

The **next generation** GBCA
from Guerbet is here

Explore new possibilities >

Guerbet | 

© Guerbet 2024 GUOB220151-A

AJNR

This information is current as
of September 23, 2024.

Carotid Artery Wall Imaging: Perspective and Guidelines from the ASNR Vessel Wall Imaging Study Group and Expert Consensus Recommendations of the American Society of Neuroradiology

L. Saba, C. Yuan, T.S. Hatsukami, N. Balu, Y. Qiao, J.K.
DeMarco, T. Saam, A.R. Moody, D. Li, C.C. Matouk, M.H.
Johnson, H.R. Jäger, M. Mossa-Basha, M.E. Kooi, Z. Fan,
D. Saloner, M. Wintermark, D.J. Mikulis and B.A.
Wasserman

AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2018, 39 (2) E9-E31

doi: <https://doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A5488>

<http://www.ajnr.org/content/39/2/E9>

Carotid Artery Wall Imaging: Perspective and Guidelines from the ASNR Vessel Wall Imaging Study Group and Expert Consensus Recommendations of the American Society of Neuroradiology

^{ID} L. Saba, ^{ID} C. Yuan, ^{ID} T.S. Hatsukami, ^{ID} N. Balu, ^{ID} Y. Qiao, ^{ID} J.K. DeMarco, ^{ID} T. Saam, ^{ID} A.R. Moody, ^{ID} D. Li, ^{ID} C.C. Matouk, ^{ID} M.H. Johnson, ^{ID} H.R. Jäger, ^{ID} M. Mossa-Basha, ^{ID} M.E. Kooi, ^{ID} Z. Fan, ^{ID} D. Saloner, ^{ID} M. Wintermark, ^{ID} D.J. Mikulis, and ^{ID} B.A. Wasserman; on behalf of the Vessel Wall Imaging Study Group of the American Society of Neuroradiology

ABSTRACT

SUMMARY: Identification of carotid artery atherosclerosis is conventionally based on measurements of luminal stenosis and surface irregularities using in vivo imaging techniques including sonography, CT and MR angiography, and digital subtraction angiography. However, histopathologic studies demonstrate considerable differences between plaques with identical degrees of stenosis and indicate that certain plaque features are associated with increased risk for ischemic events. The ability to look beyond the lumen using highly developed vessel wall imaging methods to identify plaque vulnerable to disruption has prompted an active debate as to whether a paradigm shift is needed to move away from relying on measurements of luminal stenosis for gauging the risk of ischemic injury. Further evaluation in randomized clinical trials will help to better define the exact role of plaque imaging in clinical decision-making. However, current carotid vessel wall imaging techniques can be informative. The goal of this article is to present the perspective of the ASNR Vessel Wall Imaging Study Group as it relates to the current status of arterial wall imaging in carotid artery disease.

ABBREVIATIONS: CE = contrast-enhanced; DIR = double inversion recovery; FC = fibrous cap; IPH = intraplaque hemorrhage; IVUS = intravascular ultrasound; LRNC = lipid-rich necrotic core; MATCH = multicontrast atherosclerosis characterization; SNAP = simultaneous noncontrast angiography and intraplaque hemorrhage; SPACE = sampling perfection with application-optimized contrasts using different flip angle evolution; US = ultrasound

The word “atherosclerosis” is derived from the 2 Greek words “athera” (mush) and “sclerosis” (hardening), indicating hardening of the vascular wall. This disease is highly prevalent in

developed countries, with carotid artery narrowing reported in up to 75% of men and 62% of women 65 years of age and older.¹ Stroke is the second most common cause of death worldwide,² and approximately 18%–25% of all strokes are due to carotid atherosclerotic disease.³

Conventionally, identification of atherosclerosis affecting the carotid artery is based on measurements of the degree of luminal stenosis and surface irregularities^{4,5} by sonography, catheter-based angiography, and, nowadays, CTA or MRA.^{6,7} However, histopathologic studies initially performed on coronary arteries and subsequently on carotid arteries demonstrate considerable differences between plaques with identical degrees of stenosis. These observations led to research indicating that certain plaque features are associated with increased risk for ischemic events.^{8–10} The more recent introduction of fast multidetector row CT technology, high-field MR imaging, and advanced ultrasound (US) systems has enabled accurate characterization of plaque features that relate to risk of ischemic injury.^{11–14} The ability to look beyond the lumen using advanced wall imaging methods to identify “vulnerable plaque”^{15,16} is spurring a paradigm shift away from simple measurement of percent luminal stenosis for gauging the risk of ischemic injury. Currently, characterization of the vessel wall and atherosclerotic plaque is the focus of several ongoing research studies that are investigating the optimal approach to vulnerable plaque imaging.^{17–19}

From the Department of Medical Imaging (L.S.), University of Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy; Departments of Radiology (C.Y., N.B., M.M.-B.) and Surgery (T.S.H.), University of Washington, Seattle, Washington; The Russell H. Morgan Department of Radiology and Radiological Sciences (Y.Q., B.A.W.), Johns Hopkins Hospital, Baltimore, Maryland; Department of Radiology (J.K.D.), Walter Reed National Military Medical Center, Bethesda, Maryland; Department of Radiology (T.S.), Ludwig-Maximilian University Hospital, Munich, Germany; Division of Neuroradiology (D.J.M.), Department of Medical Imaging, University Health Network, and Department of Medical Imaging (A.R.M.), Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; Biomedical Imaging Research Institute (D.L., Z.F.), Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, California; Departments of Neurosurgery, Neurovascular and Stroke Programs (C.C.M., M.H.J.), Radiology and Biomedical Imaging (C.C.M., M.H.J.), and Surgery (M.H.J.), Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut; Neuroradiological Academic Unit (H.R.J.), Department of Brain Repair and Rehabilitation, University College London Institute of Neurology, London, UK; Department of Radiology (M.E.K.), CARIM School for Cardiovascular Diseases, Maastricht University Medical Center, Maastricht, the Netherlands; Department of Radiology and Biomedical Imaging (D.S.), University of California, San Francisco, California; and Department of Radiology (M.W.), Neuroradiology Division, Stanford University, Stanford, California.

The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not reflect the official policy of the Department of Army/Navy/Air Force, Department of Defense, or US Government. The identification of specific products or scientific instrumentation does not constitute endorsement or implied endorsement on the part of the authors, Department of Defense, or any component agency.

Please address correspondence to Luca Saba, MD, University of Cagliari, Azienda Ospedaliero Universitaria di Cagliari, Polo di Monserrato, Provincia di Cagliari, Italy; e-mail: lucasaba@tiscali.it

<http://dx.doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A5488>

Further evaluation in randomized clinical trials is needed to establish the exact role of plaque imaging in clinical decision-making. However, carotid vessel wall imaging techniques may be beneficial at present. For example, improved visualization of the location and extent of atherosclerotic plaque would assist in surgical planning before endarterectomy or carotid artery stent placement. Vessel wall imaging may also be helpful in borderline clinical cases. Identification of carotid plaque harboring a large lipid-rich necrotic core (LRNC) with ulceration and intraplaque hemorrhage (IPH) despite guideline-based medical therapy in a patient with repeat strokes and 50% carotid stenosis may lead to consideration for carotid endarterectomy. In asymptomatic patients, vessel wall imaging with a large LRNC may represent the phenotype of atherosclerotic disease amenable to more intensive lipid-lowering therapy.²⁰ Similarly, progressive vulnerable plaque features with increasing IPH in asymptomatic carotid stenosis may benefit from more intensive lipid-lowering therapy.^{21,22}

The goal of this article is therefore to present the perspective of the ASNR Vessel Wall Imaging Study Group on the current status of arterial wall imaging in carotid artery disease.

CLINICAL BACKGROUND AND PHYSIOLOGY

For several years, digital subtraction angiography remained the primary imaging method for studying carotid arteries for detecting stenosis secondary to atherosclerotic plaque.^{23,24} The method provides optimal spatial resolution for defining the opacified lumen, the associated degree of luminal stenosis, and plaque-related luminal changes that include lumen irregularity and plaque ulcerations.^{25,26}

Carotid endarterectomy trials were undertaken during the 1980s to mid-1990s that quantified the benefit of carotid endarterectomy according to the degree of luminal stenosis.^{4,27-30} These studies became the basis for considering degree of stenosis as the primary metric for stratifying subsequent stroke risk and selecting the optimal therapeutic approach (surgery versus best medical management).²⁷ In particular, 3 multicenter randomized studies, the European Carotid Surgery Trial (ECST), NASCET, and Asymptomatic Carotid Atherosclerosis Study (ACAS) evaluated cutoffs for the degree of carotid stenosis as they relate to stroke risk reduction by carotid endarterectomy.²⁸⁻³⁰

NASCET, ECST, and ACAS used DSA to assess the percent reduction in the luminal diameter of the artery. The methodology for carotid stenosis quantification is debated because NASCET and ECST used indirect ratio-percent methods.³¹ Stenosis measurements with NASCET and ECST differ substantially: With the ECST method, twice as many stenoses were classified as severe, and less than one-third of the number of stenoses, as mild compared with the NASCET method.³² Techniques that enable identification of both outer and inner walls of the artery might lead to more accurate assessment of risk. Bartlett et al³³ suggested the use of this direct diameter-based measurement to overcome the limitations of the percent-based methods, and the results they found suggest that this technique could be efficient.

The degree of luminal stenosis as a marker of atherosclerotic disease severity has been criticized because of observations that plaques producing only mild-to-moderate stenosis may still lead to acute cerebral infarction.³⁴⁻⁴¹ Histopathologic evaluation of

these plaques showed that plaque erosion and disruption were common morphologic features found in symptomatic lesions, indicating that luminal narrowing was not the sole predictor of cerebrovascular events.³⁶⁻³⁸

These studies introduced the following new concepts: 1) The degree of carotid stenosis is a weak indicator of the volume and extension of carotid plaque⁴²⁻⁴⁴; 2) a set of plaque features identifiable by imaging are closely linked to the development of ischemic symptoms; and 3) these features can significantly increase the risk of stroke regardless of the degree of stenosis.⁴⁵⁻⁴⁸ Thanks to advancements in the imaging techniques to specifically target the vessel wall as opposed to the vessel lumen, considerable research effort is underway to identify those plaque-related parameters that, together with the degree of stenosis, can more accurately predict the presence of vulnerable plaque and the associated risk of ischemic events.

More than 30 years ago, Imparato et al⁸ found that there were certain plaque features, such as IPH, that were associated with an increased risk of plaque rupture and distal embolization. Since that time, roughly 1000 articles have been published on IPH as well as ones characterizing additional features associated with plaque vulnerability, including the thickness of the fibrous cap, rupture of the cap, the presence and size of the LRNC, and the presence of active plaque inflammation. Vulnerable plaques also tend to be characterized by an eccentric distribution, an irregular surface of the intimal layer, or superficial ulcerations with intimal exposure.

Imaging Features of Plaques at Risk for Stroke

US can assess plaque composition based on echogenicity with classification systems proposed by Geroulakos et al⁴⁹ and Bluth.⁵⁰ The presence of echogenic/hypoechoic elements is associated with the LRNC,^{51,52} whereas hyperechoic regions or the presence of acoustic shadowing is indicative of calcification. US is sensitive in identifying calcification, but when present, the ensuing acoustic shadowing limits visualization of tissues deep to the calcification.⁵³

CT has been used to type plaques based on Hounsfield attenuation. de Weert et al^{54,55} categorized plaques as fatty for attenuation values of <60 HU, mixed for attenuation values between 60 and 130 HU, and calcified for attenuation values of >130 HU. By applying these thresholds, it is possible to identify those plaques with an LRNC from others with a prevalent expression of myofibroblasts, hemorrhage, or calcification.⁵⁶ Based on this analysis, calcified plaques were found to be 21 times less likely to be symptomatic than noncalcified plaques,⁵⁷ whereas fatty plaques were clearly associated with an increased risk of rupture.^{48,58}

MR imaging has the ability to distinguish plaque components such as the LRNC, fibrous tissue, and IPH with high accuracy.⁵⁹⁻⁶⁴ The identification of calcified components can be more challenging than CT, but MR imaging typically offers good results.^{65,66}

Luminal Morphology and Ulcerations

The morphology of the luminal surface of carotid plaques can be classified as smooth, irregular, or ulcerated.⁶⁷ A smooth surface is identified as plain luminal morphology without any sign of ulceration or irregularity. An irregular surface indicates the presence of

small alterations of the luminal surface on the luminal profile of the plaque; this condition is considered a risk factor for embolism and is associated with an increased risk of TIA/stroke.⁶¹ The third type of morphology is ulceration. Plaque ulceration has been defined as “an intimal defect larger than 1 mm in width, exposing the necrotic core of the atheromatous plaque”⁶⁸; however, other authors suggested other (smaller) sizes.^{69–71} The NASCET study demonstrated a significantly increased risk of cerebrovascular events in plaques with ulcerations.⁴

Intraplaque Hemorrhage

IPH is a common feature of atherosclerotic plaques and is considered one of the identifying features of vulnerable plaque.⁴⁰ A number of studies have found a statistically significant association between the presence of IPH and cerebrovascular events,^{72,73} and IPH has been implicated in plaque progression.⁷⁴ It is thought that the rupture of neovessels or plaque rupture itself causes IPH; and some conditions such as inflammation, metabolic disease, or diabetes may precipitate this event.⁷⁵ Recent literature also indicates a potential role of blood pressure.^{76,77}

Fissured Fibrous Cap and Lipid Rich Necrotic Core

The fibrous cap (FC), which separates LRNC from the vessel lumen, is considered one of the most important features of the carotid artery vulnerable plaque model. The FC is a layer of fibrous connective tissue and contains macrophages and smooth-muscle cells within a collagen-proteoglycan matrix associated with T-lymphocytes.⁷⁸ Vulnerable plaques are characterized by the presence of a thin FC covering a large LRNC containing macrophages and inflammatory cells. In both cross-sectional and longitudinal studies,^{21,47} the LRNC size was found to be a strong predictor of fibrous cap disruption. The fissuring or rupture of the FC exposes the LRNC to luminal blood, activating the thromboembolic cascade. Therefore, LRNC and FC status are expected to be associated with a risk of cerebrovascular events, as shown in a single-center experience.^{73,79,80} The intact thick FC is associated with a low risk of plaque rupture, a thin FC is associated with a mild risk, while a fissured FC is associated with a high risk of plaque rupture.⁸¹ Additionally, percent LRNC area exceeding 40% of vessel wall area indicates a high risk for plaque rupture, while percent luminal stenosis did not correlate with plaque rupture.²¹

Neovascularization and Inflammation

Intraplaque neovascularization arises from newly formed microvessels that grow into the intima through breaks in the medial wall and are characterized by leaky capillaries with an endothelial lining that is immature and imperfect due to the harsh atherosclerotic environment. Histopathologic studies have demonstrated that neovessels can be found within carotid artery plaques, and the degree of neovascularity is associated with the “activity” of the plaque in terms of inflammation and increased risk of neovessel rupture and hemorrhage (IPH).^{82,83} Inflammation of unstable “vulnerable” atherosclerotic plaques was first identified in coronary artery lesions and subsequently demonstrated in carotid artery plaques.^{84,85}

The recruitment of inflammatory cells in atherosclerotic lesions is a constitutive phenomenon seen throughout the process of lesion initiation and plaque growth. In addition, inflammation

appears to play a role in the process of plaque disruption.⁸⁶ Inflammatory cells are typically found in the plaque shoulder, cap, or both.⁸⁵ In many instances and particularly in advanced plaques with a complex architecture, inflammatory cells tend to accumulate focally within plaques.^{85,86}

Several types of inflammatory cells are detected in the carotid artery vulnerable plaque, and some studies have found that the presence of macrophages is significantly associated with the risk of plaque rupture^{87–89}; therefore, the identification of macrophages has become the target of imaging studies devoted to the detection of plaque inflammation.^{90–94}

Plaque Remodeling (Positive versus Negative)

The concept of plaque remodeling was initially described for atherosclerotic lesions in coronary arteries but is largely accepted for other vascular beds, including the carotid arteries.^{95,96} Carotid plaques can show either positive or negative remodeling or both. Positive remodeling is dilation of the vessel wall in response to an increase in plaque volume with little or no compromise of the vessel lumen as the vessel initially attempts to maintain normal lumen diameter.⁹⁷ Negative remodeling is present when the vessel lumen diameter is decreased (stenosis).

Plaque Volume

Recent studies have demonstrated that the volume of the carotid artery plaque could play a role in determining plaque “vulnerability” and risk of cardiovascular events.^{98,99} Increasing plaque volume predicted cardiovascular events.^{43,100} Some authors have hypothesized that the plaque volume may be a better indicator of the severity of atherosclerotic disease than the degree of stenosis.²¹ Noninvasive in vivo assessment of atherosclerotic plaque volume and the relative contribution of different plaque components clearly have important clinical implications as they relate to risk assessment for ischemic events. In addition, it has been shown that higher LRNC volumes appear to be associated with the presence of plaque ulcerations, representing a significant risk factor for the development of cerebrovascular events.¹⁰¹ Furthermore, plaque composition is known to change with increasing plaque volume. More specifically, there is an increase in the proportion of lipid and calcification with increasing plaque volume.¹⁰¹ Plaque length, which relates more directly with plaque volume than the degree of stenosis, has been shown to be an independent risk factor for periprocedural complications and an excess restenosis rate in a secondary data analysis of the Carotid and Vertebral Artery Transluminal Angioplasty Study (CAVATAS).¹⁰²

Summary Concepts

It is clear that there are several plaque features of increased clinical risk supported by associations with endarterectomy specimen analyses. It is of utmost importance to test management strategies based on these MR imaging–defined features of risk before treatment guidelines can be established. Currently, there are several prospective trials intended to examine the value of prospective plaque imaging (Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities [ARIC], Plaque At RISK [PARISK], Carotid Plaque Imaging in Acute Stroke [CAPIAS], Chinese Atherosclerosis Risk Evaluation-Phase II [CARE-II], and Canadian Atherosclerosis Imaging Network

[CAIN]).¹⁰³⁻¹⁰⁸ In the meantime, it is possible that carotid plaque characterization may be of immediate clinical value today. Given that the presence of IPH, large LRNC, and/or a thin-ruptured FC is associated with a higher risk of future cardiovascular events, the presence of these plaque features may warrant closer clinical follow-up and consideration for more intensive medical therapy. Despite attempts to encourage all physicians to manage atherosclerosis medically with current evidence-based guidelines, many patients are not receiving high-intensity lipid-lowering therapy, even when indicated. Providing additional information based on carotid plaque MR imaging identification of IPH, large LRNC, and/or a thin-ruptured FC may improve patient/physician compliance with current therapeutic guidelines. If patients receiving standard-of-care medical therapy have repeat strokes ipsilateral to carotid plaque harboring “vulnerable” plaque features, they may warrant surgical intervention even if they do not meet the stenosis thresholds by the NASCET criteria.

CURRENT IMAGING STATE OF THE ART

In this section of the paper, we will summarize the imaging techniques that can be used in the imaging of the carotid artery wall.

US

US is generally accepted as the standard imaging technique for first-line diagnosis of atherosclerosis of the carotid artery.⁶⁷ US has shown very good results in the identification and characterization of high-risk plaques in patients with atherosclerosis.¹⁰⁹ In particular, the use of microbubble contrast material facilitates assessment of vulnerable plaque features such as the presence/absence of neovascularization.^{110,111} The recently introduced volumetric US technology seems to add further value to this technique by improving the interobserver concordance and increasing the spatial coverage.¹¹² Another US technique that could be used for carotid plaque characterization is intravascular US (IVUS). The advantage of IVUS is excellent spatial resolution, which is possible given the short distance between the probe and the carotid plaque, which permits the use of high-frequency (up to 50 MHz) insonation without excessive attenuation.

US, however, suffers from some key limitations. In patients with short muscular necks, it may be very difficult to identify the carotid bifurcation.¹¹³ In obese patients or in patients that have had radiation therapy, US assessment of the carotid arteries can be challenging. Another limitation of US is the evaluation of highly calcified plaques that create acoustic shadowing that can reduce visualization of the lesion.¹¹⁴ Furthermore, US is less capable of detecting additional, more distally located (“tandem”) stenoses than CTA or MRA. It is important to underline that IVUS is invasive and is only performed in selected cases that are largely treated with carotid artery stent placement; thus, no pathologic correlate is available. IVUS identification of the fibrous cap or visualization of friable plaque may correlate with increased risk of emboli. Moreover, the small cohorts of assessed IVUS patients as well as the potential risk related to the procedure need further analysis before IVUS can be included in the routine clinical work-up.¹¹⁵

Luminal Morphology and Ulcerations. It has recently been shown that 3D-US could be effective in the detection of ulceration in carotid artery plaques.^{116,117}

Intraplaque Hemorrhage. A few articles have assessed US performance in the detection of IPH, and the results demonstrated low sensitivity and specificity.^{118,119}

Fibrous Cap Status. Some authors have explored the potential of conventional US to characterize the FC, but the results obtained were suboptimal.¹²⁰⁻¹²² Recent articles^{123,124} have suggested that intravascular ultrasonography can assess in detail plaque structure and the FC but with associated procedural risk.

Neovascularization and Inflammation. Several recently published^{110,111,125,126} contrast-enhanced sonography studies found that sonographic enhancement correlates with intraplaque neovascularization in carotid endarterectomy specimens. However, the reproducibility and utility of this technique for clinical care are not well-established.¹²⁷

It is important to underline that US can also be used to assess those initial subtle wall alterations in the very early phases of atherosclerosis progression, for example, the intima-media thickness that is considered a significant predictor of coronary and cerebrovascular events.^{128,129}

Recent studies assessed the reliability of US for assessing certain plaque features. Bar et al¹³⁰ assessed plaques in 30 patients. Interrater agreement values for the following plaque features were as follows: homogeneity, 96% ($\kappa = 0.84$; $P < .001$); surface characteristics, 90% ($\kappa = 0.77$; $P < .001$); and echogenicity, 86% ($\kappa = 0.60$; $P < .001$). The correlation coefficient for plaque content and volume measurement agreement was 0.81, and measurements did not differ significantly ($P = \text{not significant}$). In an article published in 1999 by Hartmann et al,¹³¹ the κ values and 95% confidence intervals for interrater reproducibility were 0.05 (−0.07 to 0.16) for plaque surface structure, 0.15 (0.02 to 0.28) for plaque heterogeneity, 0.18 (0.09 to 0.29) for plaque echogenicity, and 0.29 (0.19 to 0.39) for plaque calcification. The upper bounds of all the confidence intervals were below the 0.40 level, indicating very low reliability.

CT

Modern CT scanners can provide exquisite, rapid high-resolution imaging of the carotid artery lumen and the arterial wall. The introduction of multienergy technology provided a tremendous boost to the development of CT techniques; and constant advances in detector technology, in spatial and temporal resolution, and release of advanced software for image reconstruction have helped to consolidate this technique as a reliable tool for the evaluation of arterial pathology, with particular success in the detection and characterization of carotid atherosclerosis.

Because of its spatial resolution, CT imaging seems to be a promising tool for the quantification of the volume of the carotid plaque as well as for the volume quantification of plaque subcomponents (fatty, mixed, calcified) (Fig 1). Moreover, the introduction of multienergy technology is opening new options in tissue characterization because the different tissue components show different attenuation levels with varying kiloelectron volt values.^{132,133}

Luminal Morphology and Ulcerations. CT offers very good results in detecting ulcerations when compared with histopathology (Fig 2), with performance significantly better than US,¹³⁴⁻¹³⁶ but the presence of a halo or edge blur may hinder detection of smaller ulcerations.




FIG 1. Plaque volume analysis in a 75-year-old man with a TIA. In the volume-rendered image, the carotid is traced (A), and in the curved-planar-reconstructed postprocessed image (CTA module, Aquarius iNtuition Edition, Version 44121382907; TeraRecon, San Mateo, California) (B), the plaque is identified based on the green contours (white arrows). The volume analysis with automated boundary detection and tissue segmentation is shown in panels C, D, and E (corresponding to the 3 arrows, proximal-to-distal) with contours delineating the lumen (red contour), outer wall (blue contour), and shading of calcium (blue), mixed tissue (green), and lipid component (red).

Intraplaque Hemorrhage. Detection of IPH using CT is challenging, and conflicting results have been reported. While some authors have found that CT density is slightly higher in fatty plaques with IPH identified by MR imaging compared with plaques without IPH,¹³⁷ others found no significant differences in Hounsfield units of fatty plaques with and without IPH identified by MR imaging.¹⁰⁴ Other authors found a correlation between the presence of IPH and low Hounsfield unit values (<30 HU),^{56,138,139} which might be explained by the associated presence of LRNC. Recently, some authors suggested that the rim sign on CTA (soft-tissue plaque with adventitial calcifications) as well as maximum soft-plaque thickness could be predictive of carotid IPH.¹⁴⁰

Fibrous Cap Status. The assessment of the status of the FC using CT is complex because of the artifacts related to the edge blur and halo effects, but authors suggest that CT can be used to assess the FC status, in particular to identify rupture.^{141,142} Notably, it seems that the rupture of the FC correlates with the presence of postcontrast plaque enhancement in CTA analysis.⁴⁵

Neovascularization and Inflammation. The degree of postcontrast plaque enhancement has been shown to be associated with the extent of neovascularization on CT.¹⁴³ The adventitial neovascularization has been assessed with both MR imaging¹⁴⁴ and CT.^{145,146} Romero et al¹⁴⁵ showed adventitial neovascularity on CTA to be significantly more common in symptomatic than in asymptomatic patients with $\geq 70\%$ stenosis and for stenosis between 50% and 70%,¹⁴⁶ and similar findings have been reported by MR imaging.¹⁴⁴

Plaque Remodeling. CT studies have found that positive carotid remodeling was significantly greater in patients with cerebral ischemic symptoms than in asymptomatic patients and that the extent of expansive remodeling may indicate underlying atherosclerotic plaque vulnerability.^{147,148}

Plaque Volume. CT can calculate the volume of the carotid artery plaque and determine the volume of the subcomponents, according to the Hounsfield unit threshold.¹⁴⁹ Further, it has been shown in a CT/MR imaging comparison study that the best discriminating factor for predicting a complicated American Heart Association type VI




FIG 2. Ulcerated carotid artery plaques detected with CT and MR imaging. In the first case, the CTA of a 74-year-old man with a TIA demonstrates an ulcerated carotid artery plaque (white arrows) in the left internal carotid artery (white arrow) in the MIP (A) and axial source (B) images. In the second case, an MR imaging analysis of a 63-year-old man with a TIA shows a tiny ulceration (white arrows) in the right internal carotid artery visible in the axial (C) and paracoronar (D) planes.

plaque is the thickness of the fatty plaque component with a receiver operating characteristic area under the curve of 0.89.¹⁰⁴

CT Limitations. CT imaging has 3 main limitations: 1) the radiation dose delivered to patients, 2) the risk related to the administration of contrast material, and 3) the limited fatty tissue contrast. Diagnostic radiation exposure and the consequent potential radiation hazards represent a significant issue,^{150,151} particularly when longitudinal monitoring is required. The second limiting factor of CT is the potential anaphylactic reaction to contrast material^{152,153} and contrast-induced nephropathy, which is a common form of hospital-acquired acute renal failure.^{154,155} A further limitation of CT is the limited published information concerning the reliability of this technique and the prospective value of CT-based plaque features on stroke risk and/or stroke recurrence.

MR

The use of a high field strength (1.5T–3T) and dedicated surface radiofrequency coils improves the signal-to-noise ratio, which allows for the evaluation of plaque components and investigation beyond the simple assessment of stenosis measurements. Multi-

contrast carotid MR imaging (including T1WI, T2WI, and proton density and TOF) can characterize plaque components (eg, fibrous cap, LRNC, calcification, and intraplaque hemorrhage) without administration of contrast agents. Contrast-enhanced MR imaging improves tissue characterization^{60,61} and offers information on the presence of neovascularization (Figs 3 and 4).

Luminal Morphology and Ulcerations.

MR imaging detects plaque ulcerations with a sensitivity similar to CT (Fig 2).¹⁵⁶ Etesami et al¹⁵⁶ demonstrated that the use of contrast-enhanced MRA techniques improved the sensitivity for ulcerations by 37.5% compared with an unenhanced time-of-flight sequence.

Intraplaque Hemorrhage.

MR imaging is considered the best imaging technique for the detection of IPH (Figs 3 and 5). Several studies have shown that the appearance of IPH depends on the oxidative state of hemoglobin.^{157–159} Because of the sensitivity of MR imaging in detecting IPH and the risk attributed to this feature, some authors suggest that MR imaging is the best technique for imaging carotid artery vulnerable plaque.^{12,40,63,160,161} During the subacute and chronic phases, IPH appears bright on T1-weighted imaging due to the relatively short T1 of methemoglobin. This phenomenon has been exploited using widely available sequences such as MPRAGE, though other heavily

T1-weighted techniques have been developed to satisfy this purpose such as multicontrast atherosclerosis characterization (MATCH) and simultaneous noncontrast angiography and intraplaque hemorrhage (SNAP) (Figs 6 and 7). In MATCH, hyper-T1 contrast weighting is achieved using inversion preparation and data acquisition at the background nulling point, and thus IPH can be exclusively visualized with a near-dark background; on the other hand, background tissues can readily be visualized on other contrast weightings, thanks to the inherently coregistered multicontrast acquisition (Fig 7).^{162,163} IPH detection using 3D SNAP enables greater conspicuity of the lumen boundary compared with MPRAGE (Figs 5–7).¹⁶⁴ SNAP provides the advantage of 3D isotropic resolution as well as simultaneous bright-blood angiography to detect stenosis or ulceration that may be colocalized with IPH.¹⁶⁵ It is worth noting that it is not necessary to restrict carotid wall imaging to dedicated, small-FOV surface coils for IPH detection since this can be achieved at a lower spatial resolution using large-FOV neck coils (Fig 3).^{63,79,166} In fact, IPH detection can be achieved on the mask sequence acquired as part of a routine contrast-enhanced MRA (Fig 3).⁶³




FIG 3. Carotid atherosclerotic plaque MR imaging and a specimen from a 73-year-old man with stenosis of the carotid bulb measuring 69% by the NASCET criteria demonstrated on a contrast-enhanced MRA (A). The precontrast (mask) image from the contrast-enhanced MRA demonstrates bright signal indicative of intraplaque hemorrhage, specifically subacute blood, or methemoglobin (B, arrow). Subacute blood is also identified as bright signal on the precontrast T1-weighted black-blood image (C, arrow). A rim of hemosiderin is identified as hypointense signal on the postcontrast black-blood image (D) and a hemosiderin-sensitive sequence (E) and is confirmed on the endarterectomy specimen (F and G). The fibrous cap is also delineated (green arrow, D and F). Black-blood imaging was achieved by using 2D cardiac-gated double inversion recovery turbo spin-echo. ECA indicates external carotid artery; CCA, common carotid artery; TFE, turbo field echo.




FIG 4. Carotid atherosclerotic plaque MR imaging and a specimen from a 76-year-old woman with transient ischemic attacks ipsilateral to carotid bulb stenosis, measuring 47% by the NASCET criteria demonstrated on a contrast-enhanced MRA. A, Narrowing is caused by the plaque characterized by 2D cardiac-gated double inversion recovery black-blood MR imaging (B). Regional enhancement (green arrow) within the lipid core (yellow arrow) suggests focal inflammation with neovascularity as confirmed on the endarterectomy specimen (C, green circle). Contrast enhancement is also useful for delineating the fibrous cap (B and C, orange arrowheads). Calcification is identified as areas of hypointensity (B, red arrows, and C, red circle).

Lipid-Rich Necrotic Core. Initial research demonstrated that LRNC could be detected as a focal hypointense region on T2WI (Fig 8).^{167,168} Multiple studies have confirmed the improved detection of LRNC seen as a focal nonenhancing region on contrast-enhanced T1WI within the carotid vessel wall.^{60,61} Larger LRNC size correlates with future ipsilateral carotid symptoms. All validation of LRNC detection/quantification and predictive features has been based on multicontrast carotid plaque MR imaging protocol using dedicated carotid coils. Recent work has suggested the ability to detect a large LRNC using commercially available 3D T1WI sequences and large-FOV neck coils. The Canadian Atherosclerosis Imaging Network has recently completed a prospective, multi-institution study using large-FOV neck coils and commercial sequences from a variety of MR imaging vendors to detect LRNC and IPH. When fully analyzed, CAIN may give us additional information about the ability of




FIG 5. Smooth left internal carotid artery stenosis with intraplaque hemorrhage. All images were acquired with a 16-channel neurovascular coil at 3T. The CE-MRA demonstrates a smooth, nonulcerated stenosis in the bulbous and postbulbous parts of the left internal carotid artery (white arrowhead, A). Oblique reformat of a coronally acquired MPRAGE image shows extensive intraplaque hemorrhage, which appears hyperintense (white arrow, B). The IPH is hyperintense on the nonenhanced T1 fat-saturated spin-echo image (C) and isointense on the gadolinium-enhanced T1 fat-saturated spin-echo image (D). On the TOF MRA source data, the IPH also appears hyperintense but to a lesser degree than the intraluminal flow signal (E).

IPH and LRNC size detected with large-FOV neck coils and commercial sequences to predict future ipsilateral events.

Fibrous Cap Status. MR imaging can assess fibrous cap status,^{61,169} as opposed to the other noninvasive imaging modalities such as CT and US.¹⁴¹ A regular (thick) FC is characterized by the presence of a juxtaluminal band of low signal on time-of-flight MR images and/or a hyperintense juxtaluminal region on contrast-enhanced T1WI, whereas a thin FC is present when this band of low signal on TOF or the hyperintense region on contrast-enhanced (CE)-T1WI is not visible or when the juxtaluminal hyperintense region on CE-T1-weighted MR imaging is interrupted. The fissured fibrous cap is characterized by 2 distinct features: 1) the absence of the juxtaluminal band of low signal, and 2) the presence of a bright gray region adjacent to the lumen, corresponding to plaque hemorrhage and/or mural thrombus.^{60,170} As shown by Wasserman et al⁶¹ and Cai et al,⁶⁰ contrast-enhanced

imaging could be useful for improving delineation of the cap compared with noncontrast (T2), and CE-T1WI can be used to quantify the fibrous cap and the LRNC. Although resolving a thin fibrous cap defined by pathologic criteria would necessitate a higher field strength to overcome signal constraints, distinguishing thin/ruptured from thick fibrous cap thicknesses can be achieved at 1.5T (Fig 8).^{171,172}

Consistent visualization of the FC requires dedicated carotid surface coils. Yuan et al¹⁷⁰ and others have shown that the fissured FC has a statistically significant association with the presence of cerebrovascular symptoms⁸¹ and is associated with a higher risk of ischemic symptoms in prospective studies.^{79,80}

Neovascularization and Inflammation. There are new contrast agents using iron particles (ultrasmall superparamagnetic iron oxide or P947)^{173,174} that can evaluate plaque inflammation via uptake by phagocytic cells within the inflamed vessel wall. Small

particle-based MR imaging contrast agents (iron oxide) can be used to evaluate the presence of plaque inflammation. These iron oxide particles enter atherosclerotic plaques, with the agents accumulating in macrophages transformed from blood monocytes attracted by inflammatory mediators.⁹⁰ High-risk inflamed plaques contain a focal area of signal loss on MR images, due to iron oxide accumulation.⁹¹ Iron nanoparticles (10- to 300-nm-sized) are also bound to antibodies, drugs, peptides, and polysaccharides; and avidin-biotin cross-linked with polymers is used to assess endothelial function in animal models. Polymer hydroxyl acidic core (polylactic acid) and dendrimers (polyami-

doamine, diaminobutane) have been described as suitable to functionalize the surface of superparamagnetic iron oxide¹⁷⁵ (15- to 60-nm superparamagnetic iron oxide) particles, allowing for ligand binding. Ligand-bound superparamagnetic iron oxide (anti-VCAM-1 and anti-E-selectin antibody conjugated superparamagnetic iron oxide) can cause dephasing and loss of T2* signal intensity due to susceptibility effects and is suitable for passive targeted imaging of inflammation in cardiovascular tissue.¹⁷⁵ In addition to iron oxide nanoparticles, various other nanoparticles are being used for molecular imaging of atherosclerosis in animal models, eg, liposome vesicles (50–70 nm)

for US¹⁷⁶/MR imaging¹⁷⁷; perfluorocarbon core emulsions (200–300 nm) for MR imaging, US, fluorescence, and nuclear imaging; chemo-thermo-immuno¹⁷⁸; and high-density lipoprotein and low-density lipoprotein micelles for MR imaging.¹⁷⁹ Other types of particles such as gold, carbon nanotube fullerenes (4 nm), quantum dots cadmium selenide spheres (2–10 nm), and metal-based agents are in the process of standardization and may be useful in fluorescent imaging.¹⁸⁰ Moreover, other investigators have reported the possibility of viral capsid




FIG 6. Matched cross-sectional images of a carotid plaque with high signal intensity (white arrows), consistent with the presence of intraplaque hemorrhage on MPRAGE (A) and SNAP MR imaging (B). Note the greater conspicuity of the carotid lumen (L) on SNAP compared with the MPRAGE image. There is a penetrating ulcer (asterisk) that is more easily detected on SNAP compared with the TOF MRA image (C).




FIG 7. In a 68-year-old male patient, coexistent plaque components, fresh intraplaque hemorrhage (arrows), and superficial calcifications (arrowheads) are detected by MATCH (first row) and the conventional multicontrast protocol (second row). Compared with T1-weighted TSE and TOF, MATCH provides more conspicuous depiction of intraplaque hemorrhage on the hyper-T1-weighted image and calcification on the gray blood image. Notice that the calcification is also visible on the MATCH T2-weighted image but not on the T2-weighted TSE image.




FIG 8. A, Contrast-enhanced MRA of the extracranial carotid bifurcation indicating the level of 2D-FSE images obtained with 1.5T. B, T1-weighted double inversion recovery black-blood FSE image shows an eccentric plaque (arrow) in the internal carotid artery. C, T2-weighted double inversion recovery black-blood FSE image at the same level shows a crescentic, hypointense signal from the necrotic core, which is separated by a higher intensity fibrous cap from the flow lumen.

protein cages with gadolinium as potential nanospheres for drug encapsulation and imaging.¹⁸¹

A recent MR imaging study¹⁸² showed that enhancement of carotid plaque after administration of gadolinium is associated with neovascularization ($P < .001$) (Fig 4). The correlation between the degree of plaque enhancement and the degree of neovascularization, which is itself linked to the degree of plaque inflammation, was also confirmed at histology in a recent study by Millon et al.¹⁸² Investigations have shown that inflammatory cells are also present at the interface with the underlying necrotic core and in the plaque shoulder region.^{183,184} From the imaging point of view, it is possible to distinguish 2 different types of neovascularization: 1) adventitial neovascularization, and 2) intraplaque neovascularization. The adventitial neovascularization has been assessed with MR imaging.¹⁴⁴ Ectopic neovascularization in the intima and media is a hallmark of advanced atherosclerotic lesions, but the adventitial layer is a fundamental target because it serves as the main source of in-growth of new vessels. The degree of neovascularity measured using gadolinium perfusion methods correlated with adventitial perfusion as measured by its transfer constant (Fig 9).¹⁸⁵ Wasserman¹⁷¹ categorized the circumferential enhancement (0, absent; 1, $<50\%$; 2, $\geq 50\%$) on postcontrast MR imaging by finding an association between the grade of adventitial enhancement and cerebrovascular events. Plaque perfusion imaging using dynamic contrast-enhanced MR imaging has been shown to give reproducible physiologic measurements of the vasa vasorum.^{186,187} However, protocol compliance may be more important for functional imaging such as dynamic contrast-enhanced MR imaging as compared with anatomic imaging.

Plaque Volume. Recently published studies show the utility of MR imaging for this type of quantification.^{103,188} In general, the




FIG 9. Volume transfer constant (K^{trans}) map of a patient with carotid plaque. Maps were generated using pharmacokinetic modeling of dynamic contrast-enhanced MR images. The parametric map is overlaid on the anatomic MR image, and voxel K^{trans} values (Patlak model) are color-coded. The necrotic core exhibits low K^{trans} values at the center of the plaque, while the highly vascularized adventitia at the outer rim exhibits high K^{trans} values. There is another region of higher K^{trans} values near the inner rim of the plaque.

reliability of MR imaging for plaque assessment has been very good. A study published by Wasserman in 2010¹⁰³ found that the scan reliability for common carotid artery lumen area was 0.94, whereas for the ICA lumen area, it was 0.89. In the assessment of the total wall volume, the value was 0.79, but in the assessment of LRNC volume, the value was very low (0.3). The authors found that overall reliability is primarily related to reader variability rather than scan acquisition. The coefficient of variation values for the plaque area or plaque volume are between 3% and 6%, as demonstrated by Saam et al.^{189,190}

Imaging studies have documented changes in atherosclerotic plaque volume and composition and progression of subclinical lesions into rupture-prone plaques.^{191–196} The ability to monitor these changes might contribute to our ability to estimate risk and assess pharmaceutical treatment efficacy.¹⁹⁷ For example, changes in plaque structure that correspond with a clinical event help to identify that plaque as a culprit lesion, which puts it at a higher risk for future stroke.^{34,122,198} Several studies have reported using MR imaging for longitudinal analysis of carotid plaque variations,^{191–193} with fewer reports using CTA.^{194,195}

MR Imaging Limitations. An important limitation to contrast-enhanced MR imaging evaluation of plaque that has recently emerged is the potential for gadolinium toxicity, particularly when longitudinal monitoring is required. Recent studies have reported the accumulation of gadolinium in various tissues of patients without renal impairment, including in bone, brain, and kidneys,^{199–201} and in July 2015, the US Food and Drug Administration published a safety announcement that it is investigating the risk of brain deposits associated with the repeat use of gadolinium contrast agents in MR imaging,²⁰² stating: “To reduce the potential for gadolinium accumulation, health care professionals should consider limiting GBCA [gadolinium-based contrast agents] use to clinical circumstances in which the additional information provided by the contrast is necessary. Health care professionals are also urged to reassess the necessity of repetitive GBCA MRIs in established treatment protocols.” This risk must now be weighed against the potential radiation hazard described earlier that limits longitudinal plaque monitoring by CT.

Advanced Algorithms to Carotid Artery Plaque Characterization

With the development of deep learning technology and plaque characterization algorithms applied to medical imaging, it is now possible to identify, classify, and quantify target features from imaging datasets such as total carotid artery plaque volume and plaque subcomponent detection (calcium, IPH, lipid core).^{203,204} Deep-learning technology has experienced rapid progress in health care over recent years, with early reports of implementation in carotid imaging²⁰⁴ raising the prospect of routine use in the clinical setting once validated.

Functional–Molecular Imaging

“Molecular” imaging techniques have been gaining popularity. The objective of molecular imaging is to provide biologic insight into the identification and classification of carotid artery plaques, especially those at high risk. In atherosclerotic plaques, multiple and complex reactions take place at the molecular and cellular level, with various atherosclerosis-related biomarkers present at different stages of disease progression.²⁰⁵ Conventional imaging with US, MR, or CT cannot identify these components because of limited imaging contrast; therefore, several methods have been proposed that use external contrast agents targeting these specific biomarkers.

A wide variety of studies has assessed the diagnostic potential of nuclear medicine techniques for imaging and quantifying plaque inflammation, such as by PET using the widely available [¹⁸F] FDG or newer radiotracers such as [¹⁸F] fluorocholine

(Fig 10).^{206–208} Nuclear medicine tracer techniques have also shown efficacy in the identification of neovascularization.^{209,210}

Because vulnerable plaques are infiltrated by lymphocytes and macrophages, with the latter cell population capable of taking up [¹⁸F] FDG from the interstitial spaces, [¹⁸F] FDG PET can be used to directly detect plaque inflammation in various anatomic locations.²¹¹ In recent years, a number of studies have assessed the diagnostic potential of [¹⁸F] FDG PET to image and quantify plaque inflammation^{206,207} as well as monitoring the reduction of plaque inflammation resulting from statin therapy.²⁰⁸

RECOMMENDATIONS

Carotid MRI

Background. Results from recently published meta-analyses support the hypothesis that MR imaging detection of carotid IPH is associated with increased risk for future primary and recurrent ischemic neurologic events.^{79,212,213} Furthermore, the absence of IPH portends a benign clinical course, even among patients with symptomatic 50%–99% carotid stenosis.²¹³ Other plaque features associated with increased risk include identification of a large LRNC and a thin or ruptured fibrous cap.⁷⁹

Goals. 1) To provide general guidelines for carotid MR vessel wall imaging with recommended imaging sequences, spatial resolution, and coverage. Guideline considerations are that the protocol can be applied broadly across a spectrum of clinical scanners and not require specialized software or research keys for implementation, and 2) to recommend future areas for technical development and clinical expansion needs.

Essential Features for Identification with Carotid Plaque Imaging. Any MR imaging protocol for plaque imaging should be able to identify the following atherosclerotic plaque characteristics:

- 1) Stenosis and luminal surface condition (fibrous cap and ulceration).
- 2) Presence of intraplaque hemorrhage.
- 3) Presence of lipid rich necrotic core.
- 4) Plaque burden and distribution.

Minimum MR Imaging Protocol Requirements for Identification of Essential Plaque Features (1.5T and 3T). Recommended minimum sequence requirements are the following:

- Resolution: In-plane 0.6 mm, through-plane 2 mm
- Longitudinal coverage: 3–4 cm centered on the carotid bifurcation
- Effective blood suppression for a plaque burden visualization sequence.

The protocol may include any combination of sequences that meets the minimum requirements set forth above. The sequences used can be either 2D or 3D or a combination, provided that they together meet the minimum sequence requirements above. Overall scan time can be adjusted based on field strength and the availability of specialized hardware such as carotid phased array coils. 3T scanners are recommended for improved SNR.

Example Protocols. Four protocols are presented based on considerations for 2D and 3D imaging and the use of gadolin-




FIG 10. [^{18}F] fluorocholine positron-emission tomography CT (^{18}F -FCH PET CT) image of a symptomatic (arrow) and contralateral asymptomatic (arrowhead) carotid plaque of a patient who experienced right-sided stroke. A, Diagnostic contrast-enhanced CT shows a significant stenosis in the right internal carotid artery because of a calcified plaque, whereas a noncalcified atherosclerotic plaque can be seen on the contralateral internal carotid artery. B, CT, inset on the symptomatic plaque. C, CT, inset on the asymptomatic plaque. D, The fused PET CT image denotes a focal area of high [^{18}F] FCH uptake in the right symptomatic carotid plaque, whereas there is no visible [^{18}F] FCH uptake in the left asymptomatic carotid plaque. E, Fused PET CT, inset on the symptomatic plaque. F, Fused PET-CT, inset on the asymptomatic plaque.

Table 1: 3D Noncontrast protocol

Name	3D TOF	3D MPRAGE	3D TIWI SPACE/Cube/VISTA	3D T2WI SPACE/Cube/VISTA
Plaque feature	Stenosis, ulceration, calcification	Intraplaque hemorrhage	Plaque burden and distribution	LRNC
Sequence ^a	FFE/SPGR	IR-TFE/SPGR	TSE/FSE	TSE/FSE
Image mode	3D	3D	3D	3D
Scan plane	Axial	Coronal	Coronal	Coronal
TR (ms)	24	15	1000	2500
TE (ms)	4.6	Minimum	30	250
FOV (cm)	16 × 16	16 × 16	16 × 16	16 × 16
Resolution (mm ²)	0.6 × 0.6	0.6 × 0.6	0.6 × 0.6	0.6 × 0.6
Slice thickness (mm)	1 ^b	0.6	0.6	0.6
Blood suppression	Saturation–veins	None	MSDE/FSD ^c	MSDE/FSD
Special parameters	Flip angle = 20°	Flip angle = 15° TI = 500 ms Turbo factor = 30, IRTR = 800 ms	Echo-train: variable VFA T1	Echo-train: variable VFA T2
Fat suppression	No	Yes (water excitation)	Yes	Yes

Note:—SPGR indicates echo-spoiled gradient-echo; FFE, fast-field echo; IR-TFE, inversion recovery–turbo field echo; VFA, variable flip angle; IRTR, time interval between 2 consecutive IR pulses; MSDE, motion-sensitized driven equilibrium; FSD, flow-sensitized dephasing.

^a Siemens/Philips/GE acronyms.

^b Interpolated resolution.

^c Pulse gating not required for any sequence.

ium contrast agents (Tables 1–4). If patients are able to undergo gadolinium contrast injection, its use is recommended for the detection and quantification of LRNC and the delineation of the fibrous cap.^{60,214} Use of large-coverage 3D sequences can detect plaques extending beyond the 4-cm coverage centered on the bifurcation and is preferable. Carotid coils are recommended for use with all protocols, though large-FOV

neck coils can detect IPH. It is possible to add a 4-minute 3D MPRAGE sequence to routine clinical carotid MRA protocol. The protocol is similar to Table 1, but with 0.8-mm isotropic resolution using a large-FOV neck coil instead of 0.6 mm using dedicated carotid surface coils. Focal regions of T1 hyperintensity within the carotid plaque that are 15× greater than the adjacent sternocleidomastoid muscle can be used to identify IPH.

Table 2: 3D contrast protocol

Name	3D TOF	3D MPRAGE	Precontrast and Postcontrast ^a 3D TIWI SPACE/Cube/VISTA
Plaque feature	Stenosis, ulceration, calcification	Intraplaque hemorrhage	Plaque burden and distribution, LRNC
Sequence ^b	FFE/SPGR	IR-TFE/SPGR	TSE/FSE
Image mode	3D	3D	3D
Scan plane	Axial	Coronal	Coronal
TR (ms)	24	15	1000
TE (ms)	4.6	Minimum	30
FOV (cm)	16 × 16	16 × 16	16 × 16
Resolution (mm ²)	0.6 × 0.6	0.6 × 0.6	0.6 × 0.6
Slice thickness (mm)	1 ^c	0.6	0.6
Blood suppression	Saturation–veins	None	MSDE/FSD ^d
Special parameters	Flip angle = 20°	Flip angle = 15° TI = 500 ms Turbo factor = 30, IRTR = 800 ms	Echo-train: variable VFA TI
Fat suppression	No	Yes (water excitation)	Yes

^a Clinical CE-MRA per institutional protocol can be used before postcontrast sequence.

^b Siemens/Philips/GE acronyms.

^c Interpolated resolution.

^d Pulse gating not required for any sequence.

Table 3: 2D noncontrast protocol

Name	TOF MRA Localizer	Oblique TIWI Localizer	TIWI	T2WI	3D-TOF	MPRAGE
Plaque feature	Localize artery	Localize bifurcation	Plaque burden and distribution	LRNC	Stenosis, ulceration, calcification	Intraplaque hemorrhage
Sequence ^a	FFE/SPGR	TSE/FSE	TSE/FSE	TSE/FSE	FFE/SPGR	IR-TFE/IR-FSPGR
Image mode	2D	2D	2D	2D	3D	3D
Scan plane	Axial	Oblique	Axial	Axial	Axial	Axial
TR (ms)	25	2000	800	4800	24	13
TE (ms)	4	8	10	50	Minimum	Minimum
FOV (cm)	16 × 12	16 × 16	16 × 16	16 × 16	16 × 16	16 × 16
Resolution (mm ²)	0.63 × 0.83	0.63 × 0.63	0.63 × 0.63	0.63 × 0.63	0.63 × 0.63	0.63 × 0.63
Slice thickness (mm)	2	2	2	2	2/–1	2/–1
No. of slices	32	6	16	16	40	40
Blood suppression	None	DIR	DIR	DIR	None ^b	None
Special parameters	Flip angle = 40°	Echo-train = 14; 3 slices/TR	Echo-train = 10;	Echo-train = 12; 8 slices/TR	Flip angle = 20°	Flip angle = 15°, turbo factor = 30, TI = 500 ms, IRTR = 800 ms
Fat suppression	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Water excitation

Note:—DIR indicates double inversion recovery; FSPGR, fast-spoiled gradient recalled.

^a Siemens/Philips/GE acronyms.

^b Pulse gating not required for any sequence.

Motion-sensitized driven-equilibrium²¹⁵/flow-sensitive dephasing²¹⁶ flow suppression is required for 3D sampling perfection with application-optimized contrasts using different flip angle evolution (SPACE; Siemens, Erlangen, Germany)/Cube (GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, Wisconsin)/volume isotropic turbo spin-echo acquisition (VISTA; Philips Healthcare, Best, the Netherlands) to ensure effective blood suppression to accurately identify plaque lumen boundaries. Good blood suppression postcontrast requires the use of motion-sensitized driven-equilibrium or double inversion recovery (DIR)/quadruple inversion recovery²¹⁷ flow suppression. For DIR, the inversion time can be calculated based on estimated T1 values of blood at 5-minute intervals following contrast administration (0.1 mmol/kg) for 1.5T or 3T scanners.²¹⁸ We recommend a TI of 250 ms for 3T scanners for a TR triggered at a 1 RR interval, which generally produces adequate flow suppression beginning 5 minutes after injection despite variations in postinjection scan time and heart rate, which will affect the T1 blood values.

Discussion. Lumen. Quantifying luminal narrowing is a prerequisite, as stenosis severity is the cornerstone for treatment decisions in

current clinical guidelines. Furthermore, detection of ulceration provides prognostic value. Use of TOF MRA for lumen assessment avoids the need for IV contrast and may provide confirmatory evidence of intraplaque hemorrhage and sometimes calcification. Addition or substitution with CE-MRA should be considered for those without contraindication for contrast administration. This would also provide an opportunity to perform post-contrast-enhanced imaging of the vessel wall for direct identification of the LRNC and identification/confirmation of fibrous cap status and ulcerations.

IPH. MR imaging techniques are available for IPH detection across scanner platforms, and the predictive value of IPH for ischemic events has been extensively evaluated, both with and without custom carotid coils. In a review performed by Gupta et al,⁷⁹ studies were stratified by those utilizing multisequence, carotid coil–dependent protocols and those using a single sequence with standard large-FOV neck coils for IPH detection. Using either technique, IPH was associated with significantly increased risk for TIA or stroke (hazard ratio, 440; 95% CI, 210–923; and hazard ratio, 504; 95% CI, 215–1185, respectively). While IPH can be identified on T1WI sequences

Table 4: 2D contrast protocol

Name	TOF MRA Localizer	Oblique T1WI Localizer	Precontrast and Postcontrast ^a T1WI	3D-TOF	MPRAGE
Plaque feature	Localize artery	Localize bifurcation	Plaque burden and distribution, LRNC	Stenosis, ulceration, calcification	Intraplaque hemorrhage
Sequence ^b	FFE/SPGR	TSE/FSE	TSE/FSE	FFE/SPGR	IR-TFE/IR-FSPGR
Image mode	2D	2D	2D	3D	3D
Scan plane	Axial	Oblique	Axial	Axial	Axial
TR (ms)	25	2000	800	24	13
TE (ms)	4	8	10	Min	Min
FOV (cm)	16 × 12	16 × 16	16 × 16	16 × 16	16 × 16
Resolution (mm ²)	0.63 × 0.83	0.63 × 0.63	0.63 × 0.63	0.63 × 0.63	0.63 × 0.63
Slice thickness (mm)	2	2	2	2/−1	2/−1
No. of slices	32	6	16	40	40
Blood suppression	Saturation–veins	MSDE	QIR ^c	Saturation–veins	No ^d
Special parameters	Flip angle = 40°	Echo-train = 14; 3 slices/TR	Echo-train = 10	Flip angle = 20°	Flip angle = 15°, turbo factor = 30, TI = 500 ms, IRTR = 800 ms
Fat suppression	No	Yes	Yes	No	Water excitation

Note:—QIR indicates quadruple inversion recovery.

^a Clinical CE-MRA per institutional protocol can be used before postcontrast sequence.

^b Siemens/Philips/GE acronyms.

^c Double inversion recovery can be used, but blood suppression may be incomplete, and inversion time is a variable dependent on patient and contrast bolus.

^d Pulse gating not required for any sequence.

such as T1WI fast spin-echo, T1WI SPACE, TOF, and so forth, a highly T1-weighted sequence such as MPRAGE can provide higher sensitivity and specificity for IPH detection.²¹⁹

Lipid-Rich Necrotic Core. T2-weighted imaging can be used to detect the presence of LRNC.^{167,168} Direct assessment of the LRNC can also be done in patients undergoing contrast administration using a postcontrast T1WI scan. CE-MRA followed by post-CE vessel wall imaging in patients without contraindication will improve detection and quantification of the LRNC and delineation of the fibrous cap.²¹⁴

Plaque Burden and Distribution. Knowledge of the location and distribution of plaque assists in preprocedural planning. Time-efficient 3D large-coverage black-blood MR imaging may be better suited for this purpose.

Future Improvements and Needs for MR Imaging. Technical developments are urgently needed in the following areas:

- 1) Improved spatial resolution both in-plane and through-plane to better characterize finer structures such as fibrous caps.
- 2) More effective blood flow suppression for large-spatial-coverage imaging acquisition and pre- and postcontrast administration.
- 3) Dedicated carotid coils that are integrated with head and neck coils for extensive coverage.
- 4) Improved techniques for identifying the lipid rich necrotic core, especially without the need for contrast application.
- 5) More effective methods to deal with motion.
- 6) A streamlined imaging protocol that is able to identify multiple imaging targets in 1 or 2 imaging sequences.
- 7) Effective image-processing tools for efficient quantitative identification of imaging targets.
- 8) Development of training programs for MR imaging specialists on image acquisition and for radiologists on vessel wall image interpretation.
- 9) Ultimately, a guideline that clearly calls for the need for carotid plaque imaging and 1 simple protocol that can meet all the needs.

Currently, there are many new techniques being developed for carotid plaque imaging. 3D-SNAP provides non-contrast-enhanced MRA and simultaneous IPH detection.¹⁶⁴ 3D spoiled gradient-recalled echo pulse sequence for hemorrhage assessment using inversion recovery and multiple echoes (3D-SHINE) provides information about the state of IPH in addition to IPH detection.²²⁰ IPH can also be identified on a precontrast mask of CE-MRA if available.⁶³ MATCH provides comprehensive information regarding plaque composition in a single sequence.¹⁶³ 3D Multiple Echo Recombined Gradient Echo (3D-MERGE)²²¹ and 3D delay alternating with nutation for tailored excitation with fast low-angle shot (3D-DASH)²²² provide large-coverage blood suppression for plaque burden measurements. Diffusion-weighted imaging can detect LRNC without the use of contrast media.²²³ Self-gating has been used to reject data acquired during swallowing motion.²²⁴ T1-insensitive blood-suppression techniques such as quadruple inversion recovery²¹⁷ provide good blood suppression for post-contrast imaging. However, these supplementary techniques require specialized equipment (3T, custom carotid coils, custom sequences) and more intensive interpreter training.

Carotid CT

Background. Currently no meta-analyses or prospective trials have suggested that some specific CT features are associated with an increased risk for future primary and recurrent ischemic neurologic events, even if there are several prospective trials on their way or that have been published that examine the value of plaque imaging prospectively (PARISK, CAPIAS, CARE-II).¹⁰⁴⁻¹⁰⁶ However, cross-sectional studies have found that some CT characteristics (Hounsfield unit attenuation, the presence of neovascularization) are associated with increased risk of cerebrovascular events.^{48,225}

Goals. 1) To provide general guidelines for carotid CT vessel wall imaging with recommended desirable imaging techniques, tissue contrast, spatial resolution, and coverage. Guideline considerations are that the protocol can be applied

Table 5: Aquilion Vision^a

	Scanogram (AP–Lat)	Basal Scan (Optional)	Contrast Scan
Coverage	Sternum to midhead	Midneck to cover the bifurcation	From aortic arch to midhead
Scan mode	Scanogram	Helical	Helical
Start time	NA		Bolus tracking ^b
Range	500 mm	8 cm	Variable
Collimation	NA	0.5 × 80	0.5 × 80
Pitch	NA	1	1
kV	120	120	120
mA	50–200 ^c	350	350
Rotation time	NA	0.275 second	0.275 second
Direction	NA	Caudocranial ^d	Caudocranial ^d
Slice thickness	NA	1 mm	1 mm
Slice interval	NA	0.5 mm	0.5 mm
FOV	Wide	20 cm	20 cm
Filter	NA	Sharp (FL03)	Sharp (FL03)
CTDI (mGy)	—	15	15
DLP (mGy × cm)	—	0.6	0.6
Amount of CM	—	—	40–50 mL ^e
IDR	—	—	1.4–1.5 g I/s
Concentration ^f	—	—	370 mg I/mL
Flow rate ^f	—	—	4 mL/s

Note:—NA indicates not applicable; AP, anteroposterior; Lat, lateral; CTDI, CT dose index; DLP, dose-length product; IDR, iodine delivery rate; CM, contrast medium.

^a Toshiba Medical Systems, Tokyo, Japan.

^b Position of bolus tracking: aortic arch, threshold 100 HU.

^c 50 mA in AP and 200 in Lat.

^d Craniocaudal is also possible.

^e Variable according to the concentration.

^f Concentration × flow rate = IDR. The parameter to be considered is IDR.

Table 6: Somatom Sensation 64^a

	Scanogram (AP–Lat)	Basal Scan (Optional)	Contrast Scan
Coverage	Sternum to midhead	Midneck to cover the bifurcation	From aortic arch to midhead
Scan mode	Scanogram	Helical	Helical
Start time	NA		Bolus tracking ^b
Range	500 (mm)	8 cm	Variable
Collimation	NA	0.6 × 64	0.6 × 64
Pitch	NA	0.55	0.55
kV	120	120	120
mA	50–200 ^c	350	350
Rotation time	NA	0.28 second	0.28 second
Direction	NA	Craniocaudal ^d	Craniocaudal ^d
Slice thickness	NA	1 mm	1 mm
Slice interval	NA	0.5 mm	0.5 mm
FOV	Wide	20 cm	20 cm
Filter	NA	Sharp (B30f)	Sharp (B30f)
CTDI (mGy)	—	18	18
DLP (mGy × cm)	—	0.65	0.65
Amount of CM	—	—	40–50 mL ^e
IDR	—	—	1.4–1.5 g I/s
Concentration ^f	—	—	370 mg I/mL
Flow rate ^f	—	—	4 mL/s

^a Siemens, Malvern, Pennsylvania.

^b Position of bolus tracking: aortic arch, threshold 100 HU.

^c 50 mA in AP and 200 in Lat.

^d Caudocranial is also possible with optimal results.

^e Variable according to the concentration.

^f Concentration × flow rate = IDR. The parameter to be considered is IDR.

broadly across a spectrum of clinical CT scanners and not require specialized software or research keys for implementation. 2) To recommend future areas for technical development and clinical expansion needs.

Essential Features for Identification with Carotid Plaque Imaging. Any CT protocol for plaque imaging should be able to identify the following atherosclerotic plaque characteristics:

- 1) Stenosis and luminal surface condition (plaque morphology and ulceration).
- 2) Type of plaque (fatty versus mixed versus calcified).
- 3) Presence of plaque enhancement.
- 4) Plaque burden and distribution.

Minimum CT Protocol Requirements for Identification of Essential Plaque Features. Recommended minimum parameter requirements are the following:

- Resolution: isotropic voxel with 1-mm resolution
- Longitudinal coverage: from the aortic arch to intracranial vessels
- CT generation: third with at least 16-detector-row.

Example Protocols. Four protocols are presented (Tables 5–8). No CT study of carotid arteries must be performed without the administration of contrast material. The use of a biphasic approach (unenhanced scan followed by a contrast scan) allows the assessment of the carotid plaque neovascularization. This is becoming more important but is not considered currently necessary. To reduce the radiation dose delivered to the patients, the z-length of the basal scan should cover only the carotid artery plaque bifurcation (4-cm coverage centered on the bifurcation). The dual-energy CT technique¹⁴³ allows a virtual unenhanced image to assess plaque enhancement without the need for a biphasic approach.

Discussion. Lumen. Quantifying luminal narrowing is a prerequisite. To correctly assess the degree of stenosis, by avoiding the halo or edge blur, the correct window settings should be used.²²⁶ At the current level of technology, the status of the FC cannot be adequately explored by CT.

Type of Plaque. According to Hounsfield unit attenuation, the carotid plaque can be categorized as fatty (<60

HU), mixed (between 60 and 130 HU), and calcified (>130 HU). By applying these thresholds, it is possible to identify those plaques with a LRNC from others. Applying the Hounsfield unit classification, however, creates 2 problems

Table 7: ICT

	Scanogram (AP–Lat)	Basal Scan (Optional)	Contrast Scan
Coverage	Sternum to midhead	Midneck to cover the bifurcation	From aortic arch to midhead
Scan mode	Scanogram	Helical	Helical
Start time	NA		Bolus tracking ^b
Range	500 (mm)	8 cm	Variable
Collimation	NA	0.625 × 128	0.625 × 128
Pitch	NA	0.933	0.933
kV	120	120	120
mA	50–200 ^c	350	350
Rotation time	NA	0.5 second	0.5 second
Direction	NA	Craniocaudal ^d	Craniocaudal ^d
Slice thickness	NA	1 mm	1 mm
Slice interval	NA	0.5 mm	0.5 mm
FOV	Wide	20 cm	20 cm
Filter	NA	Sharp (B)	Sharp (B)
CTDI (mGy)	–	15	16
DLP (mGy × cm)	–	0.6	0.6
Amount of CM	–	–	40–50 mL ^e
IDR	–	–	1.4–1.5 g I/s
Concentration ^f	–	–	370 mg I/mL
Flow rate ^f	–	–	4 mL/s

^a Philips Healthcare.^b Position of bolus tracking: aortic arch, threshold 100 HU.^c 50 mA in AP and 200 in Lat.^d Caudocranial is also possible with optimal results.^e Variable according to the concentration.^f Concentration × flow rate = IDR. The parameter to be considered is IDR.**Table 8: LightSpeed VCT^a**

	Scanogram (AP–Lat)	Basal Scan (Optional)	Contrast Scan
Coverage	Sternum to midhead	Midneck to cover the bifurcation	From aortic arch to midhead
Scan mode	Scanogram	Helical	Helical
Start time	NA		Bolus tracking ^b
Range	500 (mm)	8 cm	Variable
Collimation	NA	0.625 × 64	0.625 × 64
Pitch	NA	0.984	0.984
kV	120	120	120
mA	50–200 ^c	350	350
Rotation time	NA	0.5 second	0.5 second
Direction	NA	Craniocaudal ^d	Craniocaudal ^d
Slice thickness	NA	1 mm	1 mm
Slice interval	NA	0.625 mm	0.625 mm
FOV	Wide	20 cm	20 cm
Filter	NA	Sharp (B)	Sharp (B)
CTDI (mGy)	–	15	16
DLP (mGy × cm)	–	0.6	0.6
Amount of CM	–	–	40–50 mL ^e
IDR	–	–	1.4–1.5 g I/s
Concentration ^f	–	–	370 mg I/mL
Flow rate ^f	–	–	4 mL/s

^a GE Healthcare.^b Position of bolus tracking: aortic arch, threshold 100 HU.^c 50 mA in AP and 200 in Lat.^d Caudocranial is also possible with optimal results.^e Variable according to the concentration.^f Concentration × flow rate = IDR. The parameter to be considered is IDR.

that have recently come to light: 1) The Hounsfield unit value of the plaque is dependent on the level of energy applied, as demonstrated by Saba et al¹³² using multienergy systems, and 2) the carotid artery plaques may show contrast enhancement (by comparing the attenuation values of the basal and postcontrast scans), suggesting that the attenuation value of the plaque obtained after administration

of contrast material represents 2 different parameters: the type of the plaque and the degree of neovascularization of the tissue.^{143,227} This is not a problem if pre- and postcontrast scans or dual-energy is applied (capable of distinguishing plaque from contrast enhancement), but this is not usually done clinically secondary to an increase in x-ray dose.

Carotid Plaque Enhancement. Assessment of plaque enhancement is limited in the case of single-phase CTA, and multiphase CTA is rarely performed outside of research studies due to radiation concerns. An unenhanced axial CT scan obtained over 4 cm centered on the carotid bifurcation, followed by CTA, would theoretically be ideal in assessing plaque enhancement but carries a greater radiation penalty. Alternatively, some authors have employed dual-energy techniques with the use of the virtual nonenhanced image to assess plaque enhancement with less radiation dose.¹⁴³

Plaque Burden and Distribution.

Knowledge of the location and distribution of plaque assists in preprocedural planning. Moreover, CT can calculate the volume of the carotid artery plaque and determine the volume of the subcomponents, according to the Hounsfield unit threshold.¹⁴⁹

Future Improvements and Needs for CT. Technical developments are urgently needed in the following areas:

- 1) Improved contrast resolution for greater discrimination of tissue types in plaque.
- 2) Improved techniques such as multienergy applications for identifying the lipid-rich necrotic core, especially without the need for contrast application.
- 3) Evidence-based guidelines that invoke the need for carotid plaque imaging, preferably using 1 simple universal protocol that can meet all needs.

Currently, most of the research on carotid artery CT is focusing on methods that 1) reduce the radiation dose delivered to the patients, and 2) improve carotid artery

plaque characterization using multienergy tools that promise more accurate detection of plaque components.

CONCLUSIONS

In the last 20 years, there has been a paradigm shift in the imaging of the atherosclerotic carotid artery, from the assessment of the

degree of luminal stenosis to the characterization of plaque. Several features have been identified that are potentially associated with plaque rupture, and imaging has been used to identify these features in vivo.

Researchers and clinicians now have several imaging modalities that allow in-depth exploration of carotid artery plaque and its components. Sonography should be considered as a first-line examination, at least for screening, whereas CT and MR imaging improve identification of several plaque features associated with vulnerability.

Also promising are nuclear medicine and molecular imaging techniques that can further explore assessment of plaque vulnerability, especially inflammation, but these approaches are still investigational and not part of the main diagnostic algorithm of carotid atherosclerosis. In the future, larger prospective longitudinal studies investigating these technologic advances may fully exploit the clinical potential of vessel wall imaging.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Drs Stefan Vöö and Wei Zu for their help in image selection for this article.

REFERENCES

- Yanez ND, Burke GL, Manolio T, et al; CHS Collaborative Research Group. **Sibling history of myocardial infarction or stroke and risk of cardiovascular disease in the elderly: the Cardiovascular Health Study.** *Ann Epidemiol* 2009;19:858–66 CrossRef Medline
- Truelsen T, Begg S, Mathers C. The global burden of cerebrovascular disease. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2000. http://www.who.int/healthinfo/statistics/bod_cerebrovasculardiseasesstroke.pdf. Accessed December 6, 2017
- Ooi YC, Gonzalez NR. **Management of extracranial carotid artery disease.** *Cardiol Clin* 2015;33:1–35 CrossRef Medline
- Barnett HJ, Taylor DW, Eliasziw M, et al. **Benefit of carotid endarterectomy in patients with symptomatic moderate or severe stenosis: North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial Collaborators.** *N Engl J Med* 1998;339:1415–25 CrossRef Medline
- Howarth JC, Klotz JG. **The diagnostic value of carotid arteriography: a preliminary report.** *Cleve Clin Q* 1951;18:179–83 CrossRef Medline
- von Arbin M, Britton M, de Faire U, et al. **Non invasive assessment of the internal carotid artery in stroke patients.** *Scand J Clin Lab Invest* 1983;43:275–83 CrossRef Medline
- Weinberger J. **Clinical applications of noninvasive carotid artery testing.** *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1985;5:137–48 CrossRef Medline
- Imparato AM, Riles TS, Gorstein F. **The carotid bifurcation plaque: pathologic findings associated with cerebral ischemia.** *Stroke* 1979;10:238–45 CrossRef Medline
- Redgrave JN, Lovett JK, Gallagher PJ, et al. **Histological assessment of 526 symptomatic carotid plaques in relation to the nature and timing of ischemic symptoms: the Oxford plaque study.** *Circulation* 2006;113:2320–28 CrossRef Medline
- Jeziorska M, Woolley DE. **Local neovascularization and cellular composition within vulnerable regions of atherosclerotic plaques of human carotid arteries.** *J Pathol* 1999;188:189–96 Medline
- Choi CJ, Kramer CM. **MR imaging of atherosclerotic plaque.** *Radiol Clin North Am* 2002;40:887–98 CrossRef Medline
- Moody AR. **Magnetic resonance direct thrombus imaging.** *J Thromb Haemost* 2003;1:1403–09 CrossRef Medline
- Oliver TB, Lammie GA, Wright AR, et al. **Atherosclerotic plaque at the carotid bifurcation: CT angiographic appearance with histopathologic correlation.** *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 1999;20:897–901 Medline
- Bluth EI. **Evaluation and characterization of carotid plaque.** *Semin Ultrasound CT MR* 1997;18:57–65 CrossRef Medline
- Naghavi M, Libby P, Falk E, et al. **From vulnerable plaque to vulnerable patient: a call for new definitions and risk assessment strategies, part II.** *Circulation* 2003;108:1772–88 CrossRef Medline
- Naghavi M, Libby P, Falk E, et al. **From vulnerable plaque to vulnerable patient: a call for new definitions and risk assessment strategies, part I.** *Circulation* 2003;108:1664–72 CrossRef Medline
- Zavodni AE, Wasserman BA, McClelland RL, et al. **Carotid artery plaque morphology and composition in relation to incident cardiovascular events: the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA).** *Radiology* 2014;271:381–89 CrossRef Medline
- van Dijk AC, Truijman MT, Hussain B, et al. **Intraplaque hemorrhage and the plaque surface in carotid atherosclerosis: the Plaque At RISK Study (PARISK).** *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2015;36:2127–33 CrossRef Medline
- Zhao XQ, Hatsukami TS, Hippe DS, et al; AIM-HIGH Carotid MRI Sub-study Investigators. **Clinical factors associated with high-risk carotid plaque features as assessed by magnetic resonance imaging in patients with established vascular disease (from the AIM-HIGH Study).** *Am J Cardiol* 2014;114:1412–19 CrossRef Medline
- Underhill HR, Yuan C. **Carotid MRI: a tool for monitoring individual response to cardiovascular therapy?** *Expert Rev Cardiovasc Ther* 2011;9:63–80 CrossRef Medline
- Xu D, Hippe DS, Underhill HR, et al. **Prediction of high-risk plaque development and plaque progression with the carotid atherosclerosis score.** *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2014;7:366–73 CrossRef Medline
- DeMarco JK, Spence JD. **Plaque assessment in the management of patients with asymptomatic carotid stenosis.** *Neuroimaging Clin N Am* 2016;26:111–27 CrossRef Medline
- Croft RJ, Ellam LD, Harrison MJ. **Accuracy of carotid angiography in the assessment of atheroma of the internal carotid artery.** *Lancet* 1980;1:997–1000 Medline
- Wardlaw JM, Chappell FM, Best JJ, et al; NHS Research and Development Health Technology Assessment Carotid Stenosis Imaging Group. **Non-invasive imaging compared with intra-arterial angiography in the diagnosis of symptomatic carotid stenosis: a meta-analysis.** *Lancet* 2006;367:1503–12 Medline
- Liapis CD, Paraskevas KI. **Do carotid surface irregularities correlate with the development of cerebrovascular symptoms? An analysis of the supporting studies, the opposing studies, and the possible pathomechanism.** *Vascular* 2006;14:88–92 CrossRef Medline
- Comerota AJ, Katz ML, White JV, et al. **The preoperative diagnosis of the ulcerated carotid atheroma.** *J Vasc Surg* 1990;11:505–10 Medline
- Rothwell PM, Eliasziw M, Gutnikov SA, et al; Carotid Endarterectomy Trialists' Collaboration. **Analysis of pooled data from the randomised controlled trials of endarterectomy for symptomatic carotid stenosis.** *Lancet* 2003;361:107–16 CrossRef Medline
- European Carotid Surgery Trialists' Collaborative Group. **Randomised trial of endarterectomy for recently symptomatic carotid stenosis: final results of the MRC European Carotid Surgery Trial (ECST).** *Lancet* 1998;351:1379–87 CrossRef Medline
- Barnett HJ, Taylor DW, Haynes RB, et al; North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial Collaborators. **Beneficial effect of carotid endarterectomy in symptomatic patients with high-grade carotid stenosis.** *N Engl J Med* 1991;325:445–53 Medline
- Executive Committee for the Asymptomatic Carotid Atherosclerosis Study. **Endarterectomy for asymptomatic carotid artery stenosis.** *JAMA* 1995;273:1421–28 CrossRef Medline
- Bladin CF, Alexandrov AV, Murphy J, et al. **Carotid Stenosis Index: a new method of measuring internal carotid artery stenosis.** *Stroke* 1995;26:230–34 CrossRef Medline
- Rothwell PM, Gibson RJ, Slaterry J, et al. **Equivalence of measurements of carotid stenosis: a comparison of three methods on 1001 angiograms—European Carotid Surgery Trialists' Collaborative Group.** *Stroke* 1994;5:2435–39 CrossRef Medline

33. Bartlett ES, Walters TD, Symons SP, et al. Carotid stenosis index revisited with direct CT angiography measurement of carotid arteries to quantify carotid stenosis. *Stroke* 2007;38:286–91 [CrossRef Medline](#)
34. Wasserman BA, Wityk RJ, Trout HH 3rd, et al. Low-grade carotid stenosis: looking beyond the lumen with MRI. *Stroke* 2005;36:2504–13 [CrossRef Medline](#)
35. Astor BC, Sharrett AR, Coresh J, et al. Remodeling of carotid arteries detected with MR imaging: atherosclerosis risk in communities carotid MRI study. *Radiology* 2010;256:879–86 [CrossRef Medline](#)
36. Lovett JK, Gallagher PJ, Hands LJ, et al. Histological correlates of carotid plaque surface morphology on lumen contrast imaging. *Circulation* 2004;110:2190–97 [CrossRef Medline](#)
37. Spagnoli LG, Mauriello A, Sangiorgi G, et al. Extracranial thrombotically active carotid plaque as a risk factor for ischemic stroke. *JAMA* 2004;292:1845–52 [Medline](#)
38. Lovett JK, Gallagher PJ, Rothwell PM. Reproducibility of histological assessment of carotid plaque: implications for studies of carotid imaging. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 2004;18:117–23 [CrossRef Medline](#)
39. Redgrave JN, Lovett JK, Rothwell PM. Histological features of symptomatic carotid plaques in relation to age and smoking: the oxford plaque study. *Stroke* 2010;41:2288–94 [CrossRef Medline](#)
40. Altaf N, Daniels L, Morgan PS, et al. Detection of intraplaque hemorrhage by magnetic resonance imaging in symptomatic patients with mild to moderate carotid stenosis predicts recurrent neurological events. *J Vasc Surg* 2008;47:337–42 [CrossRef Medline](#)
41. Freilinger TM, Schindler A, Schmidt C, et al. Prevalence of nonstenosing, complicated atherosclerotic plaques in cryptogenic stroke. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2012;5:397–405 [CrossRef Medline](#)
42. Mono ML, Karameshev A, Slotboom J, et al. Plaque characteristics of asymptomatic carotid stenosis and risk of stroke. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 2012;34:343–50 [CrossRef Medline](#)
43. Rozie S, de Weert TT, de Monyé C, et al. Atherosclerotic plaque volume and composition in symptomatic carotid arteries assessed with multidetector CT angiography: relationship with severity of stenosis and cardiovascular risk factors. *Eur Radiol* 2009;19:2294–301 [CrossRef Medline](#)
44. Saam T, Yuan C, Chu B, et al. Predictors of carotid atherosclerotic plaque progression as measured by noninvasive magnetic resonance imaging. *Atherosclerosis* 2007;194:e34–42 [CrossRef Medline](#)
45. Saba L, Tamponi E, Raz E, et al. Correlation between fissured fibrous cap and contrast enhancement: preliminary results with the use of CTA and histologic validation. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2014;35:754–59 [CrossRef Medline](#)
46. Albuquerque LC, Narvaes LB, Maciel AA, et al. Intraplaque hemorrhage assessed by high-resolution magnetic resonance imaging and C-reactive protein in carotid atherosclerosis. *J Vasc Surg* 2007;46:1130–37 [CrossRef Medline](#)
47. Ota H, Yu W, Underhill HR, et al. Hemorrhage and large lipid-rich necrotic cores are independently associated with thin or ruptured fibrous caps: an in vivo 3T MRI study. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2009;29:1696–701 [CrossRef Medline](#)
48. Saba L, Montisci R, Sanfilippo R, et al. Multidetector row CT of the brain and carotid artery: a correlative analysis. *Clin Radiol* 2009;64:767–78 [CrossRef Medline](#)
49. Geroulakos G, Ramaswami G, Nicolaides A, et al. Characterization of symptomatic and asymptomatic carotid plaques using high-resolution real-time ultrasonography. *Br J Surg* 1993;80:1274–77 [CrossRef Medline](#)
50. Bluth EI, Kay D, Merritt CR, et al. Sonographic characterization of carotid plaque: detection of hemorrhage. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 1986;146:1061–65 [CrossRef Medline](#)
51. Grønholdt ML, Wiebe BM, Laursen H, et al. Lipid-rich carotid artery plaques appear echolucent on ultrasound B-mode images and may be associated with intraplaque haemorrhage. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 1997;14:439–45 [CrossRef Medline](#)
52. Noritomi T, Sigel B, Swami V, et al. Carotid plaque typing by multiple-parameter ultrasonic tissue characterization. *Ultrasound Med Biol* 1997;23:643–50 [CrossRef Medline](#)
53. Schminke U, Motsch L, Hilker L, et al. Three-dimensional ultrasound observation of carotid artery plaque ulceration. *Stroke* 2000;31:1651–55 [CrossRef Medline](#)
54. de Weert TT, Ouhlous M, Zondervan PE, et al. In vitro characterization of atherosclerotic carotid plaque with multidetector computed tomography and histopathological correlation. *Eur Radiol* 2005;15:1906–14 [CrossRef Medline](#)
55. de Weert TT, Ouhlous M, Meijering E, et al. In vivo characterization and quantification of atherosclerotic carotid plaque components with multidetector computed tomography and histopathological correlation. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2006;26:2366–72 [CrossRef Medline](#)
56. Ajduk M, Pavić L, Bulimbasić S, et al. Multidetector-row computed tomography in evaluation of atherosclerotic carotid plaques complicated with intraplaque hemorrhage. *Ann Vasc Surg* 2009;23:186–93 [CrossRef Medline](#)
57. Nandalur KR, Hardie AD, Raghavan P, et al. Composition of the stable carotid plaque: insights from a multidetector computed tomography study of plaque volume. *Stroke* 2007;38:935–40 [Medline](#)
58. Nandalur KR, Baskurt E, Hagspiel KD, et al. Calcified carotid atherosclerotic plaque is associated less with ischemic symptoms than is noncalcified plaque on MDCT. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2005;184:295–98 [CrossRef Medline](#)
59. Saam T, Ferguson MS, Yarnykh VL, et al. Quantitative evaluation of carotid plaque composition by in vivo MRI. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2005;25:234–39 [Medline](#)
60. Cai J, Hatsukami TS, Ferguson MS, et al. In vivo quantitative measurement of intact fibrous cap and lipid-rich necrotic core size in atherosclerotic carotid plaque: comparison of high-resolution, contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging and histology. *Circulation* 2005;112:3437–44 [CrossRef Medline](#)
61. Wasserman BA, Smith WI, Trout HH 3rd, et al. Carotid artery atherosclerosis: in vivo morphologic characterization with gadolinium-enhanced double-oblique MR imaging initial results. *Radiology* 2002;223:566–73 [CrossRef Medline](#)
62. Wasserman BA, Haacke EM, Li D. Carotid plaque formation and its evaluation with angiography, ultrasound, and MR angiography. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 1994;4:515–27 [CrossRef Medline](#)
63. Qiao Y, Etesami M, Malhotra S, et al. Identification of intraplaque hemorrhage on MR angiography images: a comparison of contrast-enhanced mask and time-of-flight techniques. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2011;32:454–59 [CrossRef Medline](#)
64. Moody AR, Murphy RE, Morgan PS, et al. Characterization of complicated carotid plaque with magnetic resonance direct thrombus imaging in patients with cerebral ischemia. *Circulation* 2003;107:3047–52 [CrossRef Medline](#)
65. Cai JM, Hatsukami TS, Ferguson MS, et al. Classification of human carotid atherosclerotic lesions with in vivo multicontrast magnetic resonance imaging. *Circulation* 2002;106:1368–73 [CrossRef Medline](#)
66. Hofman JM, Branderhorst WJ, ten Eikelder HM, et al. Quantification of atherosclerotic plaque components using in vivo MRI and supervised classifiers. *Magn Reson Med* 2006;55:790–99 [Medline](#)
67. Saba L, Anzidei M, Marincola BC, et al. Imaging of the carotid artery vulnerable plaque. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol* 2014;37:572–85 [CrossRef Medline](#)
68. Sitzer M, Müller W, Siebler M, et al. Plaque ulceration and lumen thrombus are the main sources of cerebral microemboli in high-grade internal carotid artery stenosis. *Stroke* 1995;26:1231–33 [CrossRef Medline](#)
69. Troyer A, Saloner D, Pan XM, et al; Assessment of Carotid Stenosis by Comparison with Endarterectomy Plaque Trial Investigators. Major carotid plaque surface irregularities correlate with neurologic symptoms. *J Vasc Surg* 2002;35:741–47 [CrossRef Medline](#)
70. Polak JF, O'Leary DH, Kronmal RA, et al. Sonographic evaluation of carotid artery atherosclerosis in the elderly: relationship of dis-

- ease severity to stroke and transient ischemic attack. *Radiology* 1993;188:363–70 CrossRef Medline
71. Anderson GB, Ashforth R, Steinke DE, et al. CT angiography for the detection and characterization of carotid artery bifurcation disease. *Stroke* 2000;31:2168–74 CrossRef Medline
 72. Altaf N, MacSweeney ST, Gladman J, et al. Carotid intraplaque hemorrhage predicts recurrent symptoms in patients with high-grade carotid stenosis. *Stroke* 2007;38:1633–35 CrossRef Medline
 73. Takaya N, Yuan C, Chu B, et al. Association between carotid plaque characteristics and subsequent ischemic cerebrovascular events: a prospective assessment with MRI–initial results. *Stroke* 2006;37:818–23 CrossRef Medline
 74. Takaya N, Yuan C, Chu B, et al. Presence of intraplaque hemorrhage stimulates progression of carotid atherosclerotic plaques: a high-resolution magnetic resonance imaging study. *Circulation* 2005;111:2768–75 CrossRef Medline
 75. Pasterkamp G, van der Steen AF. Intraplaque hemorrhage: an imaging marker for atherosclerotic plaque destabilization? *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2012;32:167–68 CrossRef Medline
 76. Selwaness M, van den Bouwhuisen QJ, Verwoert GC, et al. Blood pressure parameters and carotid intraplaque hemorrhage as measured by magnetic resonance imaging: the Rotterdam Study. *Hypertension* 2013;61:76–81 CrossRef Medline
 77. Sun J, Canton G, Balu N, et al. Blood pressure is a major modifiable risk factor implicated in pathogenesis of intraplaque hemorrhage: an in vivo magnetic resonance imaging study. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2016;36:743–49 CrossRef Medline
 78. Cury RC, Houser SL, Furie KL, et al. Vulnerable plaque detection by 3.0 Tesla magnetic resonance imaging. *Invest Radiol* 2006;41:112–15 CrossRef Medline
 79. Gupta A, Baradaran H, Schweitzer AD, et al. Carotid plaque MRI and stroke risk: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Stroke* 2013;44:3071–77 CrossRef Medline
 80. Kwee RM, van Oostenbrugge RJ, Mess WH, et al. MRI of carotid atherosclerosis to identify TIA and stroke patients who are at risk of a recurrence. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2013;37:1189–94 CrossRef Medline
 81. Demarco JK, Ota H, Underhill HR, et al. MR carotid plaque imaging and contrast-enhanced MR angiography identifies lesions associated with recent ipsilateral thromboembolic symptoms: an in vivo study at 3T. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2010;31:1395–402 CrossRef Medline
 82. Virmani R, Kolodgie FD, Burke AP, et al. Atherosclerotic plaque progression and vulnerability to rupture: angiogenesis as a source of intraplaque hemorrhage. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2005;25:2054–61 CrossRef Medline
 83. McCarthy MJ, Loftus IM, Thompson MM, et al. Angiogenesis and the atherosclerotic carotid plaque: an association between symptomatology and plaque morphology. *J Vasc Surg* 1999;30:261–68 CrossRef Medline
 84. Schroeder AP, Falk E. Vulnerable and dangerous coronary plaques. *Atherosclerosis* 1995;118(suppl):S141–49 CrossRef Medline
 85. de Boer OJ, van der Wal AC, Teeling P, et al. Leucocyte recruitment in rupture prone regions of lipid-rich plaques: a prominent role for neovascularization? *Cardiovasc Res* 1999;41:443–49 CrossRef Medline
 86. van der Wal AC, Becker AE, van der Loos CM, et al. Site of intimal rupture or erosion of thrombosed coronary atherosclerotic plaques is characterized by an inflammatory process irrespective of the dominant plaque morphology. *Circulation* 1994;89:36–44 CrossRef Medline
 87. Fleiner M, Kummer M, Mirlacher M, et al. Arterial neovascularization and inflammation in vulnerable patients: early and late signs of symptomatic atherosclerosis. *Circulation* 2004;110:2843–50 CrossRef Medline
 88. Moulton KS, Vakili K, Zurakowski D, et al. Inhibition of plaque neovascularization reduces macrophage accumulation and progression of advanced atherosclerosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2003;100:4736–41 CrossRef Medline
 89. Lennartz MR, Aggarwal A, Michaud TM, et al. Ligation of macrophage Fcγ receptors recapitulates the gene expression pattern of vulnerable human carotid plaques. *PLoS One* 2011;6:e21803 CrossRef Medline
 90. Ruehm SG, Corot C, Vogt P, et al. Ultrasmall superparamagnetic iron oxide-enhanced MR imaging of atherosclerotic plaque in hyperlipidemic rabbits. *Acad Radiol* 2002;9(suppl 1):S143–44 CrossRef Medline
 91. Trivedi RA, Mallawarachi C, U-King-Im JM, et al. Identifying inflamed carotid plaques using in vivo USPIO-enhanced MR imaging to label plaque macrophages. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2006;26:1601–06 CrossRef Medline
 92. Annovazzi A, Bonanno E, Arca M, et al. ^{99m}Tc-interleukin-2 scintigraphy for the in vivo imaging of vulnerable atherosclerotic plaques. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* 2006;33:117–26 CrossRef Medline
 93. Tang TY, Howarth SP, Miller SR, et al. Correlation of carotid atheromatous plaque inflammation using USPIO-enhanced MR imaging with degree of luminal stenosis. *Stroke* 2008;39:2144–47 CrossRef Medline
 94. Howarth SP, Tang TY, Trivedi R, et al. Utility of USPIO-enhanced MR imaging to identify inflammation and the fibrous cap: a comparison of symptomatic and asymptomatic individuals. *Eur J Radiol* 2009;70:555–60 CrossRef Medline
 95. Fukuda K, Iihara K, Maruyama D, et al. Relationship between carotid artery remodeling and plaque vulnerability with T1-weighted magnetic resonance imaging. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis* 2014;23:1462–70 CrossRef Medline
 96. Achenbach S, Ropers D, Hoffmann U, et al. Assessment of coronary remodeling in stenotic and nonstenotic coronary atherosclerotic lesions by multidetector spiral computed tomography. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2004;43:842–47 CrossRef Medline
 97. Virmani R, Burke AP, Kolodgie FD, et al. Pathology of the thin-cap fibroatheroma: a type of vulnerable plaque. *J Interv Cardiol* 2003;16:267–72 CrossRef Medline
 98. Miura T, Matsukawa N, Sakurai K, et al. Plaque vulnerability in internal carotid arteries with positive remodeling. *Cerebrovasc Dis Extra* 2011;1:54–65 CrossRef Medline
 99. Vukadinovic D, Rozie S, van Gils M, et al. Automated versus manual segmentation of atherosclerotic carotid plaque volume and components in CTA: associations with cardiovascular risk factors. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2012;28:877–87 CrossRef Medline
 100. Wannarong T, Parraga G, Buchanan D, et al. Progression of carotid plaque volume predicts cardiovascular events. *Stroke* 2013;44:1859–65 CrossRef Medline
 101. Saba L, Sanfilippo R, Sannia S, et al. Association between carotid artery plaque volume, composition, and ulceration: a retrospective assessment with MDCT. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2012;199:151–56 CrossRef Medline
 102. Bonati LH, Ederle J, Dobson J, et al; CAVATAS Investigators. Length of carotid stenosis predicts peri-procedural stroke or death and restenosis in patients randomized to endovascular treatment or endarterectomy. *Int J Stroke* 2014;9:297–305 CrossRef Medline
 103. Wasserman BA, Astor BC, Sharrett AR, et al. MRI measurements of carotid plaque in the atherosclerosis risk in communities (ARIC) study: methods, reliability and descriptive statistics. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2010;31:406–15 CrossRef Medline
 104. Trelles M, Eberhardt KM, Buchholz M, et al. CTA for screening of complicated atherosclerotic carotid plaque—American Heart Association type VI lesions as defined by MRI. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2013;34:2331–37 CrossRef Medline
 105. Bayer-Karpinska A, Schwarz F, Wollenweber FA, et al. The carotid plaque imaging in acute stroke (CAPIAS) study: protocol and initial baseline data. *BMC Neurol* 2013;13:201 CrossRef Medline
 106. Truijman MT, Kooi ME, van Dijk AC, et al. Plaque At RISK

- (PARISK): prospective multicenter study to improve diagnosis of high-risk carotid plaques. *Int J Stroke* 2014;9:747–54 CrossRef Medline
107. Chinese Atherosclerosis Risk Evaluation-Phase II (CARE-II). <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02017756>. Accessed December 6, 2017
 108. Tardif JC, Spence JD, Heinonen TM, et al. Atherosclerosis imaging and the Canadian Atherosclerosis Imaging Network. *Can J Cardiol* 2013;29:297–303 CrossRef Medline
 109. Bluth EI. Value of US in selecting patients for carotid angioplasty and stent placement. *Radiology* 2005;237:374–75; author reply 375 CrossRef Medline
 110. Akkus Z, Hoogi A, Renaud G, et al. New quantification methods for carotid intra-plaque neovascularization using contrast-enhanced ultrasound. *Ultrasound Med Biol* 2014;40:25–36 CrossRef Medline
 111. van den Oord SC, van der Burg J, Akkus Z, et al. Impact of gender on the density of intraplaque neovascularization: a quantitative contrast-enhanced ultrasound study. *Atherosclerosis* 2014;233:461–66 CrossRef Medline
 112. Chiu B, Shamdasani V, Entrekin R, et al. Characterization of carotid plaques on 3-dimensional ultrasound imaging by registration with multicontrast magnetic resonance imaging. *J Ultrasound Med* 2012;31:1567–80 CrossRef Medline
 113. Gaitini D, Soudack M. Diagnosing carotid stenosis by Doppler sonography: state of the art. *J Ultrasound Med* 2005;24:1127–36 CrossRef Medline
 114. Grant EG, Benson CB, Moneta GL, et al; Society of Radiologists in Ultrasound. Carotid artery stenosis: grayscale and Doppler ultrasound diagnosis—Society of Radiologists in Ultrasound consensus conference. *Ultrasound Q* 2003;19:190–98 CrossRef Medline
 115. Dembo T, Tanahashi N. Recurring extracranial internal carotid artery vasospasm detected by intravascular ultrasound. *Intern Med* 2012;51:1249–53 CrossRef Medline
 116. Heliopoulos J, Vadiokolias K, Piperidou C, et al. Detection of carotid artery plaque ulceration using 3-dimensional ultrasound. *J Neuroimaging* 2011;21:126–31 CrossRef Medline
 117. Madani A, Beletsky V, Tamayo A, et al. High-risk asymptomatic carotid stenosis: ulceration on 3D ultrasound vs TCD microemboli. *Neurology* 2011;77:744–50 CrossRef Medline
 118. Ajduk M, Bulimbasić S, Pavić L, et al. Comparison of multidetector-row computed tomography and duplex Doppler ultrasonography in detecting atherosclerotic carotid plaques complicated with intraplaque hemorrhage. *Coll Antropol* 2013;37:213–19 Medline
 119. Kume S, Hama S, Yamane K, et al. Vulnerable carotid arterial plaque causing repeated ischemic stroke can be detected with B-mode ultrasonography as a mobile component: jellyfish sign. *Neurosurg Rev* 2010;33:419–30 CrossRef Medline
 120. Huibers A, de Borst GJ, Wan S, et al. Non-invasive carotid artery imaging to identify the vulnerable plaque: current status and future goals. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2015;50:563–72 CrossRef Medline
 121. Varetto G, Gibello L, Castagno C, et al. Use of contrast-enhanced ultrasound in carotid atherosclerotic disease: limits and perspectives. *Biomed Res Int* 2015;2015:293163 CrossRef Medline
 122. Funaki T, Iihara K, Miyamoto S, et al. Histologic characterization of mobile and nonmobile carotid plaques detected with ultrasound imaging. *J Vasc Surg* 2011;53:977–83 CrossRef Medline
 123. Sangiorgi G, Bedogni F, Sganzerla P, et al. The Virtual histology In Carotids Observational Registry (VICTORY) study: a European prospective registry to assess the feasibility and safety of intravascular ultrasound and virtual histology during carotid interventions. *Int J Cardiol* 2013;168:2089–93 CrossRef Medline
 124. Hitchner E, Zayed MA, Lee G, et al. Intravascular ultrasound as a clinical adjunct for carotid plaque characterization. *J Vasc Surg* 2014;59:774–80 CrossRef Medline
 125. Hoogi A, Zurakhov G, Adam D. Evaluation of a 3D technique for quantifying neovascularization within plaques imaged by contrast enhanced ultrasound. *Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc* 2013; 2013:1124–27 CrossRef Medline
 126. van den Oord SC, Akkus Z, Roeters van Lennep JE, et al. Assessment of subclinical atherosclerosis and intraplaque neovascularization using quantitative contrast-enhanced ultrasound in patients with familial hypercholesterolemia. *Atherosclerosis* 2013;231:107–13 CrossRef Medline
 127. Jaipersad AS, Shantsila A, Silverman S, et al. Evaluation of carotid plaque neovascularization using contrast ultrasound. *Angiology* 2013;64:447–50 CrossRef Medline
 128. O'Leary DH, Polak JF, Kronmal RA, et al; Cardiovascular Health Study Collaborative Research Group. Carotid-artery intima and media thickness as a risk factor for myocardial infarction and stroke in older adults. *N Engl J Med* 1999;340:14–22 CrossRef Medline
 129. Polak JF, Pencina MJ, Pencina KM, et al. Carotid-wall intima-media thickness and cardiovascular events. *N Engl J Med* 2011;365: 213–21 CrossRef Medline
 130. Bar M, Roubec M, Farana R, et al. Inter-rater reliability of carotid atherosclerotic plaque quantification by 3-dimensional sonography. *J Ultrasound Med* 2014;33:1273–78 CrossRef Medline
 131. Hartmann A, Mohr JP, Thompson JL, et al. Interrater reliability of plaque morphology classification in patients with severe carotid artery stenosis. *Acta Neurol Scand* 1999;99:61–64 Medline
 132. Saba L, Argiolas GM, Siotto P, et al. Carotid artery plaque characterization using CT multienergy imaging. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2013;34:855–59 CrossRef Medline
 133. Ertl-Wagner BB, Hoffmann RT, Bruning R, et al. Multi-detector row CT angiography of the brain at various kilovoltage settings. *Radiology* 2004;231:528–35 CrossRef Medline
 134. Saba L, Caddeo G, Sanfilippo R, et al. CT and ultrasound in the study of ulcerated carotid plaque compared with surgical results: potentialities and advantages of multidetector row CT angiography. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2007;28:1061–66 CrossRef Medline
 135. Saba L, Caddeo G, Sanfilippo R, et al. Efficacy and sensitivity of axial scans and different reconstruction methods in the study of the ulcerated carotid plaque using multidetector-row CT angiography: comparison with surgical results. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2007;28:716–23 Medline
 136. Kuk M, Wannarong T, Beletsky V, et al. Volume of carotid artery ulceration as a predictor of cardiovascular events. *Stroke* 2014;45: 1437–41 CrossRef Medline
 137. U-King-Im JM, Fox AJ, Aviv RI, et al. Characterization of carotid plaque hemorrhage: a CT angiography and MR intraplaque hemorrhage study. *Stroke* 2010;41:1623–29 CrossRef Medline
 138. Wintermark M, Jawadi SS, Rapp JH, et al. High-resolution CT imaging of carotid artery atherosclerotic plaques. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2008;29:875–82 CrossRef Medline
 139. Saba L, Francone M, Bassareo PP, et al. CT attenuation analysis of carotid intraplaque hemorrhage. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2017 Nov 30. [Epub ahead of print] CrossRef Medline
 140. Eisenmenger LB, Aldred BW, Kim SE, et al. Prediction of carotid intraplaque hemorrhage using adventitial calcification and plaque thickness on CTA. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2016;37:1496–503 CrossRef Medline
 141. Saba L, Potters F, van der Lugt A, et al. Imaging of the fibrous cap in atherosclerotic carotid plaque. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol* 2010;33: 681–89 CrossRef Medline
 142. Saba L, Mallarini G. Fissured fibrous cap of vulnerable carotid plaques and symptomaticity: are they correlated? Preliminary results by using multi-detector-row CT angiography. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 2009;27:322–27 CrossRef Medline
 143. Saba L, Lai ML, Montisci R, et al. Association between carotid plaque enhancement shown by multidetector CT angiography and histologically validated microvessel density. *Eur Radiol* 2012; 22:2237–45 CrossRef Medline
 144. Qiao Y, Etesami M, Astor BC, et al. Carotid plaque neovascularization and hemorrhage detected by MR imaging are associated with

- recent cerebrovascular ischemic events. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2012;33:755–60 CrossRef Medline
145. Romero JM, Babiarz LS, Forero NP, et al. Arterial wall enhancement overlying carotid plaque on CT angiography correlates with symptoms in patients with high grade stenosis. *Stroke* 2009;40:1894–96 CrossRef Medline
146. Romero JM, Pizzolato R, Atkinson W, et al. Vasa vasorum enhancement on computerized tomographic angiography correlates with symptomatic patients with 50% to 70% carotid artery stenosis. *Stroke* 2013;44:3344–49 CrossRef Medline
147. Hardie AD, Kramer CM, Raghavan P, et al. The impact of expansive arterial remodeling on clinical presentation in carotid artery disease: a multidetector CT angiography study. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2007;28:1067–70 CrossRef Medline
148. Ohara T, Toyoda K, Otsubo R, et al. Eccentric stenosis of the carotid artery associated with ipsilateral cerebrovascular events. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2008;29:1200–03 CrossRef Medline
149. Adraktas DD, Tong E, Furtado AD, et al. Evolution of CT imaging features of carotid atherosclerotic plaques in a 1-year prospective cohort study. *J Neuroimaging* 2014;24:1–6 CrossRef Medline
150. Brenner DJ, Hall EJ. Computed tomography—an increasing source of radiation exposure. *N Engl J Med* 2007;357:2277–84 CrossRef Medline
151. Nickoloff EL, Alderson PO. Radiation exposures to patients from CT: reality, public perception, and policy. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2001;177:285–87 CrossRef Medline
152. Cashman JD, McCredie J, Henry DA. Intravenous contrast media: use and associated mortality. *Med J Aust* 1991;155:618–23 Medline
153. Morcos SK. Acute serious and fatal reactions to contrast media: our current understanding. *Br J Radiol* 2005;78:686–93 CrossRef Medline
154. Rudnick MR, Kesselheim A, Goldfarb S. Contrast-induced nephropathy: how it develops, how to prevent it. *Cleve Clin J Med* 2006;73:75–80, 83–87 Medline
155. Chappell FM, Wardlaw JM, Young GR, et al. Carotid artery stenosis: accuracy of noninvasive tests—individual patient data meta-analysis. *Radiology* 2009;251:493–502 CrossRef Medline
156. Etesami M, Hoi Y, Steinman DA, et al. Comparison of carotid plaque ulcer detection using contrast-enhanced and time-of-flight MRA techniques. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2013;34:177–84 CrossRef Medline
157. Moreno PR, Purushothaman KR, Sirol M, et al. Neovascularization in human atherosclerosis. *Circulation* 2006;113:2245–52 CrossRef Medline
158. Cappendijk VC, Cleutjens KB, Kessels AG, et al. Assessment of human atherosclerotic carotid plaque components with multi-sequence MR imaging: initial experience. *Radiology* 2005;234:487–92 CrossRef Medline
159. Chu B, Kampschulte A, Ferguson MS, et al. Hemorrhage in the atherosclerotic carotid plaque: a high-resolution MRI study. *Stroke* 2004;35:1079–84 CrossRef Medline
160. Bitar R, Moody AR, Leung G, et al. In vivo 3D high-spatial-resolution MR imaging of intraplaque hemorrhage. *Radiology* 2008;249:259–67 CrossRef Medline
161. Moody AR, Allder S, Lennox G, et al. Direct magnetic resonance imaging of carotid artery thrombus in acute stroke. *Lancet* 1999;353:122–23 Medline
162. Dai Y, Lv P, Lin J, et al. Comparison study between multicontrast atherosclerosis characterization (MATCH) and conventional multicontrast MRI of carotid plaque with histology validation. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2017;45:764–70 CrossRef Medline
163. Fan Z, Yu W, Xie Y, et al. Multi-contrast atherosclerosis characterization (MATCH) of carotid plaque with a single 5-min scan: technical development and clinical feasibility. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson* 2014;16:53 CrossRef Medline
164. Wang J, Börner P, Zhao H, et al. Simultaneous noncontrast angiography and intraplaque hemorrhage (SNAP) imaging for carotid atherosclerotic disease evaluation. *Magn Reson Med* 2013;69:337–45 CrossRef Medline
165. Chen S, Zhao H, Li J, et al. Evaluation of carotid atherosclerotic plaque surface characteristics utilizing simultaneous noncontrast angiography and intraplaque hemorrhage (SNAP) technique. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2017 Aug 2. [Epub ahead of print] CrossRef Medline
166. Wintermark M, Rapp JH, Tan J, et al. Unmasking complicated atherosclerotic plaques on carotid magnetic resonance angiography: a report of three cases. *J Vasc Surg* 2006;44:884–87 CrossRef Medline
167. Trivedi RA, U-King-Im JM, Graves MJ, et al. MRI-derived measurements of fibrous-cap and lipid-core thickness: the potential for identifying vulnerable carotid plaques in vivo. *Neuroradiology* 2004;46:738–43 CrossRef Medline
168. Toussaint JF, LaMuraglia GM, Southern JF, et al. Magnetic resonance images lipid, fibrous, calcified, hemorrhagic, and thrombotic components of human atherosclerosis in vivo. *Circulation* 1996;94:932–38 CrossRef Medline
169. Hatsukami TS, Ross R, Polissar NL, et al. Visualization of fibrous cap thickness and rupture in human atherosclerotic carotid plaque in vivo with high-resolution magnetic resonance imaging. *Circulation* 2000;102:959–64 CrossRef Medline
170. Yuan C, Zhang SX, Polissar NL, et al. Identification of fibrous cap rupture with magnetic resonance imaging is highly associated with recent transient ischemic attack or stroke. *Circulation* 2002;105:181–85 CrossRef Medline
171. Wasserman BA. Advanced contrast-enhanced MRI for looking beyond the lumen to predict stroke: building a risk profile for carotid plaque. *Stroke* 2010;41(10 suppl):S12–16 CrossRef Medline
172. Kwee RM, van Engelshoven JM, Mess WH, et al. Reproducibility of fibrous cap status assessment of carotid artery plaques by contrast-enhanced MRI. *Stroke* 2009;40:3017–21 CrossRef Medline
173. Ouimet T, Lancelot E, Hyafil F, et al. Molecular and cellular targets of the MRI contrast agent P947 for atherosclerosis imaging. *Mol Pharm* 2012;9:850–61 CrossRef Medline
174. Chan JM, Monaco C, Wylezinska-Arridge M, et al. Imaging of the vulnerable carotid plaque: biological targeting of inflammation in atherosclerosis using iron oxide particles and MRI. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2014;47:462–69 CrossRef Medline
175. Hayek SS, Sharma R, Kwon S, et al. Temperature and magnetic resonance characteristics of zinc, manganese, gadolinium, gold, iron magnetic nanoparticles and cytokine synergy in hyperthermia. *J Biomed Sci Eng* 2008;1:182–89 CrossRef
176. Demos SM, Alkan-Onyuskel H, Kane BJ, et al. In vivo targeting of acoustically reflective liposomes for intravascular and transvascular ultrasonic enhancement. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1999;33:867–75 CrossRef Medline
177. Flacke S, Fischer S, Scott MJ, et al. Novel MRI contrast agent for molecular imaging of fibrin: implications for detecting vulnerable plaques. *Circulation* 2001;104:1280–85 CrossRef Medline
178. Neubauer AM, Sim H, Winter PM, et al. Nanoparticle pharmacokinetic profiling in vivo using magnetic resonance imaging. *Magn Reson Med* 2008;60:1353–61 Medline
179. Li H, Gray BD, Corbin I, et al. MR and fluorescent imaging of low-density lipoprotein receptors. *Acad Radiol* 2004;11:1251–59 CrossRef Medline
180. Michalet X, Pinaud FF, Bentolila LA, et al. Quantum dots for live cells, in vivo imaging, and diagnostics. *Science* 2005;307:538–44 CrossRef Medline
181. Winter PM, Cai K, Chen J, et al. Targeted PARACEST nanoparticle contrast agent for the detection of fibrin. *Magn Reson Med* 2006;56:1384–88 CrossRef Medline
182. Millon A, Boussel L, Brevet M, et al. Clinical and histological significance of gadolinium enhancement in carotid atherosclerotic plaque. *Stroke* 2012;43:3023–28 CrossRef Medline
183. Wahlgren CM, Zheng W, Shaalan W, et al. Human carotid plaque calcification and vulnerability: relationship between degree of plaque calcification, fibrous cap inflammatory gene expression

- and symptomatology. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 2009;27:193–200 CrossRef Medline
184. Underhill HR, Yuan C, Yarnykh VL, et al. **Arterial remodeling in [corrected] subclinical carotid artery disease.** *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2009;2:1381–89 CrossRef Medline
185. Kerwin WS, Oikawa M, Yuan C, et al. **MR imaging of adventitial vasa vasorum in carotid atherosclerosis.** *Magn Reson Med* 2008;59:507–14 CrossRef Medline
186. Gaens ME, Backes WH, Rozel S, et al. **Dynamic contrast-enhanced MR imaging of carotid atherosclerotic plaque: model selection, reproducibility, and validation.** *Radiology* 2013;266:271–79 CrossRef Medline
187. Chen H, Sun J, Kerwin WS, et al. **Scan-rescan reproducibility of quantitative assessment of inflammatory carotid atherosclerotic plaque using dynamic contrast-enhanced 3T CMR in a multi-center study.** *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson* 2014;16:51 CrossRef Medline
188. Ukwatta E, Yuan J, Rajchl M, et al. **3-D carotid multi-region MRI segmentation by globally optimal evolution of coupled surfaces.** *IEEE Trans Med Imaging* 2013;32:770–85 CrossRef Medline
189. Saam T, Kerwin WS, Chu B, et al. **Sample size calculation for clinical trials using magnetic resonance imaging for the quantitative assessment of carotid atherosclerosis.** *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson* 2005;7:799–808 CrossRef Medline
190. Saam T, Raya JG, Cyran CC, et al. **High resolution carotid black-blood 3T MR with parallel imaging and dedicated 4-channel surface coils.** *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson* 2009;11:41 CrossRef Medline
191. Corti R, Fayad ZA, Fuster V, et al. **Effects of lipid-lowering by simvastatin on human atherosclerotic lesions: a longitudinal study by high-resolution, noninvasive magnetic resonance imaging.** *Circulation* 2001;104:249–52 CrossRef Medline
192. Boussel L, Arora S, Rapp J, et al; MAPP Investigators. **Atherosclerotic plaque progression in carotid arteries: monitoring with high-spatial-resolution MR imaging—multicenter trial.** *Radiology* 2009;252:789–96 CrossRef Medline
193. Saam T, Yuan C, Chu B, et al. **Predictors of carotid atherosclerotic plaque progression as measured by noninvasive magnetic resonance imaging.** *Atherosclerosis* 2007;194:e34–42 CrossRef Medline
194. Anzidei M, Suri JS, Saba L, et al. **Longitudinal assessment of carotid atherosclerosis after radiation therapy using computed tomography: a case control study.** *Eur Radiol* 2016;26:72–78 CrossRef Medline
195. van Gils MJ, Vukadinovic D, van Dijk AC, et al. **Carotid atherosclerotic plaque progression and change in plaque composition over time: a 5-year follow-up study using serial CT angiography.** *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2012;33:1267–73 CrossRef Medline
196. Fleg JL, Stone GW, Fayad ZA, et al. **Detection of high-risk atherosclerotic plaque: report of the NHLBI Working Group on current status and future directions.** *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2012;5:941–55 CrossRef Medline
197. Zhao XQ, Dong L, Hatsukami T, et al. **MR imaging of carotid plaque composition during lipid-lowering therapy a prospective assessment of effect and time course.** *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2011;4:977–86 CrossRef Medline
198. Inzitari D, Eliasziw M, Gates P, et al; North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial Collaborators. **The causes and risk of stroke in patients with asymptomatic internal-carotid-artery stenosis.** *N Engl J Med* 2000;342:1693–700 CrossRef Medline
199. Murata N, Gonzalez-Cuyar LF, Murata K, et al. **Macrocyclic and other non-group 1 gadolinium contrast agents deposit low levels of gadolinium in brain and bone tissue: preliminary results from 9 patients with normal renal function.** *Invest Radiol* 2016;51:447–53 CrossRef Medline
200. Stojanov D, Aracki-Trenkic A, Benedeto-Stojanov D. **Gadolinium deposition within the dentate nucleus and globus pallidus after repeated administrations of gadolinium-based contrast agents—current status.** *Neuroradiology* 2016;58:433–41 CrossRef Medline
201. Rogosnitzky M, Branch S. **Gadolinium-based contrast agent toxicity: a review of known and proposed mechanisms.** *Biometals* 2016; 29:365–76 CrossRef Medline
202. FDA Drug Safety Communication: FDA evaluating the risk of brain deposits with repeated use of gadolinium-based contrast agents for magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Safety Announcement 7-27–2015. <http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/ucm455386.htm>. Accessed December 6, 2017
203. Araki T, Ikeda N, Shukla D, et al. **A new method for IVUS-based coronary artery disease risk stratification: a link between coronary and carotid ultrasound plaque burdens.** *Comput Methods Programs Biomed* 2016;124:161–79 CrossRef Medline
204. Lekadir K, Galimzianova A, Betriu A, et al. **A convolutional neural network for automatic characterization of plaque composition in carotid ultrasound.** *IEEE J Biomed Health Inform* 2017;21:48–55 CrossRef Medline
205. Sanz J, Fayad ZA. **Imaging of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease.** *Nature* 2008;451:953–57 CrossRef Medline
206. Graebe M, Pedersen SF, Borgwardt L, et al. **Molecular pathology in vulnerable carotid plaques: correlation with [18F]-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography (FDG-PET).** *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2009;37:714–21 CrossRef Medline
207. Graebe M, Pedersen SF, Højgaard L, et al. **18FDG PET and ultrasound echolucency in carotid artery plaques.** *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2010;3:289–95 CrossRef Medline
208. Ishii H, Nishio M, Takahashi H, et al. **Comparison of atorvastatin 5 and 20 mg/d for reducing F-18 fluorodeoxyglucose uptake in atherosclerotic plaques on positron emission tomography/computed tomography: a randomized, investigator-blinded, open-label, 6-month study in Japanese adults scheduled for percutaneous coronary intervention.** *Clin Ther* 2010;32:2337–47 CrossRef Medline
209. Truijman MT, Kwee RM, van Hoof RH, et al. **Combined 18F-FDG PET-CT and DCE-MRI to assess inflammation and microvascularization in atherosclerotic plaques.** *Stroke* 2013;44:3568–70 CrossRef Medline
210. Calcagno C, Ramachandran S, Izquierdo-Garcia D, et al. **The complementary roles of dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI and 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose PET/CT for imaging of carotid atherosclerosis.** *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* 2013;40:1884–93 CrossRef Medline
211. Tawakol A, Migrino RQ, Bashian GG, et al. **In vivo 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography imaging provides a noninvasive measure of carotid plaque inflammation in patients.** *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2006;48:1818–24 CrossRef Medline
212. Saam T, Hetterich H, Hoffmann V, et al. **Meta-analysis and systematic review of the predictive value of carotid plaque hemorrhage on cerebrovascular events by magnetic resonance imaging.** *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2013;62:1081–91 CrossRef Medline
213. Hosseini AA, Kandiyil N, Macsweeney ST, et al. **Carotid plaque hemorrhage on magnetic resonance imaging strongly predicts recurrent ischemia and stroke.** *Ann Neurol* 2013;73:774–84 CrossRef Medline
214. Takaya N, Cai J, Ferguson MS, et al. **Intra- and interreader reproducibility of magnetic resonance imaging for quantifying the lipid-rich necrotic core is improved with gadolinium contrast enhancement.** *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2006;24:203–10 CrossRef Medline
215. Wang J, Yarnykh VL, Hatsukami T, et al. **Improved suppression of plaque-mimicking artifacts in black-blood carotid atherosclerosis imaging using a multislice motion-sensitized driven-equilibrium (MSDE) turbo spin-echo (TSE) sequence.** *Magn Reson Med* 2007; 58:973–81 CrossRef Medline
216. Fan Z, Zhang Z, Chung YC, et al. **Carotid arterial wall MRI at 3T using 3D variable-flip-angle turbo spin-echo (TSE) with flow-sensitive dephasing (FSD).** *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2010;31:645–54 CrossRef Medline
217. Yarnykh VL, Yuan C. **T1-insensitive flow suppression using quadruple inversion-recovery.** *Magn Reson Med* 2002;48:899–905 CrossRef Medline
218. Sharma P, Socolow J, Patel S, et al. **Effect of Gd-DTPA-BMA on blood and myocardial T1 at 1.5T and 3T in humans.** *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2006;23:323–30 CrossRef Medline
219. Ota H, Yarnykh VL, Ferguson MS, et al. **Carotid intraplaque hemorrhage imaging at 3.0-T MR imaging: comparison of the diagnos-**

- tic performance of three T1-weighted sequences. *Radiology* 2010;254:551–63 [CrossRef Medline](#)
220. Zhu DC, Vu AT, Ota H, et al. An optimized 3D spoiled gradient recalled echo pulse sequence for hemorrhage assessment using inversion recovery and multiple echoes (3D SHINE) for carotid plaque imaging. *Magn Reson Med* 2010;64:1341–51 [CrossRef Medline](#)
 221. Balu N, Yarnykh VL, Chu B, et al. Carotid plaque assessment using fast 3D isotropic resolution black-blood MRI. *Magn Reson Med* 2011;65:627–37 [CrossRef Medline](#)
 222. Li L, Chai JT, Biasioli L, et al. Black-blood multicontrast imaging of carotid arteries with DANTE-prepared 2D and 3D MR imaging. *Radiology* 2014;273:560–69 [CrossRef Medline](#)
 223. Xie Y, Yu W, Fan Z, et al. High resolution 3D diffusion cardiovascular magnetic resonance of carotid vessel wall to detect lipid core without contrast media. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson* 2014;16:67 [CrossRef Medline](#)
 224. Fan Z, Zuehlsdorff S, Liu X, et al. Prospective self-gating for swallowing motion: a feasibility study in carotid artery wall MRI using three-dimensional variable-flip-angle turbo spin-echo. *Magn Reson Med* 2012;67:490–98 [CrossRef Medline](#)
 225. Saba L, Mallarini G. Carotid plaque enhancement and symptom correlations: an evaluation by using multidetector row CT angiography. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2011;32:1919–25 [CrossRef Medline](#)
 226. Saba L, Mallarin G. Window settings for the study of calcified carotid plaques with multidetector CT angiography. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2009;30:1445–50 [CrossRef Medline](#)
 227. Saba L, Piga M, Raz E, et al. Carotid artery plaque classification: does contrast enhancement play a significant role? *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2012;33:1814–17 [CrossRef Medline](#)