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ABSTRACT

SUMMARY: Initially used in the treatment of prostate cancer and uterine fibroids, the role of focused ultrasound has expanded as transcranial acoustic wave distortion and other limitations have been overcome. Its utility relies on focal energy deposition via acoustic wave propagation. The duty cycle and intensity of focused ultrasound influence the rate of energy deposition and result in unique physiologic and biomechanical effects. Thermal ablation via high-intensity continuous exposure generates coagulative necrosis of tissues. High-intensity, pulsed application reduces temporally averaged energy deposition, resulting in mechanical effects, including reversible, localized BBB disruption, which enhances neurotherapeutic agent delivery. While the precise mechanisms remain unclear, low-intensity, pulsed exposures can influence neuronal activity with preservation of cytoarchitecture. Its noninvasive nature, high-resolution, radiation-free features allow focused ultrasound to compare favorably with other modalities. We discuss the physical characteristics of focused ultrasound devices, the biophysical mechanisms at the tissue level, and current and emerging applications.

ABBREVIATIONS: FUS = focused ultrasound; MRgFUS = MR imaging–guided focused ultrasound; TMZ = temozolomide

The use of therapeutic ultrasound predates its role in diagnostic imaging. Early applications of therapeutic ultrasound were primarily in physical therapy for the treatment of musculoskeletal injuries, albeit in a low-energy, nonfocused manner. More recently, higher energy focused ultrasound (FUS) has demonstrated enormous therapeutic and research potential via newly discovered, unique bioeffects. Therapeutic FUS relies on acoustic wave propagation directed at a specific focus, generating high-resolution focal energy deposition while sparing intervening and adjacent tissues. Acoustic waves can be generated by single-element transducers. Newer generation devices offer multielement phased array transducers, allowing electronic steering of the focal zone.

Experimentation with neurologic FUS applications began in earnest more than 60 years ago with partial ablation of the basal ganglia in cats and monkeys in 1955 by Fry et al and intracranial tumor therapy in humans performed by Heimburger 3 decades later. Initially, impedance mismatch and nonuniformity at the soft-tissue-calvarial interface necessitated surgical craniotomy for intracranial FUS application. Once reliable transcranial propagation was achieved, the morbidity associated with craniotomy was no longer an obstacle in neurologic FUS application and the technique gained more widespread acceptance as a viable noninvasive alternative to current therapeutic options. Clinical and preclinical investigations into potential applications of the controlled deposition of mechanical energy, in the form of ultrasound, have since accelerated and yielded a variety of bioeffects based on the level of energy deposition. At high levels of deposition, tissue heating generates irreversible necrosis of the target area. As the temporally averaged rate of energy deposition is decreased, via decreasing the ultrasound intensity and duty cycle (i.e., ratio of ON and OFF), mechanical effects can increase the permeability of the blood-brain barrier and influence neuronal activity, via both reversible suppression and stimulation.

Advances in Transcranial FUS

In 2002, the biggest obstacle in the translation of FUS to neurologic applications was overcome when Clement and Hynynen achieved reproducible, high-resolution focal energy deposition via transcranial acoustic wave propagation, obviating craniotomy. This is accomplished via registration of data from CT interrogation of the calvaria, specifically to assess its attenuation, contour, and thickness. These data are registered with MR images and serve as input to the MR imaging–guided FUS (MRgFUS) appa-
ratus, which can individually steer up to 1024 ultrasound elements to compensate for predicted acoustic wave distortions at the soft-tissue–calvarial interface. Focused transcranial acoustic wave propagation can then be achieved with a resolution of approximately 1 mm. The phased array transducer also offers the versatility of creating numerous focal points and hence treating larger volumes. This is currently being evaluated for generating regional hyperthermia, which may enhance the efficacy of chemoradiation and radiation therapy (Fig 1).

Developments in real-time image guidance and monitoring have expanded the scope of FUS therapies, namely the integration of MR imaging–guided therapeutic systems. Early image guidance of FUS relied entirely on diagnostic ultrasound images for treatment planning and monitoring tissue-level effects, such as in the treatment of prostate cancer. Current MR imaging–guided therapeutic systems offer superior soft-tissue detail, allowing preservation of nontarget tissues and accurate identification of tumor margins and other potential ROIs. Temperature maps via noninvasive, near-real-time MR thermometry enable validation of effective treatment for thermal therapies (ie, ablation, hyperthermia) and determination whether the nontargeted tissue in adjacent regions has been spared.

The versatility of the MRgFUS apparatus is a product of its ability to manipulate the volume and degree of energy deposition. The magnitude of local energy deposition generated by focused acoustic wave propagation is influenced by the intensity and duty cycle of the ultrasound application. High-intensity, continuous FUS application can generate marked focal temperature elevation, reaching up to 65°C or greater (with tissue devitalization generally achieved at temperatures exceeding 55°C) within a matter of seconds. When FUS is applied in a pulsed, high-intensity fashion, cooling can occur between the pulses and the temporally averaged intensity can be lowered substantially. These factors lower the temperature elevations to just a few degrees Celsius. As a result, mechanical effects predominate on local cytoarchitecture, without irreversible thermal injury. Further reduction in energy deposition is achieved with a pulsed low-intensity application, which may generate unique neuromodulatory effects with negligible temperature elevations (Fig 2).

**High-Intensity, Continuous FUS**

The therapeutic benefit of high-intensity continuous FUS application is related to the degree of localized temperature elevation, resulting in irreversible coagulative necrosis at the tissue level, and is the basis of ablative therapies. The treatment of benign prostatic hyperplasia and prostate cancer was the earliest clinical application of this form of FUS and used a transrectal transducer and a collinear ultrasound imaging transducer for both treatment planning and monitoring. Newer generation devices use MR imaging guidance and were first used for the treatment of uterine fibroids. The use of MRgFUS in the treatment of uterine fibroids has since acquired FDA approval and is reimbursed by insurance providers on an individual basis. The advent of transcranial devices has provided the impetus for investigation into a variety of neurologic applications.

One of the more promising applications of FUS for thermal ablation has been in the treatment of medication-refractory essential tremor. While the exact etiology of the disorder is not entirely understood, disruption of the ventral intermediate nucleus of the thalamus, via stereotactic radiosurgery or deep brain stimulation, has been shown to be effective in the management of symptoms. In 2013, Elias et al demonstrated reliable improvement in tremor-related disability up to 1 year after MRgFUS-induced unilateral thalamotomy of the ventral intermediate nucleus. Other institutions have since successfully replicated these findings, and the procedure was recently FDA approved. Recent evidence also suggests a role for FUS-induced thermal ablation in cases of Parkinson disease refractory to pharmacologic intervention. In a small trial (n = 13), FUS-induced thermal ablation of the pallidothalamic tract resulted in significant reduction in symptoms as measured by the Unified Parkinson Disease Rating Scale. This has provided the impetus for investigation into a variety of neurologic applications.
investigations are necessary. Established in the ablation of primary gliomas, and continued
by applying FUS in a pulsed mode rather than a continuous approach, the temporally averaged rate of energy deposition is comparable with that in stereotactic radiosurgery when effective parameters were applied. 

Similarly, MRgFUS-induced central lateral thalamotomy in patients with medication-refractory neuropathic pain syndrome has also been shown to result in symptomatic relief. In a small study (n = 11) performed in 2012, immediate pain relief was achieved during sonication in more than half the subjects. All except 1 patient experienced substantial symptomatic relief, which persisted 1 year after therapy (57% mean pain relief). The study included patients with both peripheral and central etiologies of neuropathic pain syndrome, and symptomatic benefit was similar in both groups.

An effective and safe protocol for MRgFUS in the treatment of primary solid intracranial neoplasms remains more elusive. The infiltrative nature of primary gliomas makes ablation uniquely challenging. In a small clinical trial assessing the role of MRgFUS in the ablation of high-grade gliomas, coagulative necrosis and complete ablation of the tumors were not achieved in 3 patients undergoing MRgFUS treatment. After modification of the protocol, a fourth patient who underwent treatment had successful ablation of the tumor but with fatal intracranial hemorrhage a few days after treatment, possibly related to an underlying coagulopathy. Current understanding is that lower frequency application, as attempted in the fourth patient, seems to be associated with an increased risk of bleeding. A universal protocol has not yet been established in the ablation of primary gliomas, and continued investigations are necessary.

**High-Intensity, Pulsed FUS**

By applying FUS in a pulsed mode rather than a continuous application, the temporally averaged rate of energy deposition is reduced. As a result, lower temperature elevations are achieved without irreversible thermal injury. Rather, mechanical effects will predominate, which may be used to enhance drug and gene delivery via reversible localized enhanced permeability of the BBB (Fig 3). Early investigations into FUS-induced BBB permeability enhancement were inconsistent and required acoustic energy levels that resulted in localized tissue damage in the region of treatment. Later development demonstrated that when these exposures were used in conjunction with ultrasound contrast agents in the form of “microbubbles,” lower acoustic energies were required and BBB permeability was more reproducible. The underlying mechanism is related to the controlled oscillation of the bubbles by the varying pressure field of the ultrasound wave. It is theorized that bubble interactions with endothelial cells lead to the compromised integrity of the tight junctions and subsequent “leakiness” of the endothelial membrane.

Enhanced drug delivery by using FUS is actively being investigated in several studies to determine a role in the treatment of CNS neoplasms. Glioblastoma multiforme, the most common primary brain tumor in adults, is among the most lethal CNS neoplasms and has limited chemotherapeutic options. Temozolomide (TMZ) is one of the few agents that has shown a survival benefit in multiple large phase III trials, though posttreatment, 5-year mortality remains abysmal. In a small preclinical study with a noninvasive glioma model, Wei et al compared small-, medium-, and high-dose TMZ groups with a medium-dose TMZ + FUS group in regard to CSF/plasma TMZ concentrations, volume of tumor progression, and survival time in a rat model. The TMZ + FUS group demonstrated the highest TMZ CSF/plasma ratio, and MR imaging confirmed that the TMZ + FUS group showed the lowest rate of tumor progression compared with TMZ alone, regardless of concentration (Fig 4). Most important, the TMZ + FUS group was the only group to demonstrate a survival benefit compared with the control group (15% median survival time benefit).

Enhanced CNS delivery via FUS has also been investigated by using different classes of therapeutic agents, including gene-carrying vectors, stem cells, and immunotherapies such as targeted antibodies. Specifically in the case of Alzheimer disease, immunotherapy in the form of anti-amyloid-β plaque antibodies has yielded promising results. Independent investigations by Raymond et al and Jordão et al have shown that FUS can be used to significantly increase CNS concentrations of anti-amyloid-β antibodies in a mouse model (approximately 3-fold in the Raymond et al group). By comparing the amyloid-β plaque burden between antibody and FUS + antibody cerebral hemispheres, the Jordão et al group further demonstrated a significant reduction in amyloid-β plaque burden in the antibody + FUS treatment hemisphere relative to the contralateral FUS-naive cerebral hemi-
sphere. More recently, a novel modified FUS protocol developed by Leinenga and Götz applied in a mouse model demonstrated that diffuse BBB permeability could be achieved via multiple sonication sites throughout the forebrain, reducing amyloid-plaque burden without pharmacologic intervention. The etiology of amyloid-plaque reduction is proposed to be related to enhancement of intrinsic microglial phagocytosis.

Low-Intensity, Pulsed FUS

Neuromodulation, or the ability to reversibly influence neuronal activity, either via excitation or reversible suppression, has enormous therapeutic potential. Other neuromodulatory modalities have already been used extensively in the treatment of a variety of diseases. For instance, deep brain stimulation has gained widespread acceptance in the treatment of movement disorders, including Parkinson disease and essential tremor. Electroconvulsive therapy and, more recently, transcranial magnetic stimulation have also demonstrated efficacy in the treatment of major depression. When used in a low-intensity manner, FUS can also produce local neuromodulatory effects creating negligible temperature elevations and complete preservation of target cytoarchitecture.

The ability of ultrasound to influence neuronal activity was first established by Fry et al. in 1958 via ultrasound-induced reversible inhibition of visually-evoked potentials. As the role of FUS has expanded, so has the number of studies into the mechanisms and efficacy of ultrasound-induced neuromodulation. Mechanistic investigation into the effects of low-intensity ultrasound on neuronal electrophysiology by Tyler et al. in 2008 showed that ultrasound application triggered opening of sodium (Na+) voltage-dependent channels, free calcium (Ca2+) channels, and ultimately an increase in soluble N-ethylmaleimide sensitive fusion proteins attachment receptor-mediated synaptic vesicle exocytosis and synaptic transmission in excised hippocampal sections of mouse brain. In vivo neurostimulation through an intact skull in mice has also been shown, without an increase in apoptosis or loss of BBB integrity. This was measured indirectly by using antibodies targeting apoptotic mediators and via a lack of intra-axial fluorescein isothiocyanate-dextran (10 kDa), which does not cross the BBB under normal conditions.

More recently, Yoo et al. achieved reliable neurostimulation and reversible suppression by using remote low-intensity FUS in a rabbit model, as confirmed by fMRI and electroencephalographic recordings (Fig 5). Concurrent MR thermometry measured temperature elevations at the FUS transducer.
Clinical applications of focused ultrasound and the proposed mechanisms of action

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUS Exposures and Effects on Biologic Tissues</th>
<th>Mechanism of Action</th>
<th>Applications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High-intensity continuous application</td>
<td>Thermal → coagulative necrosis</td>
<td>Thalamotomy: essential tremor, chronic neuropathic pain, obsessive-compulsive disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-intensity pulsed application</td>
<td>Oscillation of ultrasound “microbubble” contrast agents</td>
<td>Enhanced agent CNS delivery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-intensity pulsed application</td>
<td>Mechanical → activation/inhibition of Na⁺ and Ca²⁺-gated ion channels</td>
<td>Noninvasive neuromodulation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Temperature elevations of approximately 0.7°C, well below levels required to cause tissue devitalization. Most important, the FUS exposures did not result in damage to the BBB as demonstrated by the absence of enhancement on postcontrast MR imaging or cytoarchitectural distortion as confirmed by histologic evaluation.

Low-intensity FUS for neuromodulation is one of the newest applications of FUS currently being investigated. Preliminary studies have been promising, and research is ongoing.

**Advantages and Obstacles of FUS**

High-intensity MRgFUS shares a great deal of therapeutic overlap with surgical resection and stereotactic radiosurgery, albeit with a few important distinctions. Both MRgFUS and radiosurgery compare favorably with surgical intervention in that both do not require surgical craniotomy. While radiosurgery is a mainstay in the treatment of intracranial neoplasms, its role in nonneoplastic pathologies is somewhat limited due to ionizing radiation exposure. In contradistinction, MRgFUS offers reliable, high-resolution energy deposition without radiation exposure or thermal injury to nontarget intracranial tissues.

As a tool for therapeutic agent delivery, FUS is the only available method of generating localized reversible BBB permeability in a noninvasive manner. Selective transport across the BBB is a challenge in ensuring CNS delivery. A common obstacle in the development of CNS immunotherapy is the requirement of molecular modification to allow prohibitively large agents to traverse the BBB. While other noninvasive methods have been developed, customized modification of each agent is required to take advantage of endogenous transport mechanisms, imposing a costly and time-intensive burden on translation to clinical trials. Use of an FUS-induced BBB opening would substantially decrease the size restrictions dictating molecular permeability and expedite assessment of clinical efficacy.

FUS offers unique benefits as a therapeutic technique in influencing neuronal activity. FUS-induced neuromodulation does not require surgical craniotomy as is required for deep brain stimulation or subdural and epidural cortical stimulation. Other noninvasive systems, such as transcranial magnetic stimulation and electroconvulsive therapy can be effective; however, they offer inferior spatial resolution. The superior spatial resolution offered by FUS could complement functional imaging modalities such as fMRI, to potentially serve as a powerful tool in functional connectivity studies.

Important obstacles remain in the development of FUS as a clinical tool. Further investigations into safe and effective protocols in the ablation of gliomas are needed because its role has lagged behind that of thalamotomy in the treatment of movement disorders and neuropathic pain. Achieving generalizability has also been challenging, most notably due to the limitations of acoustic accessibility in the ablation of superficial lesions or treatment envelope and in patient selection based on heat generation at the calvaria. Overcoming these limitations is actively being investigated.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The discovery of a noninvasive method of focusing mechanical energy, in the form of acoustic waves, within the parenchyma has heralded a new age of investigations into clinical and research applications. In the brief time since transcranial acoustic wave propagation was achieved, FUS has empirically demonstrated efficacy in the ablation of tissues, therapeutic agent delivery, and neuromodulation. The ability to focus acoustic wave propagation noninvasively on the scale of a few millimeters while manipulating the magnitude of energy deposition to create unique bioeffects offers versatility that is unparalleled in neurotherapeutics and research (Table). The role of FUS appears destined to expand as investigations into its utility continue at a rigorous pace.
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