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Intracranial aneurysms are pathologic outpouchings of the arterial walls. An estimated 5%–8% of the general population harbors intracranial aneurysms, though the exact prevalence is unknown because most are asymptomatic. Aneurysm rupture is the most common cause of nontraumatic subarachnoid hemorrhage, a devastating event that carries high rates of mortality, morbidity, and disability, as well as high health care costs. Despite significant improvement in the clinical care of patients with subarachnoid hemorrhage, one-quarter still die, while roughly half of the survivors live with persistent neurologic deficits. The estimated annual cost for hospitalized patients with unruptured intracranial aneurysms in the United States is $522,500,000, and $1,755,600,000 for patients with subarachnoid hemorrhage. Recent advancements and increased use of neurovascular imaging have augmented detection of asymptomatic unruptured intracranial aneurysms, amplifying pressure on clinicians to decide which unruptured aneurysms to treat. This decision is not taken lightly because an overwhelming majority of intracranial aneurysms will not rupture, while both endovascular and microsurgical treatments carry the risk of associated morbidity and mortality. Consequently, there is a real need for objective aneurysm rupture risk assessments that could reliably predict those at highest risk and subsequently select only them for intervention.

To this end, investigators have tried to identify aneurysmal characteristics that are associated with intracranial aneurysm growth and rupture. Hemodynamics is one of most widely accepted factors contributing to aneurysm pathophysiology, playing a fundamental role in the mechanisms of initiation, growth, and rupture. Recent studies using image-based computational fluid dynamics (CFD) modeling and statistical analyses have identified connections between the hemodynamic properties of intracranial aneurysms and the likelihood of their growth and rupture. Such findings have highlighted the exciting possibility that aneurysmal hemodynamics may provide objective metrics to improve rupture risk stratification.

The “High-versus-Low WSS” Controversy
A number of engineering and computational researchers have published CFD studies associating specific hemodynamic parameters with intracranial aneurysm growth and rupture.
clinicians welcome such effort, the growing number of proposed parameters remains inconsistent and confusing. The most highlighted and controversial parameter has been wall shear stress (WSS), the frictional force exerted by the flowing blood tangentially on the vessel lumen. Both high and low aneurysmal WSS have been separately correlated with intracranial aneurysm growth and rupture. This controversy is highlighted by findings presented in Fig 1.

Presently, it is unclear whether the “high-versus-low WSS” controversy stems purely from study limitations, such as skewness due to small sample sizes, inconsistent parameter definitions, flawed experimental design, variability in assumptions and compromises in CFD, or from the inherent complexity and heterogeneity of intracranial aneurysm growth and rupture. This controversy is highlighted by findings presented in Fig 1.

Currently, it is unclear whether the “high-versus-low WSS” controversy stems purely from study limitations, such as skewness due to small sample sizes, inconsistent parameter definitions, flawed experimental design, variability in assumptions and compromises in CFD, or from the inherent complexity and heterogeneity of intracranial aneurysm growth and rupture. This controversy is highlighted by findings presented in Fig 1.

At present, it is unclear whether the “high-versus-low WSS” controversy stems purely from study limitations, such as skewness due to small sample sizes, inconsistent parameter definitions, flawed experimental design, variability in assumptions and compromises in CFD, or from the inherent complexity and heterogeneity of intracranial aneurysm growth and rupture. This controversy is highlighted by findings presented in Fig 1.

Relationship between Hemodynamics and Aneurysm Development

Aneurysm Development Is a 3-Way Interactive Process Driven by Hemodynamics. In clinical practice, aneurysmal geometry (especially size and aspect ratio) has been the principal parameter used to gauge the rupture likelihood of intracranial aneurysms. However, hemodynamics provides mechanical triggers that are transduced into biologic signals leading to this geometric evolution. Aneurysmal geometry and hemodynamics are mutually causal: Geometry instantaneously determines flow conditions, while flow drives aneurysm remodeling/growth through pathobiology, thereby determining future geometry (ie, enlargement and shape change). As this process continues, an intracranial aneurysm will either grow until homeostasis (stability) is reached or until its wall strength can no longer withstand the hemodynamic stress, in which case rupture occurs. This is best illuminated by a triangular relationship among geometry, flow, and pathobiology (Fig 2A).

As illustrated in Fig 2A, hemodynamics interacts with the aneurysm wall through blood flow (WSS and blood pressure). Pressure elicits tensile stresses in the wall, which are felt by vascular mural cells, namely smooth muscle cells and fibroblasts. Under unbalanced stresses, these mural cells can regulate collagen dynamics by cross-linking and synthesizing new collagen and degrading old collagen. Meanwhile, endothelial cells lining the vessel lumen sense changes in WSS from blood flow and transduce these mechanical signals into biologic signals, activating pathways to maintain vascular homeostasis. Through endothelial cell-mediated biology, WSS not only regulates vascular tone but also drives vascular remodeling under sustained deviations from physiologic baselines. Pathologically high or low WSS and certain spatiotemporal patterns of its variation can potentiate endothelial cells to pathologic responses and aberrant functions. Presently, it is known that abnormal WSS drives endothelium-mediated proinflammatory responses, matrix metalloproteinase activation, cell death, extracellular matrix (ECM) degradation, and vascular remodeling.

Hemodynamics Plays a Critical Role in Intracranial Aneurysm Pathogenesis. A cerebral aneurysm is defined as a local outpouching of an intracranial artery exhibiting internal elastic lamina loss, tunica media thinning, and ECM degradation and can either be saccular or fusiform. The pathogenesis of fusiform aneurysms, with some exceptions, is closely related to atherosclerosis. Because a
Prior to the initial aneurysmal damage triggered by hemodynamics, the cerebral vasculature may have already been weakened by various acquired (eg, cigarette smoking and hypertension)\textsuperscript{39-41} or inherited risk factors (eg, polycystic kidney disease).\textsuperscript{1} These factors compromise the ability of the cerebral vasculature to tolerate and properly adapt to hemodynamic insult,\textsuperscript{39} most likely by lowering the threshold for the onset of pathologic responses.\textsuperscript{42,43} Certainly, variations in hemodynamics and different risk factors among different individuals contribute to the heterogeneity of the disease.

Many studies have tried to incorporate various aneurysm risk factors such as hypertension, decreased collagen cross-linking, and estrogen deficiency into animal models.\textsuperscript{34,44-45} In general, these studies have found that aneurysm progression starts with initial endothelial cell responses\textsuperscript{45} and smooth muscle cell phenotypic modulation, after which an escalating inflammatory response may be provoked, accompanied by ECM remodeling and degradation and cell death.\textsuperscript{46} These studies demonstrated that after intracranial aneurysm initiation, inflammation may play an important role in aneurysm progression (eg, inflammatory infiltrates produce matrix metalloproteinases, leading to wall degradation).\textsuperscript{34} These animal models demonstrated aneurysm development only when a hemodynamic insult was also applied. This reaffirms the conclusion that hemodynamic insult is necessary for intracranial aneurysm genesis.

**Aberrant Hemodynamics Can Disrupt Balance and Drive Intracranial Aneurysm Growth and Rupture.** Aneurysm growth is dictated by the interplay between the local hemodynamic-biomechanical environment and aneurysm pathobiology. In the aneurysm wall, there are coincident and concurrent eutrophic changes (cell proliferation and ECM production) and destructive changes (cell death and ECM degradation) ongoing throughout the natural history of the intracranial aneurysm (Fig 2B).\textsuperscript{23} When these 2 processes are balanced, the intracranial aneurysm remains stable; when the balance is disrupted, it may rupture. Clearly, aneurysm growth and rupture requires a disruptive agent. We believe that aberrant hemodynamics, chiefly through abnormal WSS, is a major disruptive agent. As illustrated in Fig 2B, intracranial aneurysm growth and rupture occur when aberrant hemodynamics cause destructive changes to outweigh eutrophic changes, making the aneurysm wall increasingly weaker and prone to rupture.\textsuperscript{23}

**Different Manifestations of Intracranial Aneurysms.** Intracranial aneurysm lesion presentation is highly heterogeneous in almost every observable metric (Fig 3). Three principal aneurysm pheno-
types have been reported from intraoperative observation of unruptured intracranial aneurysms.47-49

The first types are small aneurysms (<4 mm) with uniformly thin, smooth, hypocellular, translucent walls, through which reddish blood flow can be visualized at the time of surgical clipping. We refer to these as type I aneurysms (an example is seen in Fig 3A). The second types are entirely thick-walled large aneurysms (>10 mm), with an irregular surface on which whitish/yellowish atherosclerotic plaques obstruct the visualization of blood. We refer to these as type II aneurysms (an example is seen in Fig 3D). The third types are medium-sized aneurysms with a combination of thin- and thick-walled characteristics in different regions or with intermediate wall thickness.50 We refer to these as a combination type (examples are seen in Fig 3B-C). According to Kadasi et al,50 the distribution of these 3 phenotypes in unruptured aneurysms is 27%, 8%, and 63% for types I and II and the combination type, respectively.

Histologic analyses of both unruptured and ruptured aneurysm specimens from autopsy studies have mirrored such phenotypic classification:50-54 Small intracranial aneurysms (<10 mm) have a higher rate (48%) of having thin, transparent, and hypocellular walls; absent smooth muscle cells, and inflammatory cells.53,54 Large intracranial aneurysms (>10 mm) have a low rate (6%) of thin-walled regions but a high rate of thick walls with atherosclerotic changes, proliferation of smooth muscle cells, and inflammatory cells.51-54

These data suggest that intracranial aneurysm phenotypes exist on a spectrum: At one extreme is the small thin-walled phenotype (type I); at the other extreme is the large thick-walled phenotype (type II); and in between is a continuum representing an amalgamation of these 2 basic types. The recognition of different phenotypes in both incidentally discovered and ruptured aneurysms suggests that there may be a variety of nonconvergent hemodynamic-biologic pathways involved in the natural history of intracranial aneurysms.

**Rupture Potential versus Rupture Event.** Certainly, intracranial aneurysm rupture has been associated with intense physical activities and accompanying high blood pressure.55 Large elevations in mean arterial blood pressure during such activities can increase aneurysm wall tension to a level that leads to rupture.55 An increased heart rate during physical exertion and emotional excitement have also been suspected of contributing to rupture,56 possibly through the increased frequency of cyclic stretching, which leads to hastened fatigue of the wall.57

Why only some aneurysms are subjected to such catastrophe through routine activities is related to the vulnerable condition of their wall. Before rupture, the wall may have biologically remodeled and deteriorated with time,33 decreasing the wall strength to such a level that normal physical exertion could generate enough pressure to push the wall tensile stress over the limit (ie, exceeding the wall strength),33 rupturing the wall. Therefore, while the rupture episode itself is triggered by temporary pressure and/or frequency surge and wall failure, the predisposition of an intracranial aneurysm wall to rupture is due to biologic degradation, mediated by the interaction between hemodynamics and pathobiology with time.

**A Unifying Hypothesis**

Understanding the nature and origin of the high-versus-low WSS controversy is important in developing quantitative guidance for physicians to make better intracranial aneurysm treatment decisions.15,16 Currently, the source of such heterogeneous findings remains elusive.16,17 Here, we speculate that this heterogeneity may reflect the inherent complexity of natural history of intracranial aneurysms and the diversity of growth and rupture mechanisms. Understanding the biologic processes activated by different hemodynamic conditions, such as high and low WSS, may shed light on possible mechanisms that lead to intracranial aneurysm growth and rupture.

To address the conflicting CFD findings, we draw on the current understanding of hemodynamically induced pathobiologic...
Vascular responses to aberrant WSS conditions reported in literature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathobiologic Responses to High WSS and Positive WSS Gradient</th>
<th>Pathobiologic Responses to Low WSS and High OSI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EC damage, platelet aggregation, and apoptosis</td>
<td>Proinflammatory ECs that are “leaky” and “sticky”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC turnover and migration</td>
<td>Increased ROS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMP production by mural cells</td>
<td>Increased inflammatory cell infiltration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECM degradation</td>
<td>MMP production by macrophages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial thinning</td>
<td>SMC proliferation and migration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mural cell apoptosis</td>
<td>Thrombus formation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: EC indicates endothelial cell; ROS, reactive oxygen species; MMP, matrix metalloproteinase; OSI, oscillatory shear index; SMC, smooth muscle cell.

1 From the literature on intracranial aneurysm genesis.
2 From the literature on atherogenesis.

responses in better described vascular pathologies in the literature (the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis and intracranial aneurysm). Such knowledge, summarized in the Table, helps us conceptualize possible roles of similar responses in intracranial aneurysm pathophysiology.

High and Low WSS Can Drive Different Mechanistic Pathways of Intracranial Aneurysm Growth and Rupture. We submit that aberrant hemodynamics of both low and high WSS can drive intracranial aneurysm growth and rupture via different biologic mechanisms:

1) Low WSS and a high oscillatory shear index can trigger inflammatory-cell-mediated destructive remodeling.

2) High WSS and a positive WSS gradient can trigger mural-cell-mediated destructive remodeling.

By the pathobiologic effects in the Table, abnormal hemodynamic conditions of high and low WSS can disrupt the equilibrium between eutrophic and degradative processes in the intracranial aneurysm wall (Fig 2B). Specifically, both high and low WSS can incite proteolytic and oxidative damage, which causes ECM degradation and cell death, thereby facilitating aneurysm growth and rupture. This hypothesis lays the foundation for a conceptual framework that helps us begin to dissect the complexity of the hemodynamics-aneurysm pathophysiologic interaction.

Figure 4 illustrates the unified role of hemodynamics throughout aneurysm development. Initiation of intracranial aneurysms is induced by a high WSS and a positive WSS gradient.4,37 Through endothelial cell mechanotransduction, these hemodynamic stresses initiate biochemical cascades when they exceed certain thresholds, leading to local production and activation of proteases (most important, matrix metalloproteinase −2 and −9) by mural cells,25 massive internal elastic lamina damage,4 and apoptosis,25 which are responsible for media thinning and bulge formation.37 Most interesting, inflammatory cell infiltration was not observed in early-stage intracranial aneurysm initiation,25 and macrophage depletion did not attenuate aneurysm formation, indicating that hemodynamic initiation of intracranial aneurysms is not mediated by infiltrating inflammatory cells. (M. Mandelbaum, J. Kolega, J.M. Dolan, A. Siddiqui, H. Meng, December 2012, unpublished data).

After initiation, aneurysmal bulge enlargement typically exposes the sac to increasingly lower WSS, leading to the biologic pathway shown by the right branch in Fig 4. After a recirculation zone forms in the sac, the flow environment is likely to be dominated by low and oscillating WSS. This condition is exacerbated if secondary vortices form and/or flow instability increases.28 Low and oscillatory shear stress is known to elicit an inflammatory response in the endothelium. Endothelial cells produce reactive oxygen species, and upregulate surface adhesion molecules and cytokines in the vessel wall and increase luminal permeability.59,60 A “sticky” and “leaky” endothelium, in combination with an increased blood residence time, facilitates leukocyte transmigration into the wall during aneurysm development. These inflammatory infiltrates can massively produce matrix metalloproteinases to degrade the ECM,61 thus tipping the balance between eutrophic and degradative processes and driving intracranial aneurysm growth and rupture.23 Furthermore, such “disturbed flow” environments also promote the formation of atherosclerotic plaques,23,62 which exacerbate the effects of inflammatory cells. The inflammatory-cell-mediated degradation becomes even more pronounced upon the formation of a luminal thrombus, which can further trap macrophages and neutrophils, and harbor proteases, reactive oxygen species, and oxidized low-density lipoproteins.62 Because wall degradation via this pathway relies on leukocyte infiltration, we term it “inflammatory-cell-mediated destructive remodeling.”

On the other hand, impinging flow may persist after bulge formation in some aneurysms, so that high WSS and positive WSS gradient could remain prevalent in the aneurysmal sac. For example, in intracranial aneurysms with high-curvature parent vessels,64 high aneurysm angle,65 or high inflow angle,66 inflow from the parent vessel can carry high inertia and impinge on the wall. This hemodynamic condition could lead to the pathway shown by the left branch in Fig 4. Contrary to the right branch, mural cells, instead of inflammatory cells, are most likely responsible for the destructive changes in the intracranial aneurysm wall in this pathway, just as in initiation.25 Certainly, the high WSS environment is not conducive to leukocyte infiltration, which requires sufficient blood residence time and the endothelial cell responses commonly elicited under low WSS and oscillatory flow.62,67 Systemic depletion of macrophages does not suppress aneurysm development induced by high WSS. Rather, in this pathway, phenotype-modulated smooth muscle cells are the source of proteolytic activities.25 Therefore, we term it “mural-cell-mediated destructive remodeling.”

Although leukocyte infiltration is not thought to be involved in the high-WSS-driven pathway, proinflammatory behavior could still be playing a critical role. Recently, it was found that in the internal elastic lamina damaged zones during intracranial aneurysm genesis, smooth muscle cells upregulated the proinflammatory proteins monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 and the transcription factor nuclear factor-κB, (M. Mandelbaum, J. Kolega, J.M. Dolan, A. Siddiqui, H. Meng, December 2012, unpublished data) and produced matrix metalloproteinase −2 and −9, which are required for aneurysmal degradation.25 These “inflammatory” smooth muscle cells lose some of their contractile phenotype by decreasing smooth muscle actin expression. In some ways, smooth muscle cells under high WSS conditions could act like inflammatory cells to cause aneurysmal remodeling.

While some intracranial aneurysms may be dominated by one pathway, others could switch from one to another as the geometry
changes (eg, during the initiation of blebs), as illustrated by the double-headed arrows between the branches in Fig 4. In some aneurysms, both biologic mechanisms might dominate different parts of the sac, depending on the local hemodynamic condition. The 3-way relationship among flow, pathobiology, and geometry (Fig 2A) can lead to further complexities in intracranial aneurysm pathophysiology, both longitudinally (at different time points) and cross-sectionally (at different spatial regions of the aneurysm). As these conditions change, so does the balance between eutrophic and destructive processes, resulting in either stabilization or rupture of the intracranial aneurysm (Fig 2B).

Taken together, high WSS and low WSS are 2 aberrant hemodynamic conditions that could elicit pathologic remodeling pathways to drive intracranial aneurysm growth and rupture. Recognizing the heterogeneity of aneurysm phenotypes (see “Different Manifestations of Intracranial Aneurysms”), one cannot help but ask if these 2 pathways might be responsible for the 2 basic phenotypes (type I and type II) and if their interchange might account for the wide spectrum in between. We suspect that they do.

Emergence of Different Intracranial Aneurysm Phenotypes. We further hypothesize that the high-WSS-driven, mural-cell-mediated pathway is responsible for type I aneurysms, while the low-WSS-driven inflammatory-cell-mediated pathway is responsible for type II aneurysms. The interplay between these 2 pathways, both longitudinally and cross-sectionally, contributes to the wide spectrum of combined intracranial aneurysm phenotypes. This concept is illustrated in Fig 5.

Small and transparent type I aneurysms develop relatively quickly. Their walls lack inflammatory cells and other cell types, as demonstrated by intraoperative and postmortem studies. It is possible that they are formed by impinging flow through the high-WSS-driven degradation mechanisms, as illustrated on the left side of Fig 5. The resident mural cells produce matrix metalloproteinases under high WSS conditions, leading to significant ECM degradation and cell death by anoikis (apoptosis due to loss of ECM anchorage). This degradative process may deplete the sac of resident cells and elastin fibers and reduce collagen fibers, forcing the remaining ECM to stretch, creating a stiff thin wall.

On the other hand, the large, thick-walled, atherosclerotic type II aneurysms appear to have developed during a longer period of time through various attempts to heal the sac. Indeed, most inflammatory cells found in aneurysm specimens came from this type of aneurysm. We therefore conjecture that the natural history of type II aneurysms (after initiation) is dominated by the inflammatory-cell-mediated pathway, as illustrated on the right side of Fig 5. The destructive remodeling here is accompanied by increased inflammatory cell infiltration and smooth muscle cell proliferation, especially after atherosclerotic plaque and/or thrombus formation. These processes lead to large, atherosclerotic, and thrombotic intracranial aneurysm phenotypes.

We expect that both pathways can dominate different phases of intracranial aneurysm natural history and/or different regions of the growing aneurysm, thereby contributing to the wide spectrum of the combined type aneurysms. As the aneurysm geometry changes, so does the dominant flow condition (jet or recirculation) and the pathway it espouses.

**SUMMARY**

Aneurysms occurring in different locations and peri-environments, with varied morphologies and flow dynamics, are associated with complex genetic and environmental contributing factors, which could modify the vascular response to hemodynamics. As such, studies containing a limited cohort of intracranial aneurysms are inevitably based on skewed samples. It is not surprising that their findings sometimes do not converge. Additionally, conflicting CFD results have been rationalized by inconsistent parameter definitions, flawed experimental design, or variability in assumptions and compromises adapted in CFD simulations.

**FIG 4.** A unified role of high and low WSS in aneurysm initiation, growth, and rupture.

**FIG 5.** Two hypothesized, independent, hemodynamic-biologic pathways that drive intracranial aneurysm growth and rupture (A) and the proposed relationship between them and the spectrum of intracranial aneurysm phenotypes (B).
These factors aside, we believe there is intrinsic mechanistic complexity concerning intracranial aneurysms, which underlies the high-versus-low WSS controversy.

We submit that inconsistent findings about the role of WSS are principally a result of the inherent heterogeneity in intracranial aneurysm natural history and the diversity of hemodynamically driven growth and rupture mechanisms. A novel concept can help delineate such complexity: Aberrant hemodynamics including both high WSS and low WSS can tip the balance that maintains vascular homeostasis and can drive destructive remodeling to cause intracranial aneurysm progression and rupture. We propose that 2 independent hemodynamically driven biologic pathways could be associated with intracranial aneurysm growth and rupture: an inflammatory-cell-mediated pathway that is induced by low WSS and a high oscillatory shear index, and a mural-cell-mediated pathway that is induced by high WSS and a positive WSS gradient. Furthermore, these 2 hemodynamic-biologic pathways may be responsible for the 2 aneurysm phenotypes: large thick-walled atherosclerotic aneurysms (type II) and smaller thin-walled translucent aneurysms (type I), respectively. These processes reflect the variations in the tripartite interactions of geometry, flow, and pathobiology, which become apparent in the spectrum of intracranial aneurysm phenotypes. Each phenotype attempts to re-establish homeostasis between the eutrophic and destructive forces. If homeostasis is achieved, the aneurysm stabilizes; if not, it ruptures.

We argue that the high-versus-low WSS controversy is a manifestation of the heterogeneity in intracranial aneurysm pathobiology and its intricate relationship with hemodynamics.

**Future Directions**

Going forward, we expect that large, multicenter, global studies will be needed to obtain a more comprehensive picture of intracranial aneurysm hemodynamic pathophysiology and to develop more reliable risk-prediction models. This effort will likely require better classification of aneurysms (eg, based on aneurysm size, location, phenotype, periveniremion, and patient population), rather than treating them as a conglomeration. Different predictive models could be extracted from different classes of datasets and applied to intracranial aneurysms that belong to specific categories. For example, statistical analyses of small aneurysms may produce a different set of predictive parameters (related to high WSS and a positive WSS gradient) from that of large aneurysms (related to low WSS and a high oscillatory shear index). We envision that intracranial aneurysm cases could be further classified and then subjected to the appropriate predictive models. The models should reflect the underlying mechanisms driving aneurysm growth and rupture. As such, intracranial aneurysm classification based on size alone may not be highly accurate. An alternative strategy would be to identify type I and II aneurysms from imaging and then to perform subgroup risk analyses and management.

There is a need to study the role of hemodynamic-biologic interactions in the natural history and rupture propensity of intracranial aneurysms in experimental models. Animal models commonly used for clinical studies are good for testing medical devices and investigating hemodynamics but are generally biologically deficient. On the other hand, current endogenous models capture some hemodynamic-biologic effects in the early stages of aneurysm formation but lack the ability to study growth and rupture. Therefore, improved animal models, aided by advancements in in vivo and molecular imaging, are needed to elucidate the hemodynamic-biologic mechanisms driving aneurysm growth, and models of aneurysm rupture must be developed to study the hemodynamic and biologic mechanisms involved in rupture.

Patient-specific CFD studies must be complemented by hemodynamic-biologic mechanistic studies because these 2 approaches are mutually informative and beneficial. Analysis of patient-specific CFD results may generate new questions concerning the pathophysiology of intracranial aneurysm (ie, which mechanisms should be investigated). Meanwhile, mechanistic studies based on animal models can help analyze and interpret the results of patient-specific CFD studies to facilitate the generation of future predictors.

The unifying hypothesis presented in this review may serve as a starting point to guide the design of these future endeavors. We anticipate that joint effort among clinicians, engineers, and basic scientists focused on better understanding aneurysm pathophysiology will lead to better risk prediction and management of intracranial aneurysms.
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