend toward T2-weighted hyperin-
tensity compared with CSF is likely. Given that they are applied directly to the dura, they paradoxically mimic pseudomeningocele. In the clinical setting of a postoperative spine patient with vague symptoms and an MR image consistent with epidural pseudomeningocele, it is possible that misinterpretation of these sealants can lead to additional (and unnecessary) work-up and treatment.

The radiologists and neuroradiologists who read the scans of postoperative spine patients must therefore be given the necessary information to identify these sealants when used. By communicating their usage to the relevant radiologist, the surgeon can ensure that final radiology reports include the dural sealant within the differential of an epidural fluid collection.

Conclusions

Fibrin glue, PEGH, and BSAG are commonly used adjuncts in establishing a watertight closure following an intradural procedure. The imaging characteristics of fibrin glue and PEGH make them functionally indistinguishable from CSF on standard T1, T1 PGFS, and T2 FSE MR imaging sequences. BSAG is identifiable as low signal intensity compared with CSF on the T2 FSE sequence. Specific communication with the radiologist or neuroradiologist regarding the location and quantity of sealant used can increase the chance of correctly identifying the etiology of epidural collections.
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Fig 5. The volume of dural sealant applied as measured by postoperative MR imaging.