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Comparison of the Diagnostic Accuracy of DSC- and Dynamic Contrast-Enhanced MRI in the Preoperative Grading of Astrocytomomas


ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: Dynamic contrast-enhanced MR imaging parameters can be biased by poor measurement of the vascular input function. We have compared the diagnostic accuracy of dynamic contrast-enhanced MR imaging by using a phase-derived vascular input function and “bookend” T1 measurements with DSC MR imaging for preoperative grading of astrocytomomas.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: This prospective study included 48 patients with a new pathologic diagnosis of an astrocytoma. Preoperative MR imaging was performed at 3T, which included 2 injections of 5-mL gadobutrol for dynamic contrast-enhanced and DSC MR imaging. During dynamic contrast-enhanced MR imaging, both magnitude and phase images were acquired to estimate plasma volume obtained from phase-derived vascular input function (\(Vp_{\Phi}\)) and volume transfer constant obtained from phase-derived vascular input function (\(K_{trans_{\phi}}\)) as well as plasma volume obtained from magnitude-derived vascular input function (\(Vp_{SI}\)) and volume transfer constant obtained from magnitude-derived vascular input function (\(K_{trans_{SI}}\)). From DSC MR imaging, corrected relative CBV was computed. Four ROIs were placed over the solid part of the tumor, and the highest value among the ROIs was recorded. A Mann-Whitney U test was used to test for difference between grades. Diagnostic accuracy was assessed by using receiver operating characteristic analysis.

RESULTS: \(Vp_{\Phi}\) and \(K_{trans_{\phi}}\) values were lower for grade II compared with grade III astrocytomomas (\(P < .05\)). \(Vp_{SI}\) and \(K_{trans_{SI}}\) were not significantly different between grade II and grade III astrocytomomas (\(P = .08–.15\)). Relative CBV and dynamic contrast-enhanced MR imaging parameters except for \(K_{trans_{SI}}\) were lower for grade III compared with grade IV (\(P < .05\)). In differentiating low- and high-grade astrocytomomas, we found no statistically significant difference in diagnostic accuracy between relative CBV and dynamic contrast-enhanced MR imaging parameters.

CONCLUSIONS: In the preoperative grading of astrocytomomas, the diagnostic accuracy of dynamic contrast-enhanced MR imaging parameters is similar to that of relative CBV.

ABBREVIATIONS: DCE = dynamic contrast-enhanced; \(K_{trans_{\phi}}\) = volume transfer constant obtained from phase-derived vascular input function; \(K_{trans_{SI}}\) = volume transfer constant obtained from magnitude-derived vascular input function; rCBV = relative CBV; SI = signal intensity; VIF = vascular input function; \(Vp_{\Phi}\) = plasma volume obtained from phase-derived vascular input function; \(Vp_{SI}\) = plasma volume obtained from magnitude-derived vascular input function.

Conventional MR imaging by using gadolinium contrast enhancement has been reported to have high sensitivity but low specificity in the preoperative grading of gliomas.\(^1-4\) The accuracy of conventional imaging might be improved by adding perfusion-weighted imaging. In clinical practice, DSC imaging remains the most commonly used perfusion technique and provides semiquantitative measurements such as relative CBV (rCBV), which can be influenced by the presence of susceptibility artifacts and contrast leakage from tumor vessels.\(^5,6\)

Dynamic contrast-enhanced (DCE)-MR imaging is an alternate technique that can potentially provide absolute values of plasma volume (\(Vp\)) and a measurement of vascular permeability referred to as the volume transfer constant (\(K_{trans}\)). This technique generally involves additional measurements and postprocessing steps. Measurements of precontrast tissue T1 and the vascular input function (VIF) in the brain are usually needed for proper quantification of DCE-MR imaging.\(^7,8\) Recently, some au-
thors have suggested that measuring changes in the phase of the MR signal rather than its magnitude following gadolinium injection might provide a more accurate VIF because phase change inside the vessel is linearly related to the concentration of contrast agent. While measurement of precontrast tissue T1 is typically included in DCE-MR imaging studies, an additional measurement of tissue T1 postinjection ("bookend" method) might also improve the reproducibility of perfusion values in gliomas. To our knowledge, there have been few comparative studies between DCE and DSC imaging in patients with gliomas. The main objective of our study was to compare the diagnostic accuracy of DCE-MR imaging with DSC-MR imaging in the preoperative grading of astrocytomas at 3T by using a high-relaxivity agent. For DCE-MR imaging analysis, we performed 2 different methods: 1) using phase-derived VIF with bookend T1 measurements; and 2) using magnitude-derived VIF without T1 mapping.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient Population
All examinations were conducted in accordance with the guidelines for human research of The Ottawa Hospital, and written informed consent was obtained from all participating subjects. From March 1, 2011, to December 31, 2013, 70 consecutive patients presenting to our institution with a newly diagnosed brain lesion compatible with a glioma were asked to participate in this study. Following surgical resection or biopsy, histopathologic diagnosis was provided by an experienced neuropathologist by using the 2007 World Health Organization classification. Thirteen patients were excluded due to the absence of a histopathologic diagnosis or the presence of an alternate histopathologic diagnosis: no biopsy (n = 2), inconclusive biopsy (n = 1), oligodendrogliomas (n = 2), metastatic disease (n = 2), lymphomas (n = 2), glioneuronal tumors (n = 2), meningioma (n = 1), and neurosarcoïdosis (n = 1). Nine patients with astrocytomas were subsequently excluded for technical reasons: inadequate bolus injection of contrast (n = 4), hemorrhage within glioblastoma causing extensive susceptibility artifacts (n = 3), dynamic acquisition not centered over the tumor (n = 1), and inadequate VIF for the DCE acquisition (n = 1).

MR Imaging Acquisition Protocols
Conventional MR imaging was performed on a 3T scanner (TimTrio; Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) by using axial T1 precontrast (TR = 280 ms, TE = 2.51 ms, thickness = 3 mm), axial FLAIR (TR = 9710 ms, TE = 93 ms, TI = 2580 ms, thickness = 3 mm), axial T2 (TR = 6910 ms, TE = 97 ms, thickness = 3 mm), axial T1 Volume Interpolated Breathhold Examination (VIBE) postcontrast (TR = 8.48 ms, TE = 3.21 ms, flip angle = 12°, thickness = 1 mm), and coronal T1 postcontrast (TR = 280 ms, TE = 2.51 ms, thickness = 4 mm) images.

DCE-MR imaging was performed by using a 3D FLASH sequence (TR = 6.5 ms, TE = 1.7/3.9 ms, flip angle = 30°, thickness = 5 mm, 18 sections, temporal resolution = 3.5 seconds, duration = 440 seconds). This pulse sequence generated phase images in addition to the standard magnitude images. Both before and after the dynamic scan, two 3D Volume Interpolated Breathhold Examination (VIBE) sequences with different flip angles (TR = 20 ms, TE = 1.22 ms, flip angle = 4° and 25°, thickness = 5 mm, 18 sections) were acquired, which enabled calculation of T1 maps.

In patients weighing between 50 and 100 kg, a fixed preloaded dose of 0.05 mmol (equivalent to 5 mL) of gadobutrol (Gadavist 1.0; Bayer Schering Pharma, Berlin, Germany) was injected at 2 mL/s for DCE imaging. This also served to decrease the T1 effects before a second injection of 0.05 mmol of contrast was performed for the DSC perfusion imaging. In patients weighing <50 kg or >100 kg, we used a dose of 0.05 mmol/kg.

The second injection of contrast agent was given 10 minutes after the first injection at 4 mL/s. DSC imaging was performed by using a T2* EPI gradient recalled-echo pulse sequence (TR = 2380 ms, TE = 54 ms, flip angle = 90°, thickness = 5 mm, 18 sections, temporal resolution = 2.5 seconds, duration = 125 seconds).

Postprocessing of DCE Images
Two methods were used to process the DCE images.

Phase-Derived Vascular Input Function with Bookend T1 Correction. Voxelsewise maps of tissue contrast concentration with time were calculated by using pre- and post-DCE T1 maps combined with the tissue signal intensity-versus-time curve. Phase analysis was used to estimate the arterial input function from 1 section where the superior sagittal sinus ran approximately parallel with the main magnetic field and perpendicular to the section. A small ROI (2–4 pixels) was drawn at the center of the superior sagittal sinus, and the mean phase was measured as a function of time. The phase-versus-time curve was converted to a gadolinium-versus-time curve, which was then saved in a text file. This step was performed off-line by using in-house software written in IDL (Exelis Visual Information Solutions, Boulder, Colorado) and is described in previous articles. The gadolinium-versus-time curve was imported as the arterial input function in kinetic modeling analysis software (nordicICE software, Version 2; NordicNeuroLab, Bergen, Norway) for a voxel-by-voxel estimation of plasma volume obtained from the phase-derived vascular input function (Vp_Φ) and the volume transfer constant obtained from phase-derived vascular input function (Ktrans_Φ).

Postprocessing parameters were the following: noise level = 0, spatial smoothing = off, vascular deconvolution = on, normalize kinetic parameters = on, auto-detect arterial input function tissue delay = on, hematocrit correction factor = 0.45.

Magnitude-Derived Vascular Input Function with No T1 Correction. DCE magnitude images were processed directly in nordicICE to generate maps of plasma volume obtained from magnitude-derived vascular input function (Vp_SI) and volume transfer constant obtained from magnitude-derived vascular input function (Ktrans_SI). The signal intensity (SI) was converted to percentage change in signal intensity (relSI) by using the expression: relSI(t) = 100 × (S(t) − S0)/S0, where S is the SI at time t and S0 is the baseline SI. The relative change in 1/T1 was estimated from this relative change in signal intensity, which is assumed to be linearly related to 1/T1 changes. The VIF was selected from a small ROI placed in the superior sagittal sinus directly from the DCE images. Signal conversion was set as SI to relSI(%). Remaining postprocessing parameters were similar as for the
analysis with phase-derived vascular input function and bookend T1 correction.

**Postprocessing of DSC Images**

DSC images were processed by using singular value decomposition and deconvolution as implemented in nordicICE. MR signal intensity was converted to a T2 relaxation rate. An automated algorithm selected the most suitable pixels for VIF in a manually defined ROI covering the middle cerebral artery contralateral to the tumor. The SI was converted to relative change in R2 (ie, R2* = 1/T2*) by using the standard expression: \( \text{delR2(t)} = -\ln(S(t)/S_0)/\text{TE} \), where \( S \) is the SI at time \( t \) and \( S_0 \) is the baseline SI. Corrected rCBV maps were generated. Correction for leakage in rCBV calculations was done by using preinjection of contrast agent and linear fitting to estimate the T1 contamination caused in rCBV calculations was done by using preinjection of contrast agent and linear fitting to estimate the T1 contamination caused. Postprocessing parameters were set in nordicICE as the following: noise level = 0, spatial smoothing = min, temporal smoothing = min, signal conversion SI to delR2, vascular deconvolution = on, apply contrast agent leakage correction = checked, detect both T1 and T2 leakage values = checked.

**Image Interpretation**

Two fellowship-trained neuroradiologists blinded to the histopathologic diagnosis examined the structural images to determine the preoperative grade of the tumor. We used radiologic criteria described by Asari et al\(^7\) for grade II (nonenhancing mass, no central necrosis, absent or mild edema), grade III (enhancing mass, no central necrosis, mild or moderate edema), and grade IV gliomas (enhancing mass, with cyst or necrosis, moderate or severe edema). Axial T1-weighted postcontrast images were coregistered to the parametric maps. Because areas of highest values could vary between different parametric maps, a medical student traced 2 sets of ROIs: 1) 1 large “large tumor” ROI over the solid component of the tumor for the section where the tumor was largest (identical ROIs for all maps); and 2) 4 small “hot spot” ROIs (35 mm\(^2\)) over the areas of highest values, which could vary in location between maps. For each parametric map, the mean pixel value inside each of the 5 ROIs was calculated. For the 4 small hot spot ROIs, the 3 ROIs with the smallest values were discarded. Thus, for each parametric map, we recorded 2 values: 1 large tumor value and 1 hot spot value. All ROIs were verified by a neuroradiologist to ensure that inadvertent placement on an adjacent vessel or on hemorrhage was avoided. For DSC images, corrected rCBV values in tumors were normalized to the contralateral white matter.

**Statistical Analysis**

All data were analyzed by using MedCalc for Windows (Version 12; MedCalc Software, Mariakerke, Belgium). There were 5 components to the statistical analysis: 1) calculation of sensitivity and specificity for each reader by using the conventional, nondynamic contrast-enhanced MR images to grade gliomas; 2) assessment of interreader reliability by using the \( k \) statistic; 3) tests for differences in hot spot DSC- and DCE-derived parameters, according to grades by using Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney \( U \) tests; 4) assessment of the diagnostic accuracy for each parameter in grading gliomas by using receiver operating characteristic analysis; and 5) correlation analysis between large tumor DSC- and DCE-derived parameters by using a Spearman rank correlation coefficient.

**RESULTS**

**Participants**

From March 2011 to December 2013, we prospectively recruited 70 patients with a mass suspicious for glioma. Forty-eight patients (25 men, 23 women) with a new histopathologic diagnosis of astrocytomas and adequate DCE and DSC images were included in the study. The mean age was 57 years (95% CI, 53–62 years). There were 9 patients with grade II astrocytomas, 11 patients with grade III (including 3 oligoastrocytomas), and 28 patients with grade IV. Thirty-two patients (5 grade II, 8 grade III, and 19 grade IV) received steroids before MR imaging. The median time between imaging and surgery was 6 days. Thirty patients underwent a surgical biopsy (5 grade II, 3 grade III, and 5 grade IV), and 35 patients underwent a surgical resection.

**Accuracy of Conventional Imaging for Distinguishing Low- from High-Grade Gliomas**

For the differentiation of low- (grade II) and high-grade gliomas (grades III and IV), the sensitivity of contrast-enhanced MR imaging (95% for both readers) was higher than the specificity (56% for reader 1 and 67% for reader 2). The interreader agreement was moderate (weighted \( k = 0.56; 95\% \) CI, 0.37–0.75).

**DSC- and DCE-Derived Parameters according to Tumor Grades**

Tumor grade is a factor influencing DSC- and DCE-derived parameters according to the Kruskal-Wallis test of independent samples (\( P < .05 \)). Post hoc pair-wise comparisons according to the Mann-Whitney \( U \) test showed that median \( V_p, \Phi \) and \( K_{\text{trans}} \Phi \) parameters were lower for grade II compared with grade III astrocytomas (\( P < .05 \), On-line Table, Figure 1). Median rCBV, \( V_p, \Phi, K_{\text{trans}} \text{SI} \), and \( K_{\text{trans}} \text{SI} \) values for grade II were not significantly different from those of grade III astrocytomas (\( P = .08, P = .15 \), and \( P = .11 \) respectively; On-line Table, Figure 1).

Among grade III astrocytomas, median \( V_p, \Phi, K_{\text{trans}} \Phi \), and \( K_{\text{trans}} \text{SI} \) values were lower for the 7 pure astrocytomas than for the 4 astrocytomas with an oligoastrocytic component (\( P < .05 \)). No statistically significant difference was found for median rCBV and \( V_p, \Phi \) values between the 2 groups (\( P = .07 \)).

When comparing grade III with grade IV astrocytomas, median \( V_p, \Phi, V_p, \text{SI}, \text{rcBV}, \Phi, K_{\text{trans}} \Phi \), and \( K_{\text{trans}} \text{SI} \) values were significantly lower for grade III compared with grade IV (\( P < .05 \)), while \( K_{\text{trans}} \text{SI} \) values were not significantly different between the 2 groups (\( P = .056 \)).

**Accuracy of DSC- and DCE-Derived Parameters for Distinguishing Astrocytoma Grades**

In differentiating low- from high-grade astrocytomas, the accuracy of \( V_p, \Phi, V_p, \text{SI}, \text{rcBV}, \Phi \), and \( K_{\text{trans}} \Phi \) (area under the curve = 0.88, 0.81, and 0.84, respectively) seems to be a higher than that of \( K_{\text{trans}} \text{SI} \) and rCBV (area under the curve = 0.77 and 0.78), but this did not reach a statistically significant difference (Table 1). When we excluded patients with grade III oligoastrocytomas, the accuracy of various parameters was not affected. In differentiating grade III from grade IV gliomas, there was no significant differ-
ence in the accuracy among parameters (Table 2). When we excluded patients with grade III oligoastrocytomas, the specificity of each parameter was increased.

Correlation Analysis between DSC- and DCE-Derived Parameters

There was a moderate correlation between rCBV and Vp_Φ mean values obtained from large tumor ROI ($r = 0.54$, $P < .05$, Table 3). There was a weaker correlation between rCBV and Vp_SI ($r = 0.44$, $P < .05$). There was a good correlation between Vp_Φ and Vp_SI ($r = 0.77$, $P < .05$) and between $K^{\text{trans}}_\Phi$ and $K^{\text{trans}}_\text{SI}$ ($r = 0.82$, $P < .05$).

**DISCUSSION**

Conventional imaging is not always accurate in differentiating low- from high-grade gliomas, particularly in the presence of a nonenhancing or poorly enhancing tumor.

DSC and DCE perfusion imaging have been reported to help in the preoperative grading of gliomas. In the differentiation between low- (2) and high-grade (III and IV) astrocytomas and between grade III and IV astrocytomas, we have found that the diagnostic accuracies of DCE-derived Vp and $K^{\text{trans}}$ were similar to those of DSC-derived rCBV regardless of the choice of the VIF from magnitude or phase images. The diagnostic accuracies of DCE-derived parameters in this study were in the range of previously published data from 2 studies, 1 by using a hot spot method and 1 by using histogram analysis. The accuracy of DSC-derived rCBV in this study was also similar to that in previous studies using hot spot analysis.

Using the bookend T1 mapping and phase-derived VIF, we also found that DCE-derived Vp and $K^{\text{trans}}$ were lower for grade II than for grade III astrocytomas. This difference could not be observed when we used a simpler DCE method by using MR signal intensity only. In our study, this difference was due to the presence of oligoastrocytomas among grade II tumors because no difference was found when comparing grade II with grade III pure astrocytomas. To our knowledge, the higher $K^{\text{trans}}$ and Vp values seen in oligoastrocytomas relative to pure astrocytomas have not been previously reported with DCE imaging. It is known that DSC-derived rCBV is higher for oligoastrocytic and oligodendrogial tumors than for grade II and III astrocytic tumors. This finding can be explained by the cortical location and the presence of a "chicken wire" type of vascularity in oligodendrogliomas.

In our study, the correlation between DSC-derived rCBV and DCE-derived Vp was weak by using magnitude-derived VIF and moderate by using phase-derived VIF. It is possible that DCE-derived Vp and DSC-derived Vp are measuring slightly different vascular processes. Because we used a T2*-weighted DSC imag-

---

**Table 1: Diagnostic accuracy of DSC- and DCE-derived parameters in differentiating high- and low-grade astrocytomas using hot spot ROIs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>AUC</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Cutoff Value</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%)</th>
<th>Specificity (%)</th>
<th>Oligoastrocytomas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vp_Φ</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.68–1</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>Including</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vp_SI</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.68–1</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>Including</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rCBV</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.54–1</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>Including</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K^{\text{trans}}_Φ</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.66–1</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>Including</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K^{\text{trans}}_SI</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.58–0.95</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>Including</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K^{\text{trans}}_SI</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.57–0.94</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>Including</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*No statistically significant difference ($P > .05$) was found between area under the curve of different parameters.
Table 2: Diagnostic accuracy of DSC- and DCE-derived parameters in differentiating between grade III and grade IV astrocytomas using hot spot ROIs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>AUC</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Cutoff Value</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rCBV</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.60–0.97</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ktrans_Φ</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.89–1</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ktrans_SI</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.49–0.93</td>
<td>4.21</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vp_Φ</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.81–1</td>
<td>4.21</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vp_SI</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.51–0.95</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vp</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.56–1</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rCBV</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.57–0.94</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ktrans_Φ</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.66–1</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ktrans_SI</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.50–0.90</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ktrans</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.69–1</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a No statistically significant difference (P > .05) was between area under the curve of different parameters.

Table 3: Spearman coefficients of rank correlation (r) between DSC- and DCE-derived parameters obtained from identical large tumor ROIs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Vp_Φ</th>
<th>Vp_SI</th>
<th>rCBV</th>
<th>Ktrans_Φ</th>
<th>Ktrans_SI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vp_Φ (95% CI)</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.63–0.87</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.27–0.70</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vp_SI (95% CI)</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.27–0.70</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.17–0.64</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rCBV (95% CI)</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.56–0.84</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.45–0.79</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ktrans_Φ (95% CI)</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.49–0.81</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.59–0.85</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ktrans_SI (95% CI)</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.59–0.85</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.59–0.85</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a All correlations were statistically significant (P < .05).

One limitation of our study is the estimation of the precontrast T1 map by using a variable flip angle technique with only 2 flip angles. This approach is sensitive to B1 variation and could introduce errors in the conversion of DCE signal to concentration. However, the estimation of both pre- and postcontrast T1 maps can reduce errors in the conversion of signal-to-contrast concentration. A modified look-locker inversion recovery technique would have improved T1 mapping (data not shown), but this sequence was not available at the beginning of our study. Another limitation of the study is the small sample size, which might not provide enough power to reveal a statistically significant difference in the diagnostic accuracies between DSC and DCE parameters in differentiating low- from high-grade gliomas. The initial sample size calculation for our study was based on the recruitment of 35 patients with high-grade and 15 patients with low-grade gliomas. However, we could only enroll 9 patients with biopsy-proved low-grade gliomas, decreasing the power of our study. A third limitation is the possibility of inaccurate histo-
pathologic grading due to sampling error in the 13 patients who had a only biopsy and not a surgical resection.

CONCLUSIONS
In differentiating between low- and high-grade astrocytomas and grade III and IV astrocytomas, we found that DCE-derived $K_{\text{trans}}$ and $V_p$ parameters have the same diagnostic accuracy as DSC-derived rCBV.
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