Establishing a Rabbit Spinal Tumor Model for Nonvascular Interventional Therapy through CT-Guided Percutaneous Puncture Inoculation
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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: An animal spinal tumor model is needed to better simulate the clinical situation and to allow percutaneous puncture, which may provide an experimental platform for the new nonvascular interventional therapies. We established a rabbit spinal tumor model through a CT-guided percutaneous puncture inoculation technique for nonvascular interventional therapy.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: VX2 tumor cells were inoculated into the lumbar vertebrae of 32 rabbits through a CT-guided percutaneous puncture technique; then, the development of hind limb paraparesis was observed in the rabbits twice a day. MR imaging and CT were performed on days 14, 21, and 28 postinoculation and at the development of hind limb paraparesis. On days 21 and 28 postinoculation, 2 rabbits, whose imaging suggested successful modeling without hind limb paraparesis, were chosen on each day. The lumbar vertebrae were sampled from 1 rabbit for histopathologic examination, and the other rabbit underwent PET-CT examination before percutaneous vertebroplasty. Finally the lesion vertebrae were sampled for histopathologic examination.

RESULTS: The success rate of modeling was 90.6% (29/32) in our study. On day 21 postinoculation, successful modeling was achieved in 21 rabbits, with 19 having no hind limb paraparesis. On day 28 postinoculation, another 7 achieved successful modeling, and only 1 developed hind limb paraparesis. Percutaneous vertebroplasty treatment was successful for the 2 rabbit models.

CONCLUSIONS: Establishment of a rabbit spinal tumor model through a CT-guided percutaneous puncture technique and inoculation of VX2 tumor is easy and has a high success rate. The established model can be used to study nonvascular interventional therapies for spinal tumor, including percutaneous vertebroplasty.

ABBREVIATIONS: HLP = hind limb paraparesis; PVP = percutaneous vertebroplasty

Approximately 5%–10% of all patients with cancer have metastases to the spinal column.1,2 Vertebral metastasis has major clinical significance and can acutely impact patient quality of life. It is not only an indicator of bad prognosis but also produces severe pain, spinal instability, and neurologic compression following pathologic vertebral fracture. Despite multimodality treatment of spinal diseases, which includes a combination of surgery, radiation therapy, and chemotherapy, the median life expectancy for these patients is <1 year.3,4 Recently various interventional mini-invasive therapies, such as percutaneous vertebroplasty (PVP) and radiofrequency ablation through percutaneous puncture, have yielded encouraging preliminary clinical outcomes in the local treatment of spinal tumor.5-7 However, the treatment mechanism of these therapies remains unclear,8-10 and some have a higher rate of complications.11,12 In addition, more basic and preclinical research is needed to improve the curative efficacy of the newly developed treatment instruments and to reduce their complications. Due to lack of a live spinal tumor model for percutaneous puncture, previous research was mainly conducted with healthy animals, cadavers, or computer-simulated systems.13-15 A live animal spinal tumor model is urgently needed to better simulate the clinical situation and to allow percutaneous puncture, which may provide an experimental platform for the newly emerging mini-invasive interventional therapies. The present study, using CT-guided percutaneous puncture inoculation of VX2 tumor cells, created a rabbit spinal tumor model that allows...
mini-invasive therapies through a percutaneous puncture approach and observed the development and pathologic manifestation of hind limb paraparesis (HLP) in an animal model.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The protocol of this experiment was approved by the animal research committee of our institution and was conducted in accordance with the guidelines of the International Council on Animal Care. Healthy New Zealand white rabbits ($n = 32$), weighing 3–3.5 kg, were purchased from the Laboratory Animal Center of our university. Fasting was prescribed from the night before the inoculation in 32 rabbits, and 3% pentobarbital was used for general anesthesia via a rabbit ear vein at a dose of 30 mg/kg before inoculation, imaging examination, and PVP treatment.

**Preparation of VX2 Tumor Mass**

Rabbit VX2 carcinoma preparation was performed as previously described.\textsuperscript{16,17} Successful inoculation of the VX2 mass into the thigh muscles of the New Zealand rabbit could lead to a palpable tumor mass at the inoculation site 3 weeks later. At 30 minutes before inoculation, tumor was surgically obtained from the thigh of tumor-bearing rabbits; then, the fresh tumor tissues around the border of the tumor were harvested after removing the hemorrhage and necrotic tissues. The tumor tissues were cut into small blocks (approximately 1.0 mm$^3$, 2 $\times$ 10$^7$ tumor cells), which were soaked in saline until use.

**Percutaneous Puncture Inoculation Technique**

The experimental rabbits were anesthetized and fixed in a prone position, and the skin on the left low back was prepared. CT localization set the junction of the head side of the L4 or L5 vertebral body and the left pedicle as the puncture point. A 17-ga coaxial introducer needle (Angiotech, Gainesville, Florida) was used to puncture the target vertebra under CT guidance, and the needle advancement was stopped when it reached the middle area of the punctured vertebral body (Figs 1 and 2); then, the inner core of the trocar was used to coaxially push the 2 tumor blocks into the vertebral body through the sheathed needle, and finally a piece of Gelfoam (0.5 cm; Jinling Pharmaceutical Company, Nanjing, China) was used to seal the needle tract.

**Observation of the Postinoculation Procedure**

Animals were examined twice daily for signs of HLP after transplantation. On days 14, 21, and 28 postinoculation, MR imaging and CT were performed. On days 21 and 28 postinoculation, 2 rabbits, whose imaging examination suggested tumor growth but with no signs of paralysis, were chosen each day. One animal was used to harvest lesion vertebrae for pathologic examination, the other underwent an $^{18}$F-FDG PET-CT examination before using polymethylmethacrylate bone cement (Cranio-plastic; Codman, Raynham, Massachusetts) to conduct PVP treatment, and finally the lesion vertebrae samples were harvested for histopathology. For the rest of the experimental rabbits, both MR imaging and CT were performed immediately after development of HLP; then, lesion vertebrae samples were harvested for pathologic examination. Animals with no HLP at 3 months postinoculation and no tumor growth on imaging examination were sacrificed, and the spines were processed for histopathology.

**Imaging Examination and PVP Technique**

An MX 8000 4-channel CT scanner (Marconi Medical Systems, Cleveland, Ohio) was used. The scanning parameters were the following: tube voltage, 120 kV(peak); tube current, 200 mA; section thickness, 2 mm; reconstruction interval, 2 mm; and rotation time, 1 second.

MR imaging was performed with a 1.5T imaging unit (Eclipse; Philips Healthcare, Best, the Netherlands) and a spine coil. Sagittal T1-weighted (TR/TE, 500/12 ms) and sagittal and axial T2-weighted (TR/TE, 4500/112 ms) images were obtained, and sagittal T1-weighted images were obtained after intravenous administration of 0.1-mmol/kg gadopentetate dimeglumine (Magnevist; Schering, Berlin, Germany).

Fasting was prescribed for 4 hours before PET-CT, and $^{18}$F-
FDG was injected via a rabbit ear vein (27.8 MBq/Kg); 45 minutes later the rabbits were fixed in a prone position for PET-CT scanning (Discovery LS; GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, Wisconsin). The parameters for CT were as follows: An initial scout view was obtained with 10 mAs and 120 kVp, followed by spiral CT at a table speed of 17.5 mm/s and a pitch of 1.75 with 120 mAs, 140 kV. PET images were obtained with a weight-based protocol and 4–6 minutes of acquisition time per bed position. All PET images were reconstructed by using an iterative algorithm, with CT-based attenuation correction applied. Metabolic images from PET and anatomic images from CT were fused in a postprocessing workstation (Xeleris 1.1; GE Healthcare).

PVP was performed under CT guidance. An 18-ga vascular access needle punctured the spinal tumor to deliver approximately 0.5-mL polymethylmethacrylate bone cement (Corinplast 3; Corin, Gloucester, UK) for PVP therapy.

RESULTS
Successful Modeling Rate
All 32 rabbits underwent successful puncture with no acute paralysis, and successful modeling was achieved in 29, with a success rate of 90.6% (29/32), which was confirmed by histopathologic results. Among the 3 failed cases, 1 had no tumor growth inside the vertebra, but inside paravertebral soft tissues; and 2 had no spinal tumor growth after inoculation or paralysis 3 months later and reimaging examination still found no tumor growth. The 2 rabbits were sacrificed and pathologic examination of the lumbar vertebra undergoing tumor inoculation found no tumor cells, indicating inoculation failure.

Hind Limb Paralysis Time
On day 14 postinoculation, only 1 rabbit had spinal tumor growth as shown by MR imaging, and HLP occurred on day 19 postinoculation. On day 21 postinoculation, 21 rabbits had spinal tumor growth as shown by both MR imaging and CT and 2 had HLP. On day 28 postinoculation, spinal tumor growth was observed in another 7 rabbits as shown by MR imaging and CT and 1 had HLP. Among the 29 rabbits that achieved successful modeling, 25 developed HLP on average 26.4±4.2 days after inoculation (range, 19–36 days). On days 19, 28, 33, 34, 35, and 36 postinoculation, 1 paralysis occurred each day. On days 21, 23, and 24, two cases of paralysis occurred each day; on days 25 and 26, four cases of paralysis occurred each day, and on day 27, five cases of paralysis occurred; so the peak time for successful inoculation was on day 26.
modeling animals to show signs of HLP was on days 25–27 postinoculation. For the 4 rabbits achieving successful modeling but with no HLP, pathologic samples were still harvested on days 21 and 28, or vertebra samples were obtained for pathologic examination after PET-CT and PVP treatment.

**Imaging Manifestation of the Spinal Tumor Model**

CT of the lumbar vertebrae showed irregular osteolytic bone destruction inside, with high-attenuation osteogenesis also observed in some spinal tumors, and vertebral posterior border bone destruction observed at the late stage (Fig 3). MR imaging revealed that L4 or L5 vertebrae showed low signal on T1WI and high signal on T2WI, with uneven signal attenuation. Enhanced scanning showed heterogeneous enhancement. For cases with a larger tumor, the border with the spinal cord was unclear, and the latter was compressed locally (Fig 4). CT images of the PET-CT results were the same as those described above, but the fused images revealed increased uptake of radionuclide in the tumor inoculation area (Fig 5), with the standardized uptake value increased notably. For the 2 rabbits receiving PVP treatment, the puncture of the spinal tumor model was smooth, and the sedimentation of bone cement inside the vertebral body was satisfactory (Fig 6).

**Pathology of the Spinal Tumor Model**

Pathologic samples of the lesion vertebrae showed tumor growth inside the vertebral body. For the rabbits without paralysis, cortical bone on the posterior border was intact; for the rabbits with paralysis, tumor mass intruded into and compressed the spinal cord (Fig 7). Hematoxylin-eosin staining showed extensive osteolytic activity induced by tumor cells inside the vertebrae, complicated by mild osteogenic activity. The tumor cell was large, with well-demarcated borders, cellular atypia, and a visible pathologic mitotic count. Tumor cells had a nest arrangement, with regional invasive growth destroying the cortical bone (Fig 7).

**DISCUSSION**

The previous rat- or mice-based spinal tumor models were mainly used for studying tumor metastasis mechanisms, radiation therapy, and drug screening. However, the rat vertebra is small, making it difficult to accommodate a thick needle in various nonvascular interventional treatments (such as PVP, radiofrequency ablation) by using a percutaneous puncture technique. Recent rabbit models of spinal tumors created by different surgical methods requiring more experimental techniques and more advanced equipment also sustained even greater trauma, which is not helpful for conducting the ensuing experiments. More important,
those tumor models were through direct-inoculation surgery. The inoculation approach of the model of Amundson et al\textsuperscript{16} was through the posterior pedicle, breaching the vertebral lamina directly into the spinal canal, then into the posterior vertebral edge, so that the tumors were mainly located on the posterior vertebral edge. Meanwhile, the same approach is also needed when the model is used for research on nonvascular interventional therapy; this will make puncturing very difficult because it entails breaching the spinal canal, which may easily cause nerve damage and is disadvantageous for observing the efficacy of ensuing treatments. So Amundson et al’s model is mainly used for studying surgical procedures.\textsuperscript{20} Our model is prepared through a lateral pedicle approach, particularly for percutaneous puncture of the vertebrae, through which the ensuing experiments could also be performed for nonvascular interventional therapy, which makes our model more suitable for research on this therapy.

In 2010, Sciubba et al\textsuperscript{21} reported a successful rabbit vertebral tumor model constructed through a percutaneous puncture technique, which was used for studying ultrasonic ablation treatment of spinal tumor. This model had a grossly apparent spinal and paraspinal tissue mass. We noticed that Sciubba et al mainly focused on how to treat the model; they did not give sufficient description on the details of establishment procedure, including the specific sites for puncture, images of the model at different time points, and the development of paralysis. We also noticed that some radiofrequency electrodes used in Sciubba et al’s research for tumor treatment actually punctured the paravertebral soft-tissue tumor, instead of vertebral tumor. Differences exist between paravertebral tumor and vertebral tumor. According to the literature, factors like bone cement polymerization temperature during PVP and temperature changes inside the vertebral body and spinal canal during radiofrequency ablation treatment may be directly associated with the treatment efficacy and complications.\textsuperscript{5,12}

The vertebral body has its special anatomic structure. CSF adjacent to the back of the vertebral body can take away some heat; at the same time, differences exist in specific heat and thermal conductivity between bone tissue and soft tissue, which could all directly affect the actual temperature inside the spinal cord.
tumor and spinal canal during treatment. The above pathophysiologic traits of vertebral tumor are not easily found in para vertebral tumor. Therefore, establishment of a real vertebral tumor model for the percutaneous puncture technique will contribute greatly to the emerging nonvascular interventional therapies for vertebral tumors.

In this study, the rabbit VX2 spinal tumor model was established through percutaneous puncture inoculation under CT guidance. All the rabbits in our study successfully underwent percutaneous puncture for inoculating VX2 tumor mass; posttransplantation imaging and pathologic examination confirmed the high success rate of tumor inoculation. Meanwhile, we noticed that PET-CT has been increasingly used to evaluate the treatment efficacy in malignant bone tumors. In the present article, the PET-CT results of 2 animal models both clearly displayed the spinal tumor, suggesting that PET-CT could also evaluate the efficacy of all types of newly emerging interventional therapies for vertebral tumors.

PVP treatment based on the rabbit model also achieved preliminary success, indicating its potential in studying mini-invasive interventional treatments through percutaneous puncture. In addition, in another study, we are using our model to study PVP treatment for spinal tumor and to develop a new type of PVP bone cement. For example, detecting the polymerization temperature of bone cement inside the spinal tumor model can better reflect the temperature change during polymerization of bone cement in the clinical setting. To sum up, our model can be used for further exploration of the mechanism of various nonvascular interventional therapies for spinal tumor; the efficacy and safety of some newly developed interventional equipment and materials could also be tested with this model. Eventually, we hope our model can serve as a better platform for studying various emerging mini-invasive interventional treatments for vertebral tumors.

To make it easier to puncture the target vertebra, one should target the larger lumbar vertebra of the rabbit for modeling. However, because the sixth lumbar vertebra is usually obscured by the ilium, which may affect the puncture approach, the L4 or L5 vertebra is a more suitable target. The rabbit vertebra is narrow and long, with a thin middle part, making it difficult to accommodate the puncture needle. However, the size of the vertebral head side is larger, with a triangular cross-section and a maximum oblique diameter of approximately 1 cm, where the pedicle is located; thus, the area suitable for tumor inoculation should be the narrow area 0.5 cm from the endplate of the vertebral head side (Fig 2). Conventional clinical practice is to puncture the lumbar vertebra through a pedicle approach; however, the rabbit pedicle is thin and is difficult to puncture. Puncture through the lateral pedicle route is relatively easy and can avoid damage to the spinal canal structures. The rabbit was in a prone position when undergoing puncture, and the junction of the vertebral body and the left pedicle was chosen as the optimal approach for the convenience of the right-handed laboratory personnel. All 32 rabbits underwent such an approach for puncture without postoperative acute HLP, indicating that the transpedicular lateral approach is feasible and safe.

CT-guided puncture technology is the key to successful modeling. The advancement of the puncture needle should be stopped promptly when the needle tip reaches the cortical bone of the lumbar vertebra; then CT should be used to guide the puncture needle angle until the needle tip is positioned just at the left lateral margin of the vertebra head side and to penetrate the needle into the vertebral body along the adjusted direction (Fig 1). The penetration depth of the puncture needle was approximately 0.5 cm. Caution should be exercised during the process to prevent the needle from penetrating too deep and damaging the cortical bone of the contralateral vertebral body, avoiding the tumor mass being pushed into contralateral paraspinal soft tissue. To ensure that the tumor mass is pushed into the vertebral body, one should push the inner core of the puncture trocar 3–4 times inside the needle sheath. Finally, Gelfoam should be pushed along the sheath to embolize the needle tract to avoid paraspinal tumor mass implantation caused by the shedding of the tumor mass.

For various surgical techniques used to establish a rabbit model of vertebral tumors, the average time for the experiment animal to develop signs of HLP was 18–30 days. The average time in the present study was 26.4 ± 4.2 days, consistent with other experiments, and paralysis occurred mostly on days 25–27 postinoculation. Amundson et al reported that imaging could not find vertebral
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