Dual-Lumen Balloon Catheters May Improve Liquid Embolization of Vascular Malformations: An Experimental Study in Swine
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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: Liquid embolic agents are increasingly used to treat vascular malformations. We sought to assess embolization with these agents by using a dual-lumen balloon catheter in an experimental setting.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: Eighteen injections of liquid embolic agents were performed in the rete mirabile of swine. We used 3 methods to control liquid embolic agent reflux: 1) dual-lumen balloon-catheter (group A, n = 8); 2) injection of liquid embolic agent after proximal n-BCA plug formation through a second microcatheter (group B, n = 4); and 3) standard liquid embolic agent injection (group C, controls, n = 6). The following outcomes were graded by using ordinal scales by angiography, macrophotography, and radiography of retia after euthanasia: 1) angiographic and pathologic extent of liquid embolic agent embolization of the rete, 2) reflux of liquid embolic agents in the parent artery, and 3) density of liquid embolic agents in the proximal rete. Technical complications were also recorded. A successful injection was defined as an embolization that reached the contralateral rete without reflux into proximal external branches. Exact logistic regression analyses were performed to compare groups.

RESULTS: There were significant differences among groups for reflux (P = .029) and liquid embolic agent density in the proximal rete (P = .014), while extension to the contralateral rete did not reach statistical significance (P = .07). Injections differed among groups (P = .004), with dual-lumen balloon-catheter injections more frequently successful compared with control injections (P = .019).

CONCLUSIONS: Dual-lumen balloon catheters allowed better liquid embolic agent injections than standard injections.

ABBREVIATIONS: LEA = liquid embolic agent; PHIL = Precipitating Hydrophobic Injectable Liquid

E thylene-vinyl alcohol copolymer liquid embolic agents (LEAs) have changed the management of vascular malformations such as arteriovenous malformations and dural arteriovenous fistulas.1–4 LEAs such as Onyx (Covidien, Irvine, California) can be injected through small microcatheters, and on injection, they precipitate out of the dimethyl-sulfoxide solvent and slowly harden after coming in contact with blood. These agents are cohesive rather than adhesive and perhaps better controlled than other agents such as n-BCA. In many cases, these features (of LEAs such as Onyx/Phil) may permit longer injections and possibly more complete embolizations than other LEAs.1

The standard method used to control injections is to slowly inject the LEA, allowing some reflux for a short plug proximal to the catheter tip to form and harden, and to wait for the LEA to preferentially move in an antegrade direction deep into the nidus of the malformation. One factor potentially limiting the efficacy of the injection is excessive reflux of LEA back along the catheter, which can enter and occlude unwanted vessels.5 Additionally, if a segment of microcatheter that is too long is left in contact with the LEA for too long, the proximal plug may entrap the catheter tip in place. Removal of entrapped catheters has led to intracranial hemorrhages, leading to an FDA warning regarding this aspect of treatment with Onyx.6

Several potential solutions to these problems have been proposed. One option is to use 2 microcatheters together: One microcatheter with a detachable tip is used for the injection of the LEA, while the other microcatheter is used to deliver n-BCA quickly, intentionally gluing the detachable portion of the first
catheter, forming the proximal plug that will prevent reflux of LEA, and ensuring antegrade embolization of the nidus.7-9 Another option is to use a dimethyl-sulfoxide–compatible dual-lumen balloon catheter, in which 1 lumen is used to inflate a balloon and prevent reflux, while the second lumen delivers the embolic agent (Scepter; MicroVention, Tustin, California).5,10

Animal models may be useful to inform clinical applications of embolic agents.11-14 We sought to explore whether using a dual-lumen balloon catheter could improve embolization of the swine rete (a model often used for experimental AVM embolization)12,15,16 and prevent excessive reflux compared with more traditional techniques. We hypothesized that the use of the balloon would promote more complete nidus filling with less reflux and fewer complications than other methods.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Embolization**

Protocols for animal experimentation were approved by the Institutional Animal Care Committee in accordance with guidelines of the Canadian Council on Animal Care. All procedures were performed in 20- to 25-kg Yorkshire pigs under general anesthesia. Conventional angiography was performed via femoral puncture. Using a coaxial approach, we navigated microcatheters to the porcine ascending pharyngeal artery, which supplies the rete mirabile. Animals were randomly allocated to be embolized by using 1 of 3 treatment options: 1) Group A animals were embolized by using a dual-lumen balloon catheter \((n = 8)\); 2) group B animals were embolized by using a 2-microcatheter technique (1 detachable-tip microcatheter was used for LEA injection [Apollo; Covidien]; the other [Marathon; Covidien] was used for n-BPA proximal plug formation \((n = 4)\)); and group C were controls using a single-microcatheter technique (the balloon microcatheter, keeping the balloon deflated) \((n = 6)\).

Scepter is a dimethyl-sulfoxide- and Onyx-compatible dual-lumen balloon catheter. The profile of the Scepter is 2.8F proximally and 2.1F in its distal segment, with a working lumen inner diameter of 0.0165 inches. The balloon catheter is compatible with up to 0.014-inch guidewires.

Onyx 18 (Covidien) was the LEA used for 11 injections; a prototype LEA (Precipitating Hydrophobic Injectable Liquid [PHIL]; MicroVention) was used for 7 injections. This liquid embolic agent, PHIL, is a 2-component system. The first component is the flushing solution, which is an organic solvent, dimethyl-sulfoxide, and the second component is the liquid embolic agent, a copolymer that is dissolved in dimethyl-sulfoxide.

All injections were performed in random order, either by 2 interventionists (T.E.D. and J.C.G., 5 years of experience each) or by the senior author (J.R., 29 years of experience). All injections were observed by 2 interventionists. Randomization was performed by flipping a coin for allocation to an experimental group or control and by trying to balance Onyx and prototype LEA injections (1:1) for groups A and C. Thus, the number of injections with Onyx versus PHIL was 4:4 for group A and 3:3 for group C. The number of injections requiring balloon catheters (groups A and C) and LEA and the total number of animals were limited by the availability of material and the total budget for these experiments. Due to these constraints, the resulting final number of animals per group was unequal.

After slowly flushing the microcatheter dead space with dimethyl-sulfoxide and the dimethyl-sulfoxide with the LEA for 2 minutes, we injected the LEA slowly by using fluoroscopic guidance, attempting to reach the contralateral rete, until reflux out of the ascending pharyngeal artery occurred or until the rete was completely filled. Injections were also stopped when the embolic material reached the intracranial circulation. After control angiography, animals were euthanized and the rete mirabile was harvested and immersed in 10% formalin for macroscopic photography and radiographic and pathologic analyses.

Macroscopic photographs and radiographs of the embolized and formalin-fixed specimens were used to score the extent of LEA infiltration within the rete by using a 4-point scale. Grades were adjudicated by 2 readers (J.R. and J.C.G.) as follows: grade I, <50% of the ipsilateral rete; grade II, >50% but <100% of the ipsilateral rete; grade III, complete infiltration through the ipsilateral rete; grade IV, complete infiltration through the ipsilateral and >50% of the contralateral rete.

---

**FIG 1.** Schematics and radiographs demonstrating the different grades of extent of LEA infiltration through the porcine rete. A and E, Grade I, <50% infiltration of the ipsilateral rete. B and F, Grade II, >50% but <100% infiltration of the ipsilateral rete. C and G, Grade III, complete infiltration through the ipsilateral rete with <50% of the contralateral rete. D and H, Grade IV, complete infiltration through the ipsilateral and >50% of the contralateral rete.
Summary of LEA injections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group and Injection</th>
<th>Reflux</th>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Density</th>
<th>Technical Complications</th>
<th>LEA Used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group A: dual-lumen balloon catheter</td>
<td>1*</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Onyx 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>PHIL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4*</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>PHIL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>PHIL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>PHIL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7*</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Onyx 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Onyx 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group B: double-microcatheter technique</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Onyx 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Onyx 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Onyx 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group C: single-microcatheter (controls)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>PHIL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>PHIL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Onyx 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17*</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>PHIL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Onyx 18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Denotes a successful injection, defined as an injection with a grade >2 for extent of infiltration without excessive reflux (reflux score of <3).

The density of LEAs within the proximal rete mirabile was scored after microscopic examination by the same raters by using a 3-point scale, adjudicated as follows: grade I, acceptable reflux (limited to the distal two-thirds of the ascending pharyngeal artery); grade II, maximal permissible reflux (up to the proximal one-third of the ascending pharyngeal artery); and grade III, reflux into any artery other than the ascending pharyngeal, which was considered unacceptable.

The extent of rete embolization was assessed by using a weighted κ with a 95% confidence interval. The κ values were interpreted according to Altman: ≤0.20, poor agreement; 0.21–0.40, fair agreement; 0.41–0.60, moderate agreement; 0.61–0.80, good agreement; and 0.81–1.00, very good agreement.

**RESULTS**

The extent of rete embolization was greater in 8/8 double-lumen balloon animals (group A), 3/4 double-microcatheter animals (group B), and 3/6 single-microcatheter animals (group C) (P = .073). There was good (raters 1 versus 3 and 2 versus 3; 70% concordance; κ = 0.8 [range, 0.625–0.975]) to very good (rater 1 versus 2; 100% concordance; κ = 1) agreement in scoring extension of embolization between observers. Excessive (or grade 3) reflux occurred in 2/8 group A animals compared with 3/6 group B and 5/6 group C but only in 2/8 group A animals (P = .029). The density of LEA differed among groups (P = .014), with the rete mirabile of the group A animals found to be more densely filled than that of controls (P = .019).

### Technical Complications

In 2 injections of the control group, the microcatheter tip was trapped within the LEA plug. In 2 animals treated by using the 2-microcatheter technique (group B), serious complications occurred, with extensive reflux (of LEA in 1 case and n-BCA in the other), leading to inadvertent embolization of branches. On 2 occasions during LEA embolization, the inflated balloon moved proximally by approximately 1 cm when the injection pressure was increased to more completely fill the contralateral rete. The retrograde balloon movement was controlled by gently further inflating the balloon. These cases were considered minor problems. Macroscopic inspection of the microcatheters did not reveal any material on balloon surfaces or catheter tips, but there were a few microscopic particles of the LEA mixture in 2 cases. There was no instance of spontaneous balloon deflation, and balloons remained intact in all cases.

The frequency of injections judged to be “successful” differed among groups (P = .004), with more successful injections in the dual-lumen-balloon group (group A) animals compared with controls (group C, P = .019).
DISCUSSION

The main result of this investigation is that dual-lumen balloon catheters can be used to allow LEA to more readily reach the contralateral rete without excessive reflux compared with single-lumen catheter or 2-microcatheter techniques. The balloon remains intact and can be deflated and retrieved easily at the end of the injection.

There are several important steps to successful injection of LEAs, which have been summarized by Weber et al. Most operators used a “push and plug” technique, in which after establishing a small proximal plug of LEA, the operator resumes the injection, assessing under close fluoroscopic guidance whether the LEA is moving antegrade to fill the nidus or refluxing proximally. The operator halted the injection for at least 2 reasons: 1) Too much reflux threatened to fill an unwanted more proximal blood vessel, potentially leading to an ischemic insult; or 2) reflux increased the length of catheter exposed to the solidifying LEA, which can increase the risk of trapping the catheter. In this work, the use of a dual-lumen balloon-mounted catheter effectively mitigated these problems.

The dual-lumen balloon-mounted catheters studied in this work have already been used in human applications, including brain arteriovenous malformations and dural arteriovenous fistulas, leading to several early, enthusiastic clinical reports. One potential advantage of the balloon catheter is the ability to inject LEA, perhaps more safely, in the presence of a high-flow shunt.

To avoid complications, one must pay close attention, nonetheless, to the radiopaque LEA as it progresses from the microcatheter; retrograde flow can still occur despite an inflated balloon, and antegrade flow of LEA can still fill potentially dangerous anastomotic vessels.

Finally, the dual-lumen balloon catheter cannot be navigated as far distal in the vasculature as a simple microcatheter because it has a larger outer diameter. If an optimal position cannot be reached, a more proximal position from which to perform the injection risks filling of normal vessels “en route” to the nidus, with potential complications.

Limitations

One important limitation of this study is the small number of animals; in addition, groups were of unequal sizes, both affecting the power of statistical analyses. Although the rete mirabile is a plexiform vascular structure, it does not contain arteriovenous shunts. The surgical creation of an arteriovenous fistula can make this model more similar to an AVM. It is unclear whether results would have differed if this more complex model had been used. The persistence of variable antegrade flow from the contralateral ascending pharyngeal artery may have affected the extension of the embolic agent when injected in the contralateral rete. In our hands, the surgical creation of a shunt may also introduce variable flow patterns in the model, from one animal to another. In addition, the shunt may decrease with time in some animals, making timing of experiments critical.

We have used a simple but subjective grading system, rather than a previously published, more objective method, to quantify the extension of LEA embolization. Two different LEAs were used during these experiments, but we think that this had no important effect on results. The use of the prototype agent n-BCA mixed with Lipiodol (Guerbet, Roissy, France), which cannot be used with the Scepter; the use of these agents may lead to balloon rupture.

CONCLUSIONS

Balloon catheters may improve the control of LEA embolization in an animal model of vascular malformation.
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