Magnetic Susceptibility from Quantitative Susceptibility Mapping Can Differentiate New Enhancing from Nonenhancing Multiple Sclerosis Lesions without Gadolinium Injection
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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: Magnetic susceptibility values of multiple sclerosis lesions increase as they change from gadolinium-enhancing to nonenhancing. Can susceptibility values measured on quantitative susceptibility mapping without gadolinium injection be used to identify the status of lesion enhancement in surveillance MR imaging used to monitor patients with MS?

MATERIALS AND METHODS: In patients who had prior MR imaging and quantitative susceptibility mapping in a current MR imaging, new T2-weighted lesions were evaluated for enhancement on conventional T1-weighted imaging with gadolinium, and their susceptibility values were measured on quantitative susceptibility mapping. Receiver operating characteristic analysis was used to assess the diagnostic accuracy of using quantitative susceptibility mapping in distinguishing new gadolinium-enhancing from new nonenhancing lesions. A generalized estimating equation was used to assess differences in susceptibility values among lesion types.

RESULTS: In 54 patients, we identified 86 of 133 new lesions that were gadolinium-enhancing and had relative susceptibility values significantly lower than those of nonenhancing lesions ($\beta = -17.2; 95\% \text{CI},-20.2$ to $-14.2; P < .0001$). Using susceptibility values to discriminate enhancing from nonenhancing lesions, we performed receiver operating characteristic analysis and found that the area under the curve was $0.95 (95\% \text{CI}, 0.92$–$0.99)$. Sensitivity was measured at $88.4\%$, and specificity, at $91.5\%$, with a cutoff value of $11.2$ parts per billion for quantitative susceptibility mapping–measured susceptibility.

CONCLUSIONS: During routine MR imaging monitoring to detect new MS lesion activity, quantitative susceptibility mapping can be used without gadolinium injection for accurate identification of the BBB leakage status in new T2WI lesions.

ABBREVIATIONS: Gd = gadolinium; GRE = gradient echo; ppb = parts per billion; QSM = quantitative susceptibility mapping

Multiple sclerosis is an inflammatory disease of the central nervous system, characterized by focal T-cell and macrophage infiltrates associated with demyelination.1,2 Because stages of relapse and remission alternate during disease progression,3 identification and characterization of active lesions are critical for correct diagnosis and therapy.4 In clinical practice, current active lesion assessment is based on gadolinium (Gd) enhancement on T1-weighted (T1WI+Gd) MR imaging. However, because Gd enhancement reflects leakage of the blood-brain barrier, it is only an indirect measure of inflammation that is preceded and outlasted by infiltration of immune cells.5 The activation of resident innate immune cells may not be captured on T1WI+Gd.6 In ad-
activation (M1 type) tends to accumulate iron.\textsuperscript{18} It is known that microglia and macrophages in an alternative activation (M2 types) remove myelin debris from MS lesions where they enter peripheral circulation\textsuperscript{14–17}; the classic proinflammatory activation (M1 type) tends to accumulate iron.\textsuperscript{18} Both myelin debris removal from and iron accumulation in active MS lesions increase lesion magnetic susceptibility. Analyses of tissue susceptibility changes in sensitive tissues by using gradient-echo (GRE) MR imaging have demonstrated that during lesion development, the magnetic susceptibility of an MS lesion as measured on quantitative susceptibility mapping (QSM) increases rapidly as the lesion changes from gadolinium-enhancing to nonenhancing.\textsuperscript{19–21} This finding suggests that during MS lesion development, changes in the Gd-enhancing pattern on T1WI can be indicated by a susceptibility change measured on QSM. Accordingly, this study was designed to assess whether QSM is a viable technique to identify new enhancing MS lesions without Gd injection.

\textbf{MATERIALS AND METHODS}

The Weill Cornell Medical College institutional review board approved this retrospective study and waived the requirement for informed consent.

\textbf{Patient Population}

We examined MR images of patients with MS from August 2011 to January 2015 with at least 2 successive MR imaging sessions that included T2-weighted, Gd-enhanced T1-weighted, and GRE imaging. QSM was constructed in an automated manner from GRE data by using the morphologic QSM (QSM) increases rapidly as the lesion changes from gadolinium-enhancing to nonenhancing.\textsuperscript{19–21} This finding suggests that during MS lesion development, changes in the Gd-enhancing pattern on T1WI can be indicated by a susceptibility change measured on QSM. Accordingly, this study was designed to assess whether QSM is a viable technique to identify new enhancing MS lesions without Gd injection.

\textbf{MR Imaging Examination Protocol}

All examinations were performed on a 3T MR imaging scanner (Siemens HDxt; GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, Wisconsin) with an 8-channel head coil. The sequences for each patient were the following: T2WI fast spin-echo, pre- and postgadolinium 3D inversion recovery–prepared T1WI fast spoiled gradient-echo, and 3D T2*WI spoiled multiecho GRE. Imaging parameters for the multiecho GRE sequence were as follows: TR, 57 ms; number of echoes, 11; first TE, 4.3 ms; TE spacing, 4.8 ms; flip angle, 20°; bandwidth, 244 kHz; FOV, 24 cm; matrix, 416 × 320; section thickness, 2 mm. The GRE sequence was performed before Gd injection. The total imaging time was 16 minutes 30 seconds.

\textbf{RESULTS}

From the eligible 482 patients with MS, we identified 55 patients with at least 1 new T2WI lesion; there were 133 new T2WI lesions. (One patient was excluded because of motion artifacts on GRE images.) The mean age of the 54 remaining patients (11 men and 43 women) was 34.7 years (range, 20–52 years). The disease duration for these patients ranged from 0 to 18 years (mean, 5.71 ± 4.51 years) and the Expanded Disability Status Scale scores ranged from 0 to 6. The Table shows the demographics of these patients.

On T1WI+Gd, 86 (64.7%) of the 133 lesions from 33 patients were identified as enhancing, and 47 (35.3%), as nonenhancing.
from 25 patients (4 patients had both enhancing and nonenhancing lesions), with complete agreement among the 3 readers. For enhancing lesions, 69 (80.2%) of 86 were found to be isointense on QSM, and 17 (19.8%), slightly hyperintense in contrast to adjacent white matter. According to their enhancement on T1WI+Gd, the enhancing lesions were divided into 69 nodular and 17 shell. Thirteen of the 17 hyperintense enhancing lesions were shell-enhancing. All 47 nonenhancing lesions were hyperintense on QSM, but 4 (8.5%) of them were only slightly hyperintense. Sample images are illustrated in Fig 1.

The mean susceptibility of the lesions relative to normal-appearing white matter was 20.26 ± 7.55 ppb for nonenhancing lesions and 2.49 ± 6.39 ppb for enhancing lesions (both nodular and shell), and their distributions are illustrated by histograms in Fig 2. In the generalized estimating equation analysis of lesion susceptibility values among the 3 lesion types, both nodular-enhancing ($\beta = -19.6; 95\%\ CI, -23.5\; to\; -15.8; P < .0001$) and shell-enhancing lesions ($\beta = -13.5; 95\%\ CI, -19.0\; to\; -8.0; P < .0001$) had significantly lower susceptibility values compared with nonenhancing lesions. In the generalized estimating equation analysis of susceptibility values between enhancing and nonenhancing lesions, enhancing lesions had significantly lower susceptibility values compared nonenhancing lesions ($\beta = -17.2; 95\%\ CI, -20.2\; to\; -11.2; P < .0001$). The exchangeable correlation coefficient was 0.12 for the lesion-susceptibility model.

The receiver operating characteristic curve constructed from the mean relative susceptibility values of lesions is shown in Fig 3. The cross-validated area under the curve was 0.9530 (95% CI, 0.9201–0.9859) and the bootstrapped area under the curve was 0.9594 (95% CI, 0.9305–0.9884) for identifying enhancing lesions from QSM-measured susceptibility values. A relative susceptibility cutoff of 11.2 ppb to distinguish enhancing from nonenhancing lesions had a sensitivity and specificity of 88.4% and 91.5%, respectively.

**DISCUSSION**

Our data suggest that QSM and T2WI together allow accurate identification of enhancing lesions in patients with MS without Gd injection within new lesions on serial MR imaging. This may be a potential clinical application of the reported observation that the magnetic susceptibility of an MS lesion increases rapidly as it changes from Gd-enhancing to nonenhancing.19,21 Our study suggests that in serial MR imaging during regular monitoring of patients with MS, QSM may a substitute for Gd enhancement in assessing inflammatory activity.

Enhancement on T1WI+Gd is the current standard method to assess ongoing CNS inflammation for monitoring optimizing inflammation-suppressing treatment. Following the initial inflammatory reaction, the BBB opens and immune cells infiltrate the brain for about 3 weeks; therefore, T1WI+Gd may only offer a small window into lesion pathology.26 During this period, the microglia and macrophages take up and degrade myelin fragments; this process is reflected in the initial lack of change in the susceptibilities of active lesions on QSM. However, after the BBB seals, immune cells remain active in the brain tissue.17 For example, microglia and macrophages remove diamagnetic myelin fragments, and at the same time or afterward, microglia and macrophage cells with paramagnetic iron gather both at the periphery and within a lesion to further promote inflammation.16 Thus, both myelin debris removal and iron ac-

---

**Patient demographics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Enhancing Lesions</th>
<th>Nonenhancing Lesions</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (F/M)</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr) (mean)</td>
<td>36.24 ± 8.37</td>
<td>32.40 ± 6.43</td>
<td>.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease duration (yr) (mean)</td>
<td>5.85 ± 4.49</td>
<td>5.32 ± 4.05</td>
<td>.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDSS (mean)</td>
<td>1.70 ± 1.57</td>
<td>1.50 ± 1.69</td>
<td>.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note:* EDSS indicates Expanded Disability Status Scale.
cumulation likely contribute to the increase in lesion susceptibility observed on QSM. MS lesions are hyperintense for a few years, typically with bright rims on QSM; these bright rims can be interpreted as iron. Therefore, including QSM rather than Gd enhancement alone, in an MR imaging protocol for patients with MS may provide more detailed insight into early lesion dynamics in MS.

There has been interest in reducing scan time and cost when identifying the BBB leakage without Gd injection. Getting rid of the Gd injection may be necessary for patients with known contraindications to Gd, including those patients who are allergic to Gd or pregnant. Furthermore, the long-term safety of repeat Gd injections has undergone scrutiny by the FDA because of recent reports showing Gd accumulation in the brains of patients with normal kidney function (http://www.fda.gov/Safety/MedWatch/SafetyInformation/SafetyAlertsforHumanMedicalProducts/ucm456012.htm). The mechanism of Gd retention is not yet fully understood but may involve the Gd ion dissociating with the chelator in the contrast agent and binding to metal transporter and storage proteins in brain tissue. Of particular concern is that Gd accumulation in MS brains seems to be associated with degradation into secondary progression. Therefore, alternative imaging strategies that accurately characterize MS disease activity without Gd should be actively investigated, established, and disseminated to the MS community. Previous effort in identifying Gd-enhancing lesions has not been satisfactory, yielding a diagnostic accuracy of an area under the curve of 0.83 in receiver operating characteristic analysis by using semiquantitative and quantitative T1WI and T2WI and an accuracy of 72.1% by using diffusion-weighted imaging. Fundamentally, relaxation time and the diffusion coefficient are proportional to the correlation time, which reflects cellular content in a voxel and cannot differentiate Gd-enhancing and nonenhancing lesions. QSM used in this work reflects myelin debris removal and iron accumulation in MS lesions and improves the diagnostic accuracy to an area under the curve of 0.96, which may be accurate enough to serve as an alternative method for monitoring new inflammatory activity in patients with MS without Gd injection.

QSM used in this study is processed from complex data (both real and imaginary or both magnitude and phase) acquired in gradient-echo MR imaging. Because of its sensitivity to magnetic susceptibility, GRE has been used in previous studies to observe MS lesions. There are many ways to process or present GRE data; however, some of them are not direct measurements of tissue susceptibility. The commonly used magnitude hypointensity (T2*-weighted) and phase contrast at a given voxel depend on not only the tissue susceptibility in that voxel but also that of the nearby voxels in a convoluted manner, as well as imaging parameters, including field strength, TE, and object orientation. These blooming artifacts are problematic for depicting MS lesions but are addressed in QSM by deconvolving GRE phase data with the dipole kernel that connects tissue susceptibility with the magnetic field estimated from the GRE phase.

In this study, we tried to connect QSM, a potential new biomarker for assessing inflammation in MS, with Gd enhancement, which has been established in the clinical literature as a surrogate indicator for inflammation. It seems that there is enough temporal correlation between the 2 aspects of inflammation activity—BBB leakage and myelin debris removal/iron accumulation. This correlation may explain the very encouraging diagnostic sensitivity and specificity observed in this study when using only QSM to identify enhancing lesions in serial MR imaging examinations of new MS lesions. The evolution of an individual lesion in an MS brain may be regarded as independent from other lesions in the same MS brain, which may explain the observed similar areas under the curve for both jackknifing and bootstrapping receiver operating characteristic analysis.

This study has several limitations: 1) It was limited to assessing new enhancing lesions without Gd by using QSM in serial MR imaging. MS lesions older than 5 years may be chronically silent and QSM-isointense, confounding the interpretation of acute

![FIG 2. Susceptibility value histogram of enhancing and nonenhancing new lesions. The x-axis is the susceptibility value in parts per billion.](image1)

![FIG 3. Receiver operator characteristic curves for susceptibility relative to normal-appearing white matter to predict lesion-enhancing status. The area under the curve is 0.9594 from bootstrapped model and 0.9530 from the jackknife cross-validated ROC1.](image2)
lesions that are also QSM isointense on the first or a single MR imaging. This outcome would limit the role of QSM to monitoring new lesions in serial or longitudinal MR imaging. This serious limitation requires us to continue seeking other non-contrast agent MR imaging features that differentiate old chronic lesions from new enhancing ones. Alternatively, because T1WI+Gd reflects the BBB leakage and QSM reflects myelin debris removal and iron accumulation, it may be useful to integrate T1WI+Gd and QSM information to form a comprehensive score to characterize acute MS lesion activity. 2) The sensitivity was not perfect because some new enhancing lesions demonstrated moderate hyperintensity on QSM, most of which (82.3%,14/17) were shell-enhancing on T1WI+Gd instead of the common nodular-enhancing type. Shell-enhancing lesions may be considered in the late stage of enhancing lesions.26,37,38 When myelin debris with negative susceptibility is being removed from the lesion and enters the peripheral circulation.16,17 3) While QSM data are acquired, negative susceptibility is being removed from the lesion and enters the peripheral circulation.16,17 Current and future directions of QSM investigation are likely to be able to be substantiated by the superior diagnostic performance of QSM in detection and characterization of acute and chronic lesions.20

CONCLUSIONS
QSM can be used in routine serial MR imaging monitoring of patients with MS to accurately identify the BBB leakage of new enhancing lesions.26,37,38 When myelin debris with negative susceptibility is being removed from the lesion and enters the peripheral circulation.16,17 Current and future directions of QSM investigation are likely to be able to be substantiated by the superior diagnostic performance of QSM in detection and characterization of acute and chronic lesions.20
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