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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: The arterial spin-labeling method for CBF assessment is widely available, but its accuracy is not fully established. We investigated the accuracy of a whole-brain arterial spin-labeling technique for assessing the mean parenchymal CBF and the effect of aging in healthy volunteers. Phase-contrast MR imaging was used as the reference method.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: Ninety-two healthy volunteers were included: 49 young (age range, 20–30 years) and 43 elderly (age range, 65–80 years). Arterial spin-labeling parenchymal CBF values were averaged over the whole brain to quantify the mean pCBF ASL value. Total CBF was assessed with phase-contrast MR imaging as the sum of flows in the internal carotid and vertebral arteries, and subsequent division by brain volume returned the pCBF PCMRI value. Accuracy was considered as good as that of the reference method if the systematic difference was less than 5 mL/min/100 g of brain tissue and if the 95% confidence intervals were equal to or better than ±10 mL/min/100 g.

RESULTS: pCBF ASL was correlated to pCBF PCMRI (r = 0.73; P < .001). Significant differences were observed between the pCBF ASL and pCBF PCMRI values in the young (P = .001) and the elderly (P < .001) volunteers. The systematic differences (mean ± 2 standard deviations) were −4 ± 14 mL/min/100 g in the young subjects and 6 ± 12 mL/min/100 g in the elderly subjects. Young subjects showed higher values than the elderly subjects for pCBF PCMRI (young, 57 ± 8 mL/min/100 g; elderly, 54 ± 7 mL/min/100 g; P = .05) and pCBF ASL (young, 61 ± 10 mL/min/100 g; elderly, 48 ± 10 mL/min/100 g; P < .001).

CONCLUSIONS: The limits of agreement were too wide for the arterial spin-labeling method to be considered satisfactorily accurate, whereas the systematic overestimation in the young subjects and underestimation in the elderly subjects were close to acceptable. The age-related decrease in parenchymal CBF was augmented in arterial spin-labeling compared with phase-contrast MR imaging.

ABBREVIATIONS: ASL = arterial spin-labeling; HE = healthy elderly; HY = healthy young; pCASL = pseudocontinuous ASL; pCBF = parenchymal CBF; PCMRI = phase-contrast MR imaging; VA = vertebral artery

Using well-established perfusion imaging techniques, such as PET, SPECT, or other techniques such as perfusion CT, cerebral blood flow can be quantified within parenchymal tissue and expressed in milliliters per minute per 100 g of brain tissue (mL/min/100 g). These methods require injection of a contrast agent or a radioactive tracer. However, radiotracers are associated with exposure to ionizing radiation, CT contrast agents are nephrotoxic, and perfusion studies of this kind cannot be repeated until the contrast medium or tracer disappears. Using arterial spin-labeling (ASL) MR imaging, it is possible to assess parenchymal CBF (pCBF) noninvasively. Recent developments have enabled quantitative assessment of whole-brain perfusion with ASL within a few minutes.2,3 The accuracy of pCBF estimates obtained by using ASL, however, is still a subject of discussion.4 Age- and sex-related differences in pCBF have been found by using ASL, PET, and SPECT.5–10 However, these effects are still not fully understood, and no consensus has been established from previously published data.1,12

Total CBF is defined by the 4 arteries that supply the brain (ie, the internal carotid arteries and the vertebral arteries [VAs]). The blood flow of these arteries, and thus the total CBF, can be measured with good accuracy at the level of the foramen magnum by...
using 2D phase-contrast MR imaging (PCMRI).\textsuperscript{13,14} Using high-resolution morphologic MR imaging data and postprocessing software, the total volume of the brain parenchymal tissue can be assessed. Total CBF can be obtained accurately with PCMRI (shown here as pCBF\textsubscript{PCMRI} values),\textsuperscript{14} and brain parenchymal volume can be measured accurately\textsuperscript{15} from the T1 sequence. By dividing flow by volume, pCBF\textsubscript{PCMRI} can be estimated with expected good accuracy and used as a reference to evaluate the accuracy of pCBF obtained via ASL (pCBF\textsubscript{ASL}).

The aim of this study was to investigate the accuracy of a clinically implemented pseudocontinuous ASL method for assessing pCBF in 92 healthy individuals by using PCMRI as the reference method. The effects of aging and sex on pCBF were assessed by using both methods, and the results were compared.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Subjects**

A total of 111 subjects, recruited by advertisement in a daily newspaper, were included in this prospective study. The subjects were defined as healthy if they had no neurologic or cardiac disease, hypertension, peripheral vascular disease, or renal disease. Eleven subjects were excluded after the physical examination because of a Mini-Mental State Examination score of \(<28\) points (\(n = 3\)),\textsuperscript{16} electrocardiogram changes (\(n = 1\)), a blood pressure of \(>160/90\) mm Hg (\(n = 1\)), or neurologic issues (\(n = 6\)). After the MR imaging examination, 8 subjects were excluded because of claustrophobia (\(n = 3\)) or technical problems or missing MR imaging data (\(n = 5\)). The remaining 92 healthy subjects were categorized in 1 of 2 different age groups (ie, 49 subjects in the healthy young [HY] group [age range, 20–30 years; mean age \pm standard deviation, 25 \pm 2 years; 27 women] and 43 subjects in the healthy elderly [HE] group [age range, 65–80 years; mean age \pm standard deviation, 71 \pm 4 years; 23 women]). In addition, the 92 subjects were classified according to sex (ie, the study group included 50 healthy women and 42 healthy men). The research protocol used in this study was approved by the ethical review board of Umeå University. Each patient provided oral and written informed consent.

**MR Imaging**

Each subject was scanned by using a 3T MR imaging unit (Discovery MR 750; GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, Wisconsin) supplied with a 32-channel head coil.

Three-dimensional time-of-flight angiography was performed to visualize the ICAs and the VAs. TOF angiography was used to position a perpendicular PCMRI plane at the cervical (C1–C2) level. The 2D PCMRI data were acquired with the following parameters: TR, 9 ms; TE, 5 ms; section thickness, 5 mm; flip angle, 15°; FOV, 180 \(\times\) 180 mm\(^2\); acquisition matrix, 512 \(\times\) 512; in-plane resolution, 0.35 \(\times\) 0.35 mm\(^2\); views per segment, 6; velocity encoding, 70 cm/s; and NEX, 2. Thirty-two velocity-coded and magnitude images throughout the entire cardiac cycle were collected. A peripheral pulse signal was used for retrospective cardiac gating. The acquisition time of the PCMRI was approximately 2 minutes 30 seconds, depending on the subject’s heart rate.

Whole-brain perfusion data were obtained by using a 3D pseudocontinuous ASL (pCASL) method implemented by the manufacturer (Appendix). In summary, the pCASL was applied, followed by an interleaved 3D stack of spiral fast spin-echo readout with background suppression.\textsuperscript{3} The pCASL parameters were as follows: sampling points on 8 spirals, 512; FOV, 240 \(\times\) 240 mm\(^2\); true in-plane resolution, 3.75 mm\(^2\); reconstructed matrix, 128 \(\times\) 128; TR, 4674 ms; TE, 10 ms; NEX, 3; section thickness, 4 mm; labeling plane positioned at the base of the cerebellum; labeling duration, 1500 ms; postlabeling delay, 1525 ms\textsuperscript{20}; sections covering the whole brain, 40–44; control/label pairs, 30; and acquisition time, 4 minutes 31 seconds.

High-resolution T1-weighted data for assessing brain parenchymal volume were collected by using a sagittal 3D fast-spoiled gradient-echo sequence to image the whole brain with 176 sections, a section thickness of 1 mm, a TR of 7 ms, a TE of 2 ms, a flip angle of 10°, a FOV of 250 \(\times\) 250 mm, an acquisition matrix of 256 \(\times\) 256, and an acquisition time of 5 minutes 20 seconds.

**ASL Measurement of Parenchymal Cerebral Blood Flow**

The pCASL pCBF maps (in mL/min/100 g) were computed by the postprocessing FuncTool software (version 10.4.04; GE Healthcare) that was based on a general kinetic model for ASL.\textsuperscript{22} The details of the manufacturer’s implementation method to quantify the pCBF maps are shown in the Appendix.

Using the SPM8 software, GM and WM masks were co-registered to the ASL data and down-sampled to the same pixel size as that of the reconstructed ASL data. The GM and WM masks were then smoothed in-plane with a Gaussian kernel (3.25 \(\times\) 3.25 mm\(^2\) full width at half maximum) to create a resolution identical to the true spatial resolution of ASL (On-line Fig 1). Erosion was applied to exclude the 2 outer pixel layers from the brain mask (GM and WM) to avoid ASL artifacts and inclusion of the skull. Each pixel in the brain mask contains the volume fractions of GM (\(F\textsubscript{GM}\)) and WM (\(F\textsubscript{WM}\)). The brain parenchymal volume was calculated as the sum of the GM and WM volumes. The mean pCBF from the ASL data (pCBF\textsubscript{ASL}) was estimated by using equation 1:

\[
pCBF\textsubscript{ASL} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} CBF(i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (F\textsubscript{GM}(i) + F\textsubscript{WM}(i))}
\]
where \( F_{\text{GM}} \) and \( F_{\text{WM}} \) are the volume fractions of GM and WM, respectively, \( \text{CBF}(i) \) is the cerebral blood flow (mL/min/100 g of brain tissue) within the \( i \)th ASL pixel, and \( n \) is the number of pixels that contain brain tissue \( (F_{\text{GM}} + F_{\text{WM}} > 0) \). Our aim was to estimate the perfusion in the entire parenchymal tissue. No partial volume correction was thus necessary to separate perfusion signal from individual WM and GM voxels.

**PCMRI Measurement of Vessel Velocity and Parenchymal Cerebral Blood Flow.** PCMRI data were analyzed by using Segment software version 1.8 (Mediviso, Lund, Sweden). The magnitude images were used to delineate manually the cross-section areas of the ICAs and VAs. The positions and sizes of the cross-section areas were kept constant during the cardiac cycle. For each vessel, the flow rate was computed as the mean velocity multiplied by the cross-section area.

The blood flow rates of the bilateral ICAs and VAs were summed, and the derived blood flow rate was averaged over the cardiac cycle to estimate the total CBF (reported in milliliters per minute). Thereafter, the parenchymal cerebral blood flow from PCMRI (pCBF\(_{\text{PCMRI}}\)) was calculated in milliliters per minute per 100 g of brain tissue by using equation 2:

\[
p\text{CBF}_{\text{PCMRI}} = \frac{\text{tCBF}}{\rho \times \text{BPV}} \times 100,
\]

where tCBF is total CBF, BPV is brain parenchymal volume, and \( \rho \) is the brain tissue density (1.05 g/mL).23

Furthermore, the velocity of blood in the labeling plane directly affects the labeling efficiency of the pCASL and thus also the pCBF quantification.1,13 To investigate the effect of the mean velocity of the bilateral ICAs and VAs on the ASL data, mean velocities were computed and correlated to the difference between pCBF\(_{\text{PCMRI}}\) and pCBF\(_{\text{ASL}}\).

### Statistical Analysis

SPSS Statistics version 18 (IBM, Armonk, New York) was used to perform statistical analysis. Variables were expressed as means ± standard deviation. The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to test the normal distribution of the measured parameters. Differences between the groups were investigated by using the unpaired Student t test. For the comparison between pCBF\(_{\text{ASL}}\) and pCBF\(_{\text{PCMRI}}\) values, linear regression analysis and Bland-Altman plots were used.24 Differences between the pCBF\(_{\text{PCMRI}}\) and pCBF\(_{\text{ASL}}\) values were tested by using the paired Student t test. The accuracy of the ASL-based pCBF measurement to be good if the systematic difference against the reference method was <5 mL/min/100 g and if the randomized difference was less than or equal to ±10 mL/min/100 g, which corresponds to the limits of agreement previously shown for repeated measurements with PCMRI14 and for repeated measurements with ASL.19 A P value of <.05 was considered statistically significant.

### RESULTS

**Comparison of pCBF\(_{\text{PCMRI}}\) and ASL**

The pCBF values obtained by PCMRI and ASL are shown in the Table, and a comparison between the pCBF\(_{\text{PCMRI}}\) and pCBF\(_{\text{ASL}}\) values is displayed in the Figure. There was a significant correlation between pCBF\(_{\text{PCMRI}}\) and pCBF\(_{\text{ASL}}\) values \((r = 0.73; P < .001)\). Corresponding correlation coefficients \((r)\) when the HY and HE groups were compared separately were 0.73 \((P < .001)\) and 0.78 \((P < .001)\), respectively. As shown in the Table, there was a significant difference between pCBF\(_{\text{ASL}}\) and pCBF\(_{\text{PCMRI}}\) for HE \((P < .001)\) and HY \((P = .001)\) subjects but not for the whole group \((P = .34)\). For all subjects, the mean bias and the limits of agreement between the 2 methods were \(1 ± 16\) mL/min/100 g and \((mean ± 2\) standard deviations). The mean bias \((p\text{CBF}_{\text{PCMRI}} - p\text{CBF}_{\text{ASL}})\) showed an underestimation by ASL in HE subjects \((6 ± 12\) mL/min/100 g) and an overestimation in HY subjects \((-4 ± 14\) mL/min/100 g), whereas it was similar for healthy men and women \((2 ± 19\) and \(0 ± 16\) mL/min/100 g, respectively; \(P = .256)\).

The difference between pCBF\(_{\text{PCMRI}}\) and pCBF\(_{\text{ASL}}\) showed significant corre-
lation with the mean velocities of the VAs \((r = -0.47; P < .001)\); On-line Fig 2) and ICAs \((r = -0.35; P = .001)\).

**Dependence of pCBFA\_\text{SL} and pCBFA\_\text{PCMRI} on Age and Sex**
pCBFA\_\text{SL} values were significantly higher in HY than in HE subjects \((P < .001; \text{Table})\). A similar pattern emerged for pCBFA\_\text{PCMRI} values, but the difference was less evident \((P = .05; \text{Table})\). Therefore, the percent decrease of the mean pCBF with aging was lower in pCBFA\_\text{PCMRI} \((-5\%)\) than in pCBFA\_\text{SL} \((-21\%)\). The pCBFA\_\text{PCMRI} and pCBFA\_\text{SL} values were significantly higher in women \((\text{pCBFA}\_\text{PCMRI} 9\% \{P = 4 \times 10^{-4}\}; \text{pCBFA}\_\text{SL} 12\% \{P = .002\})\).

**DISCUSSION**
Methods for assessing cerebral perfusion by using ASL are available on most modern MR imaging scanners, but their accuracy is still not fully established. Using high-spatial-resolution PCMRI as the reference method, the accuracy of ASL was investigated in this study, and the dependencies on age and sex were quantified and compared. A good correlation was found between the reference method and ASL, but a significant difference between the mean values was observed for both HE (approximately \(-11\%)\) and HY (5\%) subjects. Consequently, the observed effect of aging on pCBF was estimated as much lower in values obtained from PCMRI than in those from ASL (5\% vs 21\%). Because of ASL overestimation in HY subjects and underestimation in HE subjects, no significant difference between pCBFA\_\text{PCMRI} and pCBFA\_\text{SL} values was observed in the group as a whole (Table).

In this study, high-resolution PCMRI with an in-plane resolution of 0.35 mm was used, which represents >8 pixels per diameter for the internal carotid and vertebral arteries. With high spatial resolution (>4 pixels per diameter) and by using similar MR imaging parameters (velocity encoding, TE, TR, and section thickness) as in the present study, it was shown previously that PCMRI can accurately (<10% error) measure the blood flow in ICAs and VAs and thus can be considered a criterion-standard technique for measuring total CBF.14

The ASL sequence used in this study had a short MR imaging acquisition time (<5 minutes for whole-brain coverage), and we used a pseudocontinuous arterial-labeling scheme with 3D segmented readout and background suppression, which is considered one of the best ASL approaches for assessing pCBF.2 It is important to emphasize that in this study, the ASL data were obtained with a commercially available ASL sequence, and the CBF estimates were quantified by using the manufacturer’s postprocessing software without any additional corrections, as was also done in previous studies.10–25 It should be mentioned that the CBF quantification model used in this study was slightly different than the model proposed in a recent consensus article concerning ASL for clinical applications (Appendix).2

Jain et al26 reported results from a group of children that were similar to ours (ie, a significant correlation of pCBF values determined by PCMRI and ASL). Other studies have found moderate to good correlations \((r = 0.4 –0.8)\) between pseudocontinuous ASL and PET imaging for pCBF measurements.4,27,28 On the contrary, Henriksen et al29 showed a large underestimation of ASL-based pCBF (75\%) compared with the estimation by PCMRI and no correlation between the 2 methods. One explanation for this result might be that they used a model-free pulsed-ASL method. The results of our study further support the use of pseudocontinuous ASL. PET is most likely a good method for comparison with ASL, because it is possible to perform intermodal comparisons of global and regional brain perfusion measurements. A recent study found a relatively low correlation between ASL and PET for measuring pCBF in GM at resting state,4 but it is not feasible to repeat such a study with a large number of volunteers.

In accordance with the results of our study, previous ASL studies found a difference of mean pCBF (17\%) or perfusion in GM (20%–30%) between HY and HE subjects.30–32 In these previous ASL studies, no age-specific postlabeling delay was used, and the postlabeling delays that were used ranged from 800 to 1700 ms. PET and SPECT studies have revealed similar results.9,33 Our findings confirm that pCBF decreases with age in healthy adults. However, the magnitude of the decline in pCBF as determined by ASL was approximately 4 times larger than that determined by PCMRI, and these results bring into question previous observations regarding the magnitude of decrease in ASL-derived pCBF that is associated with healthy aging. Aging causes general brain atrophy and cortical thinning, which may increase the partial volume effects,30,31 and aging also leads to increased arterial transit time.32 Potentially, such changes influence the accuracy of ASL.3 Increasing the postlabeling delay for elderly subjects could remedy some ASL inaccuracies.2 Furthermore, the CBF quantification model used in our study (see Appendix and equation 3) assumes that the longitudinal relaxation time of gray matter (T1\text{GM}) and the brain-to-blood partition coefficient \((\lambda)\) are constant. However, previous studies have provided no real consensus with regard to a possible effect of aging on T1\text{GM}.33,34 Furthermore, it is known that \(\lambda\) values are higher in the neonatal brain than in the adult brain.35 Hence, we cannot rule out the possibility that the brain-to-blood partition coefficient varies over a life span between 25 and 71 years of age.

Women had higher pCBFA\_\text{PCMRI} (9%) and pCBFA\_\text{SL} (12%) values than the men in this study. Similar results have been reported, with pCBF values being 9%–15% higher in women.5,10,36 Because various imaging modalities have indicated the same relative difference, it can be regarded as reliable, and it indicates that the accuracy of the ASL method was not affected by differences related to sex. The T1 relaxation of blood (T1\text{b}) can influence the accuracy of ASL perfusion measurements.26,37 Previous studies have shown higher mean T1\text{b} values (6%–9%) in women than in men, and the lower blood hematocrit level in women than in men may explain this observed sex difference in mean T1\text{b}.38–40 In a previous study, Piechnik et al40 found significantly higher mean T1\text{b} values in women than in men (1577 vs 1491 ms, respectively). Using equation 3 in the Appendix and T1\text{b} for men and for women, we estimated that the relative sex difference in pCBFA\_\text{SL} values in our data decreased from 12% to approximately 4%, which then is less than the PCMRI findings. In the same study, Piechnik et al40 found no differences in T1\text{b} values between HY (20–30 years) and HE (60–70 years) subjects, which indicates that the T1\text{b} effect is not the dominating factor in explaining the large effect of aging on pCBFA\_\text{SL}.

Motion artifacts during the ASL scan were not corrected, which might be a source of error in the pCBFA\_\text{SL} estimates. Another factor that might influence the ASL perfusion accuracy is the location of the labeling plane. In the present study, the labeling plane was located at the base of the cerebellum and should be
oriented perpendicularly to the cerebral feeding arteries. This placement was difficult to achieve; manually placing the labeling plane for ASL was not possible, because the current commercial implementation of pCASL does not allow it. Furthermore, the tortuosity of cerebral arteries increases with age, which may partly explain the underestimation of pCBFASL in HE subjects.45 For 5 HE subjects, pCBFASL values were unreasonably low (ie, of the order of 20–30 mL/min/100 g; Fig 1A). When we excluded these HE subjects from the analysis, we observed a minor increase (from 46 to 48 mL/min/100 g) in the mean pCBFASL in HE subjects, which did not change our main conclusions. Furthermore, we visually inspected the labeling-plane position in these 5 HE subjects, which did not change our main conclusions. Furthermore, the following description of the CBF quantification method was provided by the manufacturer of the MR imaging scanner:

$$\text{CBF} = \frac{6000 \lambda (1 - e^{-T_{ASL}/T_{R}})}{2\alpha T_{1b}(1 - e^{-T_{ASL}/T_{R}})} S_0 \Delta S$$

where PLD is the postlabeling delay time (1525 ms); $\alpha$ is the labeling fraction; $T_{ASL}$ is the labeling time; $T_{1b}$ and $T_{1GM}$ are the longitudinal relaxation times of blood (1600 ms) and GM (1200 ms), respectively; $T_{sat}$ is the saturation time (2000 ms); $S_0$ is the reference image signal (obtained voxelwise); and $\Delta S$ is the ASL difference image signal. The scaling factor 6000 was used to convert to CBF units (mL/min/100 g). In our study, it was assumed that WM perfusion can be calculated by using the model described above and setting parameters. Compared with the quantification proposed by Alsop et al,2 a term for compensation of the imperfect relaxation in the reference image is added.
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CONCLUSIONS

For mean parenchymal cerebral blood flow, a high degree of correlation was found between the ASL and PCMRI (reference) methods. For HY adults, the accuracy of pCBF assessment determined by ASL was good with regard to the systematic difference, though the randomized difference against the PCMRI method was outside of the limits according to our criteria. There were both systematic underestimation and a similarly large randomized difference in results for the HE subjects. Consequently, age-related reductions in pCBF became augmented with ASL compared with the reference method.