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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: Endovascular therapy has become the standard of care for patients with disabling anterior circulation ischemic stroke due to proximal intracranial thrombi. Our aim was to determine whether the beneficial effect of endovascular treatment on functional outcome could be explained by a reduction in posttreatment infarct volume in the Endovascular Revascularization With Solitaire Device Versus Best Medical Therapy in Anterior Circulation Stroke Within 8 Hours (REVASCAT) trial.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: The REVASCAT trial was a multicenter randomized open-label trial with blinded outcome evaluation. Among 206 enrolled subjects (endovascular treatment, n = 103; control, n = 103), posttreatment infarct volume was measured in 204 subjects. Posttreatment infarct volumes were compared with treatment assignment and recanalization status. Appropriate statistical models were used to assess the relationship among baseline clinical and imaging variables, posttreatment infarct volume, the 24-hour NIHSS score, and functional status with the 90-day modified Rankin Scale score.

RESULTS: The median posttreatment infarct volume in all subjects was 23.7 mL (interquartile range = 68.9 mL) and 16.3 mL [interquartile range = 50.2 mL] in the endovascular treatment arm and 38.6 mL (interquartile range = 74.9 mL) in the control arm (P = .02 for endovascular treatment versus control subjects). Baseline NIHSS (P < .01), site of occlusion (P < .01), baseline NCCT ASPECTS (P < .01), and recanalization status (P = .02) were independently associated with posttreatment infarct volume. Baseline NIHSS (P < .01), time from symptom onset to randomization (P = .02), treatment type (P = .04), and recanalization status (P < .01) were independently associated with the 24-hour NIHSS scores. The 24-hour NIHSS score strongly mediated the relationship between treatment type and 90-day mRS (P < .01 for indirect effect when adjusted for age), while posttreatment infarct volume did not (P = .26).

CONCLUSIONS: Endovascular treatment saves brain and improves 90-day clinical outcomes primarily through a beneficial effect on the 24-hour stroke severity.

ABBREVIATIONS: EVT = endovascular treatment; IQR = interquartile range

In 2015, five randomized clinical trials revolutionized stroke care by confirming the benefit of modern endovascular therapy (EVT) in patients with acute ischemic stroke due to proximal anterior circulation occlusion.1-5 Fast reperfusion played an important role in the success of these trials, likely by saving brain that would otherwise have infarcted without reperfusion. Although posttreatment infarct volumes are routinely used in experimental models of focal cerebral ischemia,6 human clinical trials have preferred to use ordinal scales like the modified Rankin Scale, the National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale, or the Barthel Index to primarily measure posttreatment outcomes.7-10 This preference is, in part, because past human studies attempting to correlate posttreatment infarct volumes to clinical outcomes have
yields contradictory results. On the other hand, successful recanalization has been shown to be strongly associated with improved functional outcomes and reduced mortality. Data from the recent Endovascular Treatment for Small Core and Proximal Occlusion Ischemic Stroke (ESCAPE) trial showed that the successful recanalization and reduction in posttreatment infarct volume were associated with better clinical outcome. The purpose of this analysis was to analyze the relationship among baseline clinical characteristics, type of treatment, recanalization status, posttreatment infarct volumes, and the 24-hour and 90-day clinical outcomes from the Endovascular Revascularization With Solitaire Device Versus Best Medical Therapy in Anterior Circulation Stroke Within 8 Hours (REVASCAT) trial.5

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Methodologic details of the REVASCAT trial have been reported elsewhere. Briefly, the REVASCAT trial was a prospective, multicenter, randomized, controlled, open, blinded–end point trial. Patients were randomized 1:1 to mechanical embolectomy with the stent retriever Solitaire FR (Covidien, Irvine, California) versus best medical management alone. Eligible patients were between 18 and 80 years of age, had an occlusion in the proximal anterior circulation and could be treated within 8 hours of symptom onset, and had a prestroke modified Rankin Scale score of ≤1 and a baseline score of at least 6 points on the National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale score. The main exclusion criteria on imaging were evidence of a large ischemic core, as indicated by an Alberta Stroke Program Early CT Score of <7 on CT or a score of <6 on diffusion-weighted MR imaging. All patients or their surrogates provided written informed consent. The primary outcome was disability at 90 days on the mRS. Secondary outcomes included centrally adjudicated infarct volumes on CT or MR imaging at 24–36 hours and vessel recanalization on CT angiography or MR angiography at 24–36 hours.

Imaging
The trial protocol included follow-up MR imaging with MR angiography or noncontrast CT with CT angiography at 24–36 hours from stroke-symptom onset.

Diffusion-weighted imaging was the technique of choice for measurement of posttreatment infarct volume. If DWI was not available, an NCCT scan was chosen for measurement. Two readers (F.S.A.-A. and P.M.) used Quantomo (Cybertrial, Calgary, Alberta, Canada) to delineate infarct and measure posttreatment infarct volumes (in milliliters) while being blinded to all other clinical and imaging information. Manual adjustments to delineate infarct boundaries were performed when necessary. If the infarct showed hemorrhagic conversion, the hemorrhage regions were incorporated within the boundaries of the infarct. Posttreatment infarct volume was measured with NCCT in 189 subjects and with MR imaging in 15 subjects. Successful recanalization was defined with the modified Thrombolysis in Cerebral Infarction score (2b or 3) on conventional angiography in the intervention arm and the modified arterial occlusive lesion core (2 or 3) on 24-hour CTA or MRA among subjects in the control arm.18

RESULTS
A total of 206 patients (103 in the EVT arm versus 103 in the control arm) were enrolled in the study. Median baseline NCCT ASPECTS was 7 in the EVT group and 8 in the control group. Intravenous alteplase was administered to 68.0% of patients in the EVT group and 77.7% of those in the control group. The median time from stroke onset to randomization was 225 minutes.

Median posttreatment infarct volume in all study participants was 23.7 mL (interquartile range [IQR] = 68.9 mL) and 16.3 mL (IQR = 50.2 mL) in the EVT arm and 38.6 mL (IQR = 74.9 mL) in the control arm (P = .02). Median posttreatment infarct volume in the EVT arm in recanalizers was 14.6 mL (IQR = 7.8–46.2 mL) versus 92.9 mL (IQR = 14.6–233.1 mL) in the nonrecanalizers (P = .05). The median posttreatment infarct volume in the control arm in recanalizers was 18.1 mL (IQR = 8.4–76.7 mL) versus 52.8 mL (IQR = 18.5–109.5 mL) in the nonrecanalizers (P = .02).

Baseline NIHSS score (P < .01), site of occlusion (P < .03), baseline NCCT ASPECTS (P < .01), and recanalization status (P = .02) were independently associated with posttreatment infarct volume (cubic root–transformed), while age, sex, treatment type, intravenous alteplase, and time from symptom onset to randomization were not (P > .05). The baseline NIHSS score (P <
The causal chain in acute ischemic stroke that explains 90-day clinical outcome is complex. Baseline clinical variables such as age and baseline stroke severity as measured clinically (NIHSS) or on imaging (NCCT ASPECTS and site of occlusion) are known prognostic determinants. Early recanalization as a result of treatment (EVT, intravenous alteplase) also affects 90-day clinical outcomes. Outcomes measured earlier such as posttreatment infarct volume or the 24-hour NIHSS score may only be used as surrogates for 90-day clinical outcome if they capture the effect of these baseline clinical, imaging, and treatment variables on 90-day clinical outcome almost entirely. Our analysis shows that the age-adjusted 24-hour NIHSS score strongly mediates the relationship between treatment type and 90-day mRS. Posttreatment infarct volume only when recanalization was achieved. Subjects in the control arm also achieved smaller posttreatment infarct volumes when recanalization status with 90-day mRS as outcome, treatment type was not independently associated with 90-day mRS ($P = .81$) after adjusting for posttreatment infarct volume ($P = .12$), the 24-hour NIHSS score ($P < .01$), and age ($P = .01$). The Baron and Kenny mediational analysis model suggests that the 24-hour NIHSS score strongly mediates the relationship between treatment type and 90-day mRS ($P < .01$ for indirect effect when adjusted for age), while posttreatment infarct volume does not ($P = .26$).

**DISCUSSION**

Our results show that endovascular treatment in subjects with acute ischemic stroke and proximal anterior circulation occlusions was associated with significantly smaller posttreatment infarct volumes only when recanalization was achieved. Subjects in the control arm also achieved smaller posttreatment infarct volumes with recanalization. Our results are therefore consistent with the analysis from the ESCAPE trial that showed similar findings. We also found that NIHSS measured at 24 hours, though significantly correlated with posttreatment infarct volume, is a better determinant of 90-day mRS than the posttreatment infarct volume.

Distribution of posttreatment infarct volume versus 90-day mRS is shown in Fig 3. Patients in the lowest quartile for posttreatment infarct volume were 4 times more likely to achieve functional independence at 90 days (mRS 0–2) compared with patients in the highest quartile (rate ratio = 3.92; 95% CI, 0.66–23.16). Patients in the highest quartile of posttreatment infarct volume were 4 times more likely to die at 90 days (mRS 6) compared with patients in the lowest quartile (risk ratio = 3.88; 95% CI, 1.39–10.77).

In a parsimonious model obtained after including all baseline clinical and imaging variables, treatment type, and recanalization status with 90-day mRS as outcome, treatment type was not independently associated with 90-day mRS ($P = .81$) after adjusting for posttreatment infarct volume ($P = .12$), the 24-hour NIHSS score ($P < .01$), and age ($P = .01$). The Baron and Kenny mediational analysis model suggests that the 24-hour NIHSS score strongly mediates the relationship between treatment type and 90-day mRS ($P < .01$ for indirect effect when adjusted for age), while posttreatment infarct volume does not ($P = .26$).

**FIG 1.** A pictorial representation of the causal pathway in patients with acute ischemic stroke. Treatment type (EVT or control) determines posttreatment infarct volume and the 24-hour NIHSS score along with other variables such as age, sex, baseline clinical and imaging markers of stroke severity, time to treatment, and recanalization status (independent variables). Posttreatment infarct volume and the 24-hour NIHSS score (mediator variables) likely then determine 90-day mRS (dependent variable). The total effect of the independent variables on the dependent variables consists of a direct effect $c$ (as shown in the image) and indirect effects. The indirect or mediating effect of each mediator variable on the association between independent variables and dependent variables is determined by computing the product of the regression coefficients $a_1$ or $a_2$ as appropriate. In our study, the 2 mediator variables (posttreatment infarct volume and the 24-hour NIHSS score) are significantly correlated. Analysis suggests that the age-adjusted 24-hour NIHSS score mediates strongly the relationship between treatment type and 90-day mRS ($P < .01$ for indirect effect when adjusted for age), while posttreatment infarct volume does not ($P = .26$).

**FIG 2.** Relationship between the 24-hour NIHSS score and posttreatment infarct volume in milliliters.
Aging is a complex medical phenomenon having effects on health beyond direct effects exerted through increasing prevalence of known diseases. Aging is an important determinant of clinical outcomes in patients with acute ischemic stroke. Our analysis shows that age influences 90-day clinical outcome that is independent of post-treatment infarct volume and the 24-hour NIHSS score. Our analysis therefore emphasizes that surrogate outcomes in stroke such as the 24-hour NIHSS score captures brain eloquence, we did not use imaging measures to better delineate eloquence.

Our study has limitations. We could not do a complete exploration of the effect of all independent and mediating variables on 90-day clinical outcomes due to the limited sample size. Posttreatment infarct volumes were measured using 24- to 48-hour MR imaging or CT. MR imaging may be more sensitive than CT, and measuring infarct volumes late (even at 30 days) may offer more precise estimates of dead tissue than at 24–48 hours. Finally, although the 24-hour NIHSS score captures brain eloquence, we did not use imaging measures to better delineate eloquence.

CONCLUSIONS

Our results support primary findings from the REVASCAT trial that endovascular treatment saves brain and improves 24-hour (imaging and clinical outcomes) and 90-day clinical outcomes. The effect of EVT on 90-day clinical outcome is primarily mediated through an effect on the 24-hour NIHSS score.
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