Differences in Callosal and Forniceal Diffusion between Patients with and without Postconcussive Migraine

L.M. Alhilali, J. Delic and S. Fakhran

AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2017, 38 (4) 691-695
doi: https://doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A5073
http://www.ajnr.org/content/38/4/691
Differences in Callosal and Forniceal Diffusion between Patients with and without Postconcussive Migraine

L.M. Alhilali, J. Delic, and S. Fakhran

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: Posttraumatic migraines are common after mild traumatic brain injury. The purpose of this study was to determine if a specific axonal injury pattern underlies posttraumatic migraines after mild traumatic brain injury utilizing Tract-Based Spatial Statistics analysis of diffusion tensor imaging.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: DTI was performed in 58 patients with mild traumatic brain injury with posttraumatic migraines. Controls consisted of 17 patients with mild traumatic brain injury without posttraumatic migraines. Fractional anisotropy and diffusivity maps were generated to measure white matter integrity and were evaluated by using Tract-Based Spatial Statistics regression analysis with a general linear model. DTI findings were correlated with symptom severity, neurocognitive test scores, and time to recovery with the Pearson correlation coefficient.

RESULTS: Patients with mild traumatic brain injury with posttraumatic migraines were not significantly different from controls in terms of age, sex, type of injury, or neurocognitive test performance. Patients with posttraumatic migraines had higher initial symptom severity ($P = 0.01$) than controls. Compared with controls, patients with mild traumatic brain injury with posttraumatic migraines had decreased fractional anisotropy in the corpus callosum ($P = 0.03$) and fornix/septohippocampal circuit ($P = 0.045$). Injury to the fornix/septohippocampal circuit correlated with decreased visual memory ($r = 0.325, P = 0.01$). Injury to corpus callosum trended toward inverse correlation with recovery ($r = -0.260, P = 0.05$).

CONCLUSIONS: Injuries to the corpus callosum and fornix/septohippocampal circuit were seen in patients with mild traumatic brain injury with posttraumatic migraines, with injuries in the fornix/septohippocampal circuit correlating with decreased performance on neurocognitive testing.

ABBREVIATIONS: CC = corpus callosum; FA = fractional anisotropy; FSHC = fornix/septohippocampal circuit; 5-HT = serotonin; mTBI = mild traumatic brain injury; PTH = posttraumatic headache; PTM = posttraumatic migraine
and subcortical connectivity after mTBI mirror changes seen in patients with nontraumatic migraine. Structurally, similar regions of gray matter volume loss have been found in the frontal regions in patients with both nontraumatic migraines and chronic PTM. However, no studies have yet evaluated the role of white matter injury in the development of PTM, despite white matter injuries being seen with nontraumatic migraine, especially in the corpus callosum (CC). Given increasing awareness of white matter injuries underlying nontraumatic migraine, we sought to determine whether there was a relationship between white matter injury and PTM in patients with mTBI. Previous studies have shown that distinct white matter injuries after mTBI result in different and unique postconcussion symptoms, including sleep-wake disturbances, vestibulopathy, and ocular convergence insufficiency. We therefore hypothesized that a unique axonal injury pattern also underlies PTM, with a distinct injury pattern in patients with mTBI with posttraumatic migraine symptoms. Thus, the purpose of this study was to determine whether a central axonal injury underlies PTM after mTBI by using a Tract-Based Spatial Statistics (TBSS; http://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fslwiki/TBSS) analysis of diffusion tensor imaging.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Subjects**
Our institutional review board approved this study, with a waiver of informed consent. All studies included were performed as the standard of care, and retrospectively reviewed.

We searched our electronic medical records to retrospectively identify MR imaging studies performed with DTI for mTBI. Radiology reports from March 1, 2006, to January 1, 2014, were searched with the keywords “concussion” and “diffusion-tensor imaging.” Inclusion criteria for patients and controls were the following: 10–50 years of age, witnessed closed head trauma, no focal neurologic deficit, no loss of consciousness of >1 minute, posttraumatic amnesia of <30 minutes, and English language proficiency. Exclusion criteria for patients and controls were the following: a history of a neuropsychiatric illness (2 patients) or substance abuse (3 patients), abnormal CT or conventional MR imaging findings (3 patients), lack of DTI (4 patients) or neurocognitive assessment (6 patients), or the initial Total Symptom Score being zero (3 patients).

Patients underwent neurocognitive testing with the Immediate Post-Concussion Assessment Cognitive Test, which also produces a Total Symptom Score by using a 7-point Likert survey over 22 categories. Individuals were classified as patients if they had migraine headaches based on the International Headache Society guidelines following a postconcussion clinical examination (Table 1). The remaining patients with mTBI were selected as controls. Postconcussive headache was not an exclusion criterion for controls as long as it did not meet the International Headache Society guidelines for migraines. “Time to recovery” was defined as the patient stating that he or she was asymptomatic or the Total Symptom Score being zero (clinical examination performed by a clinical neuropsychologist with 14 years of experience in treating patients with concussion and chart review by 2 Certificate of Added Qualification—certified neuroradiologists [L.M.A., S.F.] with 5 years of experience).

**Definition of migraine**

At least 5 attacks fulfilling the following criteria:
1) Headache lasting 4–72 hr
2) Headache with at least 2 of the following characteristics: Unilateral location Pulsating quality Moderate or severe pain intensity Aggravation by or causing avoidance of routine physical activity
3) During the headache, at least 1 of the following: Nausea and/or vomiting Photophobia or phonophobia
4) Not attributed to another disorder

**Definition of aura**

At least 1 of the following, but no motor weakness:
1) Fully reversible visual symptoms, including positive features (eg, flickering lights) and/or negative features (ie, loss of vision)
2) Fully reversible sensory symptoms, including positive features (ie, pins and needles) and/or negative features (ie, numbness)
3) Fully reversible dysphasic speech disturbance

**DTI and Conventional MR Imaging Assessments**

Conventional MR imaging and DTI were performed with a 1.5T unit (Signa; GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, Wisconsin) and a standard head coil. Despite the relatively long span of this study, all patients and controls included in this study underwent the same imaging sequences on the same system as follows: sagittal and axial T1-weighted (TR, 600 ms; TE, minimum; section thickness, 5 mm; NEX, 1), axial proton-density–weighted (TR, 2000–2500 ms; TE, minimum; section thickness, 5 mm; NEX, 1), T2*-weighted (TR, 10,000 ms; TE, minimum; section thickness, 5 mm; NEX, 1), fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (TR/TE, 9000–10,000/149 ms; TI, 2200 ms), and diffusion-weighted (single-shot echo-planar sequence; TR, 10,000 ms; TE, minimum; section thickness, 5 mm; NEX, 1), fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (TR/TE, 9000–10,000/149 ms; TI, 2200 ms), and diffusion-weighted (single-shot echo-planar sequence; TR, 10,000 ms; TE, minimum; section thickness, 5 mm; NEX, 1), fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (TR/TE, 9000–10,000/149 ms; TI, 2200 ms) sequences were performed. FOV ranged from 200 to 240 mm. DTI was performed with a single-shot echo-planar sequence (TR/TE, 4000/80 ms; NEX, 2; section thickness, 5 mm; matrix, 128 × 128; FOV, 260 × 260; FOV, 260 × 260). Diffusion gradients were set in 25 noncollinear directions by using 2 b-values (b=0 and 1000 s/mm²).

**TBSS Analysis**

TBSS from FSL (http://www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl) was used to analyze DTI by using a skeleton-based approach to resolve alignment inaccuracies. TBSS works well for studies that include both adults and children, in which variability in head size or FOV may hinder other voxelwise techniques. Accordingly, TBSS has been used in studies of white matter development spanning pediatric and adult populations and to evaluate pathologies in both children and adults, such as mTBI. Analysis was performed as follows: frac-
tional anisotropy (FA) or diffusivity images, including mean diffusivity, axial diffusivity, and radial diffusivity, were aligned into a common space by using the FMRIB Nonlinear Registration Tool (FNIRT; http://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fslwiki/FNIRT), which uses a B-spline representation of the registration warp field. A mean FA image was then created and thinned to create a mean FA skeleton. The FA skeleton was thresholded for FA $\geq 0.2$ to suppress areas of extremely low FA or regions with considerable variability. Each subject’s aligned FA data were then projected onto this skeleton, and the resulting data were fed into voxelwise cross-subject statistics.

A Monte Carlo permutation test (5000 permutations) was used with a general linear model and threshold-free cluster enhancement (significance at $P < .05$, family-wise error—corrected for multiple comparisons). We used a general linear model to determine areas of significantly different DTI metrics in patients with mTBI compared with controls, adjusting for covariates of age, sex, and prior concussion. Processing was performed by 2 Certificate of Added Qualification—certified neuroradiologists with 5 years of neuroradiology experience (L.M.A., S.F.) and a physician with 3 years of image analysis training (L.M.A.).

**ROI Data Analysis**

ROI analysis was performed to quantify DTI values in regions of significant difference localized with TBSS. ROI analysis was based on the original TBSS mean FA skeleton overlaid with regions of significant difference in DTI metrics between patients and control subjects (corrected, voxelwise). The clusters with significant differences between patients and controls were used as ROIs for further analyses. FA or diffusivity values of patients and control subjects were then extracted in an automated fashion from each of these ROIs. Data extraction was limited to the voxels contributing to the TBSS skeleton to minimize partial volume effects. Values between patients and controls were compared with a 2-sample t test. Correlation of FA or diffusivity values extracted from the ROI with continuous variables was performed with the Pearson correlation coefficient. The Cohen $d$ was used to assess effect size. Analysis was performed by 2 Certificate of Added Qualification—certified neuroradiologists with 4 years of experience in image analysis (L.M.A., S.F.).

**Additional Statistical Analyses**

Statistical analysis of proportions and means in the demographic data was performed with the Fisher exact test and the unpaired 2-tailed $t$ test, respectively. $P < .05$ was considered a statistically significant difference. Analysis was performed by a physician with postgraduate statistics training (L.M.A.).

**RESULTS**

**Subjects**

Seventy-four subjects with mTBI were included (51 males, 23 females; mean age, 18 years; range, 10–47 years). The median time from injury to presentation was 20 days (range, 0–506 days). Sports injury was the most common mechanism of trauma (43 patients, 57%), followed by motor vehicle collision (9 patients, 12%). Of the 74 patients with mTBI included, 58 had PTMs. Controls consisted of 17 patients with mTBI without PTMs.

Patients with mTBI with migraines had a significantly higher Total Symptom Score than those without migraines ($P = .01$). Patients with PTMs trended toward poorer performance on tests of processing speed ($P = .05$). Comparison of the demographic and clinical characteristics between subjects with mTBI with and without PTMs is summarized in Table 2.

**DTI Assessment with TBSS**

Voxelwise analysis in patients with mTBI with and without PTM demonstrated that those with PTM had significantly lower FA in the CC and fornix/septohippocampal circuit (FSHC) than those without PTM (Fig 1). There was a trend toward increased mean diffusivity ($P = .10$) in the CC of those with compared with those without PTM. There were no regions where patients with mTBI without PTM had lower FA or higher mean diffusivity than those with mTBI with PTM. No significant differences were seen between the 2 groups in axial or radial diffusivity.

**DTI Assessment with ROI Analysis**

FA in regions of abnormality in the FSHC identified by TBSS correlated with performance on neurocognitive tests of visual memory ($r = 0.325$, $P = .01$). Comparison of FA in ROIs for patients with mTBI with and without PTM are summarized in Tables 3 and 4.

**DISCUSSION**

Using voxel-based analysis of DTI, we found injury to the CC and FSHC in patients with mTBI with PTM compared with mTBI without PTM. Injuries to the FSHC correlated with decreased performance on neurocognitive testing in the realm of visual memory.

Previous studies have used spectroscopy or volumetric analysis of gray matter to evaluate changes associated with PTH. These studies have found evidence of multifocal neuronal injury in the frontal and parietal regions, with spectroscopy and gray matter volume loss in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex. However,
injury is a common feature of both mTBI and migraines.11,14,15

tegrity of the white matter, despite evidence that white matter
these studies did not directly interrogate the microstructural in-
tegrity of the white matter, despite evidence that white matter
lesions in these areas (eg, macroscopic trauma, multiple sclerosis)
help radiologists recognize the relationship between macroscopic
injury is a common feature of both mTBI and migraines.11,14,15

Our DTI-based evaluation of white matter microstructure in
PTMs demonstrated common abnormalities in 2 major regions:
the CC and FSHC. Both of these regions have been implicated in
the pathogenesis of nontraumatic migraines. DTI abnormalities
in the CC are commonly seen in nontraumatic migraine, in which
they are associated with a more chronic disease course, greater
headache frequency, and comorbid neuropsychiatric condi-
tions.23 It is therefore not surprising that FA in the CC in our
study trended toward an inverse correlation with time to recov-
ergy. The role of the FSHC in migraines is less clear, but it has been
implicated in cortical spreading depression, a propagating, tem-
porary loss of membrane potential in neurons thought to play a
part in the pathophysiology of migraines.20,22

The FSHC contains serotonergic pathways. Multiple studies
have documented the important role of central serotonergic sys-
tems in the neurobiology of migraine, with abnormalities seen in
serotonin (5-HT) reuptake/metabolism and 5-HT-related signal-
ing both during and between migraine episodes. In fact, inade-
quate signaling along important pain and limbic 5-HT pathways
is thought to be a primary component of the pathobiology of
migraine headache.23 The FSHC is part of the serotonin projec-
tions along the median raphe–dorsal hippocampal path-
way. Fibers of this tract that run through the FSHC are very thin,
with small varicosities, and are the most susceptible to injury.24

Treatment of migraine headaches in the nontraumatic setting
is based mainly on the assumption that abnormalities of serotonin
signaling result in an inflammatory process that can be inhibited
with serotonin receptor agonists. The discovery of sumatriptan, a
full agonist of the serotonin receptors (5-HT1b,1d,1f) revolution-
ized the treatment of migraines in the general population.23 Pilot
studies of sumatriptan use in PTM have demonstrated efficacy in
the mTBI population in the acute setting.25,26 The FSHC and CC
both express the 5-HT1a receptor,23,27–29 for which serotonin is a
partial agonist.

Although quantitative use of DTI metrics in the clinical setting
is currently limited by the lack of universally accepted normative
data, demonstrating injured regions associated with PTM is the
first step toward an individual diagnostic tool. Furthermore,
knowledge that injuries to these pathways result in migraines may
help radiologists recognize the relationship between macroscopic
lesions in these areas (eg, macroscopic trauma, multiple sclerosis)
and patient headache symptomatology.

Our study has limitations. Our evaluation was a retrospective,
single-institution study with a moderate sample size. Additionally,
the number of controls in our population was small, largely
because our controls were not healthy controls, but rather pa-
tients with mTBI without migraine symptoms. As a result of the

### Table 3: Comparison of FA in ROIs for patients with mTBI with and without PTMs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Patients with mTBI + PTMs</th>
<th>Patients with mTBI − PTMs</th>
<th>P Value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean FA value, CC (95% CI)</td>
<td>0.688 (0.581–0.793)</td>
<td>0.721 (0.615–0.823)</td>
<td>.028 (.680)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean FA value, FSHC (95% CI)</td>
<td>0.315 (0.284–0.344)</td>
<td>0.327 (0.298–0.356)</td>
<td>.045 (.827)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P values were 2-tailed and calculated with an unpaired t test.

### Table 4: Correlation of FA with clinical findings for ROIs in patients with mTBI and PTMs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Correlation with Mean FA Value</th>
<th>Correlation with Mean FA Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age* (P value)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbal Memory Score (P value)</td>
<td>-0.120 (.37)</td>
<td>-0.167 (.021)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual Memory Score (P value)</td>
<td>-0.122 (.36)</td>
<td>0.325 (.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processing-speed score (P value)</td>
<td>-0.133 (.32)</td>
<td>-0.143 (.28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reaction time score (P value)</td>
<td>-0.072 (.59)</td>
<td>-0.113 (.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptom Severity Score (P value)</td>
<td>0.156 (.24)</td>
<td>-0.015 (.91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to recovery (P value)</td>
<td>-0.260 (.05)</td>
<td>0.099 (.46)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Correlation was performed with the Pearson correlation coefficient.

### FIG 1. Regions of injury involving the corpus callosum and fornix/septohippocampal circuit among patients with posttraumatic migraine headaches. Images derived from Tract-Based Spatial Statistics results and rendered on T1-weighted images from the Montreal Neurological Institute atlas show common regions of injury involving the corpus callosum and fornix/septohippocampal circuit in patients with posttraumatic migraine headaches. ROIs involving the FSHC and CC corresponding to voxels of significant difference in FA (P < .05 corrected for multiple comparisons) between controls (subjects with mTBI without PTM) and patients with mTBI with PTM in are shown overlaid on the white matter skeleton (blue). ROIs corresponding to voxels of significantly decreased FA in patients with mTBI with PTM are shown in red in the axial (A), coronal (B), and sagittal (C) planes.
very high prevalence of posttraumatic migraines among patients with mTBI,\textsuperscript{28} it is very difficult to obtain a large cohort of patients with mTBI without posttraumatic migraines. Accordingly, the findings should be corroborated with a multicenter, prospective study. Furthermore, because most patients with mTBI do not undergo imaging, there is a possible selection bias toward patients with more severe injuries. However, these are also the more symptomatic patients, in whom imaging biomarkers are most needed.

CONCLUSIONS

White matter injuries in patients with mTBI with PTM may indicate that axonal injury can result in migraine symptomatology. White matter injuries in patients with PTM were in regions implicated in the pathophysiology of nontraumatic migraines, which may help elucidate the biologic underpinning of disease processes, direct treatment, and guide future research.
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