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ABSTRACT

SUMMARY: While mechanical thrombectomy for large-vessel occlusions is now an evidence-based treatment, its efficacy and safety in minor stroke syndromes (NIHSS \( \leq 5 \)) is not proved. We identified, in our prospective data base, 378 patients with minor strokes in the anterior circulation; 54 (14.2%) of these had proved large-vessel occlusions. Eight of 54 (14.8%) were immediately treated with mechanical thrombectomy, 6/54 (11.1%) after early neurologic deterioration, and the rest were treated with standard thrombolysis only. Rates of successful recanalization were similar between the 2 mechanical thrombectomy groups (75% versus 100%). Rates of excellent outcome (modified Rankin Scale 0–1) were higher in patients with immediate thrombectomy (75%) compared with patients with delayed thrombectomy (33.3%) and thrombolysis only (55%). No symptomatic intracranial hemorrhage occurred in either group. These descriptive data are encouraging, and further analysis of large registries or even randomized controlled trials in this patient subgroup should be performed.

ABBREVIATIONS: IV = intravenous thrombolysis only; MSS = minor stroke syndrome; MT = mechanical thrombectomy; END = early neurologic deterioration; MT-I = mechanical thrombectomy immediately; sICH = symptomatic intracranial hemorrhage

In addition to thrombolysis, mechanical thrombectomy has become the standard of care for patients presenting with ischemic strokes due to large-vessel occlusions in the anterior circulation.\(^1\) In general, large-vessel occlusions are associated with severe stroke symptoms indicated by high National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale scores. However, large-vessel occlusions may also present as a minor stroke syndrome (MSS).

Three of the 5 seminal thrombectomy studies enrolled patients with a baseline NIHSS \( \geq 6 \) (Endovascular Treatment for Small Core and Proximal Occlusion Ischemic Stroke [ESCAPE], Endovascular Revascularization With Solitaire Device Versus Best Medical Therapy in Anterior Circulation Stroke Within 8 Hours [REVASCAT]) or \( \geq 8 \) (Solitaire With the Intention for Thrombectomy as Primary Endovascular Treatment [SWIFT-PRIME]), therefore excluding MSS.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^4\) The Multicenter Randomized Clinical Trial of Endovascular Treatment for Acute Ischemic Stroke in the Netherlands (MR CLEAN) and Extending the Time for Thrombolysis in Emergency Neurological Deficits–Intra-Arterial (EXTEND-IA) trials did not set a lower NIHSS cutoff but included only a small number of patients with MSS, resulting in a mean baseline NIHSS of 17.\(^1\)\(^\)\(^5\)\(^6\) Hence, it is unclear whether patients presenting with MSS due to large-vessel occlusions may benefit from thrombectomy. Here we present a retrospective and descriptive analysis of a case series derived from a large prospective stroke data base, with particular focus on mechanical thrombectomy performed immediately after presentation with MSS or as rescue therapy after clinical deterioration.

Case Series

We searched our prospective data base between 1998 and 2015 for patients with ischemic strokes due to large-vessel occlusions in the anterior circulation who received an acute recanalization therapy and presented with MSS. All patients were treated according to current in-house standard operating procedures in either our stroke unit or neurointensive care unit. Clinical baseline, radiologic data, and outcome data were obtained from the data base. Recanalization was assessed with the TICI scale by 2 blinded investigators on a consensus basis, and successful recanalization was defined as \( \geq TICI 2b \). The outcome was measured with the modified Rankin Scale after 3 months and was obtained through rehabilitation reports, outapatient assessments, or a standardized interview by an unblinded investigator. Symptomatic intracranial hemorrhage (sICH) was defined according to the European Cooperative Acute Stroke Study–II (blood at any site in the brain and...
clinical deterioration with an increase in the NIHSS score of at least 4 points compared with the lowest value within the first 7 days or any intracranial hemorrhage leading to death). Early neurologic deterioration (END) was defined as an increase of the NIHSS of ≥4 points within the first 24 hours. Patients with MSS with occlusions of the internal carotid artery and the M1 and M2 segments of the middle cerebral artery were divided into 3 groups: patients who received mechanical thrombectomy immediately (MT-I) or mechanical thrombectomy after early neurologic deterioration (MT-END) or intravenous thrombolysis only (IV). Due to the small sample size and the explorative and descriptive nature of the data, no statistical analysis was performed and data were presented either as frequencies, mean ± SD for continuous data, or median with range for ordinal data.

Of 2213 patients in our recanalization data base with ischemic strokes in the anterior circulation, we identified 378 (17.1%) with MSS (Fig 1). Of those, 250 (66%) had an excellent outcome (mRS 0–1) and sICH occurred in 9 (2.4%). One-hundred eighty-one of 2213 patients (22.5%) with occlusions of the internal carotid artery and the M1 and M2 segments of the middle cerebral artery were divided into 3 groups: patients who received mechanical thrombectomy immediately (MT-I) or mechanical thrombectomy after early neurologic deterioration (MT-END) or intravenous thrombolysis only (IV). Due to the small sample size and the explorative and descriptive nature of the data, no statistical analysis was performed and data were presented either as frequencies, mean ± SD for continuous data, or median with range for ordinal data.
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FIG 1. Flow chart of the patient inclusion criteria, indicating how patients were selected for the case series.

In our case series, we found that patients with MSS and large-vessel occlusions had, if immediately treated with MT, slightly better outcomes compared with patients with MSS who were only thrombolysed (75% [MT-I] versus 66% for all our patients with MSS or 55% for those with MSS with large-vessel occlusions). Delaying mechanical thrombectomy until neurologic deterioration seemed to lower the beneficial effect, with a rate of excellent outcome of only 33.3%. The functional outcome of patients in the MT-I group was also very similar to that described in a previous report of patients with MSS presenting without large vessel occlusion who had been treated with IV only. In contrast, a meta-analysis of the 5 seminal thrombectomy studies did not show a significant effect in patients with NIHSS ≤ 10.1 However, few patients with NIHSS ≤ 10 were analyzed. Considering that there was a high fraction (55%, 22/40) of M2 occlusions in the IV group whereas all occlusions in the MT groups were located in the ICA or M1, the efficacy of thrombectomy may be even higher. According to our data, the rates of excellent outcomes were then the following: 75% (MT-I), 33% (MT-END), and 39% (IV). Clearly, in this series, the more recent use of (immediate) thrombectomy compared with thrombolysis alone was dependent on the increased availability and performance of thrombectomy since the introduction of modern stent retrievers (all our patients undergoing MT
groups. The On-line Table shows all relevant parameters. Neither onset-to-earliest treatment nor door-to-needle time was considerably different among the groups, but mean door-to-vessel time was much shorter in MT-I compared with MT-END (207 ± 144 versus 663 ± 534 minutes) for obvious reasons. The median NIHSS was lower in MT-I at baseline and before thrombectomy (2 versus 4 and 4 versus 14). All (22/57) M2 occlusions were in the IV group. Three patients in the MT-I group did not receive thrombolysis, whereas all patients in the MT-END group were initially treated with thrombolysis. Acute carotid artery stent placement was performed in 50% of patients in each MT group.

DISCUSSION

Patients presenting with MSS usually have a high chance of excellent outcome.8 However, patients with MSS and large-vessel occlusions have a less favorable outcome than patients presenting with MSS without these major vessel occlusions.8,9 In our case series, we found that patients with MSS and large-vessel occlusions had, if immediately treated with MT, slightly better outcomes compared with patients with MSS who were only thrombolysed (75% [MT-I] versus 66% for all our patients with MSS or 55% for those with MSS with large-vessel occlusions). Delaying mechanical thrombectomy until neurologic deterioration seemed to lower the beneficial effect, with a rate of excellent outcome of only 33.3%. The functional outcome of patients in the MT-I group was also very similar to that described in a previous report of patients with MSS presenting without large vessel occlusion who had been treated with IV only.9 In contrast, a meta-analysis of the 5 seminal thrombectomy studies did not show a significant effect in patients with NIHSS ≤ 10.1 However, few patients with NIHSS ≤ 10 were analyzed. Considering that there was a high fraction (55%, 22/40) of M2 occlusions in the IV group whereas all occlusions in the MT groups were located in the ICA or M1, the efficacy of thrombectomy may be even higher. According to our data, the rates of excellent outcomes were then the following: 75% (MT-I), 33% (MT-END), and 39% (IV). Clearly, in this series, the more recent use of (immediate) thrombectomy compared with thrombolysis alone was dependent on the increased availability and performance of thrombectomy since the introduction of modern stent retrievers (all our patients undergoing MT
Another interesting finding of this analysis is that of 181 patients on recanalization and safety of the recent thrombectomy trials.2-6 occurred in either group, which is in line with the published data similar recanalization rate in both groups; most important, no sICH sessions might benefit from immediate treatment. MT led to a sim-
cating that patients with MSS with combined ICA/MCA occlu-

Due to the small sample size, the retrospective and explor-
atory nature of our data must be interpreted with caution. Owing to these circumstances, no statistical analysis was performed and the data should be regarded as hypothesis-generating and descriptive. Our results do not support previous findings that suggested that patients with MSS due to large-vessel occlusion being treated with MT have an increased rate of sICH and that only thrombolysis and not MT was associated with significantly greater chances of full recovery.11 In our opinion, patients with large vessel occlusion and MSS do represent a subgroup of patients in whom considerable uncertainty remains with regard to the optimal acute recanalization therapy (ie, thrombolysis only or MT only after deterioration or MT immediately). Our data indicate that further analysis of larger registries or maybe even randomized trials in these patients should be performed. Our data also suggest that every patient with acute ischemic stroke should receive immediate vessel imaging because in this cohort, approximately 30% of patients with MMS in the anterior circulation did have large-vessel occlusion (ICA, M1, and M2).
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