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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: Cortical and sulcal hyperintensity on gadolinium-enhanced FLAIR has been increasingly recognized after iodinated contrast medium exposure during angiographic procedures. The goal of this study was to assess the relationship of cortical and sulcal hyperintensity on gadolinium-enhanced FLAIR against various variables in patients following elective endovascular treatment of intracranial aneurysms.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: We performed a retrospective review of 58 patients with 62 MR imaging studies performed within 72 hours following endovascular treatment of intracranial aneurysms. Patient demographics, aneurysm location, and vascular territory distribution of cortical and sulcal hyperintensity on gadolinium-enhanced FLAIR were documented. Analysis of cortical and sulcal hyperintensity on gadolinium-enhanced FLAIR with iodinated contrast medium volume, procedural duration, number of angiographic runs, and DWI lesions was performed.

RESULTS: Cortical and sulcal hyperintensity on gadolinium-enhanced FLAIR was found in 32/62 (51.61%) post-endovascular treatment MR imaging studies, with complete resolution of findings in all patients on the available follow-up studies (27/27). Angiographic iodinated contrast medium injection and arterial anatomy matched the vascular distribution of cortical and sulcal hyperintensity on gadolinium-enhanced FLAIR. No significant association was found between cortical and sulcal hyperintensity on gadolinium-enhanced FLAIR with iodinated contrast medium volume (P = .56 value) and the presence of DWI lesions (P = .68). However, a significant association was found with procedural time (P = .001) and the number of angiographic runs (P = .019). No adverse clinical outcomes were documented.

CONCLUSIONS: Cortical and sulcal hyperintensity on gadolinium-enhanced FLAIR is a transient observation in the arterial territory exposed to iodinated contrast medium during endovascular treatment of intracranial aneurysms. Cortical and sulcal hyperintensity on gadolinium-enhanced FLAIR is significantly associated with procedural time, and the frequency of angiographic runs suggesting a potential technical influence on the breakdown of the BBB, but no reported adverse clinical outcome or association with both iodinated contrast medium volume and DWI lesions was found. Recognition of cortical and sulcal hyperintensity on gadolinium-enhanced FLAIR as a benign incidental finding is vital to avoid unnecessary investigation.

ABBREVIATIONS: ACA = anterior cerebral artery; CSHF = cortical and sulcal hyperintensity on gadolinium-enhanced FLAIR; EVT = endovascular treatment; Gd-FLAIR = gadolinium-enhanced FLAIR; HARM = hyperintense acute reperfusion marker; ICM = iodinated contrast medium; IQR = interquartile range; PCA = posterior cerebral artery; VA = vertebral artery
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Recently, cortical and sulcal hyperintensity on Gd-FLAIR (CSHF) has been observed following iodinated contrast medium (ICM) exposure, which has been hypothesized as a manifestation of blood-brain barrier impairment.\(^1\)\(^,\)\(^2\)\(^,\)\(^5\)\(^,\)\(^15\)\(^,\)\(^16\) Most interestingly, CSHF has been observed following neurovascular interventions with a striking dichotomy in its clinical significance. Following acute stroke interventions, CSHF has been shown to be an imaging marker of poor prognosis, with associated reperfusion, risk of hemorrhagic transformation, and poor clinical outcome.\(^6\)\(^,\)\(^17\)\(^-\)\(^20\) This phenomenon has been termed “hyperintense acute reperfusion marker (HARM)” and has been hypothesized as early disruption of the BBB in acute stroke.\(^6\)\(^,\)\(^17\)\(^-\)\(^21\)\(^,\)\(^22\)\(^,\)\(^25\)\(^,\)\(^26\) Similar findings were reported on the hemodynamic changes after carotid stent placement\(^1\)\(^,\)\(^3\)\(^,\)\(^5\)\(^,\)\(^23\) and after a cardiac bypass operation.\(^24\) Most interesting, CSHF may not be strictly associated with vascular pathologies or procedures because CSHF has more recently been described in normal aging, mild cognitive impairment, or dementia. CSHF ultimately may reflect a multifactorial process leading to focal loss of BBB integrity.\(^25\)\(^,\)\(^26\)

Based on the limited evidence from the literature, there is speculation for a causative relationship between CSHF and ICM exposure. Complex pathophysiologic processes during endovascular procedures such as hypoperfusion, hypoxia, ischemia, and reperfusion injury or direct neurotoxicity of the contrast agent\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^5\)\(^,\)\(^19\)\(^,\)\(^21\)\(^,\)\(^22\) can result in transient injuries to the BBB.

At our institution, we routinely perform MR imaging with contrast-enhanced MRA in all our patients immediately post-endovascular treatment (EVT) of intracranial aneurysms as a baseline study for future comparison. We reviewed a large cohort of patients who underwent MR imaging within 72 hours after elective EVT of unruptured aneurysms and examined the associations of CSHF with ICM volume exposure, DWI lesions, duration of EVT, and number of angiographic runs.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Patient Population**

We retrospectively reviewed 62 consecutive postcoiling MR imaging studies in 58 consecutive patients who underwent elective treatment or re-treatment of cerebral aneurysms from July 2015 to December 2016. The institutional review board approved the study and informed consent was waived.

**Endovascular Coiling**

Endovascular coiling was performed in our neuroangiography suite with Artis zee biplane neuroangiography equipment (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany). All patients underwent EVT under general anesthesia. Iohexol (Omnipaque, 300 mg I/ml; GE Healthcare, Piscataway, New Jersey) low-osmolar ICM was consistently used across the cohort.

We routinely performed a right groin approach. Contrast angiographic runs were performed using a power injector with the rate of 4 mL/s of 10-mL total volume for internal carotid artery injection and 4 mL/s of 8-mL total volume for vertebral artery (VA) injection at both the beginning and the end of the coiling procedure. For the remainder of the procedure, the angiographic runs were performed by a hand-injection technique. After EVT, all patients were re-examined and assessed for any neurologic symptoms by neurointerventionalists before discharge.

We documented the side of major arteries where endovascular maneuvering and ICM injection were performed: ICA or VA, total volume of ICM, number of angiographic runs, duration of the EVT, and duration between the end of procedure and obtaining the MR imaging scan.

**MR Imaging Techniques**

All patients underwent MR imaging within 72 hours of completion of EVT of the aneurysm on a 1.5T MR imaging scanner (Achieva; Philips Healthcare, Best, the Netherlands) using a 6-channel head coil. All patients were already extubated and breathing room air at the time of the MRI (excluding hyperoxegenation as a cause for sulcal FLAIR hyperintensity). Our MR imaging protocol consisted of DWI, 3D-TOF MRA, contrast-enhanced MRA, and, last, Gd-FLAIR. Contrast-enhanced MRA was acquired after a dose of 20 mL of gadobenate dimeglumine (MultiHance, 529 mg/mL; Bracco Diagnostics, Princeton, New Jersey) was injected intravenously. The Gd-FLAIR acquisition used the following parameters: TR/TE/TI/flip angle = 11,000 ms/140 ms/2800 ms/90°, slice thickness = 5 mm, slice spacing = 6 mm, NEX = 1, matrix size = 240 × 240, FOV = 200 mm. DWI was performed with TR/TE/TI/flip angle = 3386.71 ms/75 ms/90°, NEX = 4, slice thickness = 5 mm, slice spacing = 6 mm, matrix = 256 × 256, FOV = 230 mm, and b-values = 0 and 1000 s/mm².

**Image Analysis**

All acquired images were transferred to our PACS, and patient identity on final images for interpretation was removed to facilitate blinded analysis. Two neuroradiologists (S.S. and C.C.-T.H.) independently evaluated each study with final agreement by consensus. Gd-FLAIR was evaluated for the presence of CSHF. Findings positive for CSHF were defined as cortical or sulcal signal intensity equal to or greater than a superficial cortical vein. The location of the CSHF was documented according to conventional arterial territory: right/left anterior cerebral artery (ACA), right/left middle cerebral artery, right/left posterior cerebral artery (PCA), and right/left cerebellar hemispheres. DWI was evaluated for presence of lesions reflecting acute thromboembolic infarct, which was defined as a presence of high signal intensity focus of ≥15 mm. The number of restricted diffusion foci were graded according to Kang et al\(^27\) and Kim et al\(^28\): 0 (none), I (<6 foci of diffusion restriction), and II (≥6 foci of diffusion restriction). When available, follow-up MR imaging studies 2–4 months following the index treatment were evaluated.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS (Version 22.0; IBM, Armonk, New York). Continuous variables were reported as mean ± SD or median with interquartile range (IQR) and were compared using the Student t test or Mann-Whitney U test as appropriate. The χ² test or Fisher exact test was performed for categoric variables. Statistical significance was defined as P < .05.

**RESULTS**

**Patient Characteristics**

Sixty-two MR imaging studies in 58 patients (40 women and 18 men) with post-elective EVT were evaluated. The age ranged from
33 to 78 years with a mean of 57 years. None of these patients had SAH before or during the elective EVT. Various endovascular techniques were used for treatment of aneurysms or re-treatment for recanalized aneurysms. Of 62 EVTs, the location of the target coating of the aneurysms was as follows: anterior communicating artery (n = 10), right ICA (n = 12), left ICA (n = 8), right posterior communicating artery (n = 4), right MCA (n = 7), left MCA (n = 2), basilar artery (n = 17), left VA (n = 1), and left PCA (n = 1). Of the 62 EVTs, 30 studies assessed the target aneurysm via the left ICA as a major angiographic run with ICM. Thirteen studies were assessed via the left ICA. Six studies were assessed via the right VA. Thirteen studies were assessed using the left VA. Detailed data on patient demographics, aneurysm characteristics, and EVT are shown in Table 1.

**CSHF and ICM Volume**
No significant difference in the ICM volume (P = .588) was found between the 2 groups. The mean volume of the ICM in the group negative for CSHF was 453.33 mL (range, 200–800 mL), and in the group positive for CSHF, 431.25 mL (range, 200–800 mL). Similarly, no significant difference in the contrast volume per body weight was found between the 2 groups (P = .566). The mean volume of contrast per body weight in the group positive for CSHF was 6.15 mL/kg (range, 1.92–11.54 mL/kg), and in the group negative for CSHF, 6.53 mL/kg (range, 3.04–12.35 mL/kg) (Table 2).

**CSHF and Procedural Time**
A significant difference was found in the procedural time between the 2 groups (P = .001). The group positive for CSHF had a longer procedural time, with a median of 2.21 hours (range, 1.07–5.13 hours) compared with the group negative for CSHF, with a median of 1.39 hours (range, 0.7–4.12 hours) (Table 2).

**CSHF and Number of Angiographic Runs**
A significantly higher number of angiographic runs was documented in the group positive for CSHF (P = .019), with a median number of 18 runs (range, 7–30 runs) and a median number of angiographic runs of 13 (range, 7–29 runs) in the group negative for CSHF group (Table 2).

**CSHF and Time from Coiling to MR Imaging**
No significant differences were found between the groups positive and negative for CSHF (P = .87). Overall the time from completion of EVT to MR imaging in all patients ranged from 3.93 to 64.27 hours (median, 16.16 hours). In the group positive for CSHF, the time to MR imaging ranged from 3.93 to 64.27 hours (median, 16.08 hours). In the group negative for CSHF, it ranged from 6.6 to 46.17 hours (median, 16.16 hours) (Table 2).

**CSHF and DWI Lesions**
There was no significant correlation between grading of DWI lesions and the presence of CSHF (P = .68). Twenty-two MR imaging studies (35.5%) showed no DWI lesions (grade 0): 13 studies positive for CSHF versus 9 studies negative for CSHF. Twenty-five MR imaging studies (40.3%) had grade I DWI lesions: 12 studies positive for CSHF versus 13 studies negative for CSHF. Finally, 15 MR imaging studies (24.2%) showed grade II DWI lesions: 7 in the positive for CSHF group versus 8 in the negative for CSHF group (Table 2). No large-volume confluent/territorial infarct was encountered in our series.

### Table 1: Patient demographics and aneurysms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient data</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (mean) (range) (yr)</td>
<td>57.17 ± 10.94 (33–78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (No.) (%)</td>
<td>Female, 40 (69%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endovascular coiling aneurysms (No.)</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target aneurysm locations (N = 62)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AComA (No.) (%)</td>
<td>10 (16.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right ICA (No.) (%)</td>
<td>12 (19.35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left ICA (No.) (%)</td>
<td>8 (12.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right PcomA (No.) (%)</td>
<td>4 (6.45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right MCA (No.) (%)</td>
<td>7 (11.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left MCA (No.) (%)</td>
<td>2 (3.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BA (No.) (%)</td>
<td>17 (27.42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left VA (No.) (%)</td>
<td>1 (1.61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left PCA (No.) (%)</td>
<td>1 (1.61)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** AComA indicates anterior communicating artery; PcomA, posterior communicating artery; BA, basilar artery.

**CSHF and Site of Angiographic Injection**
For the right ICA injection (n = 30), CSHF was found in the right ACA territory (13/30, 43.33%) (Fig 1A), right MCA (Fig 2B) (18/30, 60%), left ACA (1/30, 3.33%), and right PCA (Fig 2B) (1/30, 3.33%). The left ICA injection (n = 13) showed that CSHF was found in the left ACA (Fig 1C) (3/13, 23.07%), left MCA (Fig 1C) (4/13, 30.77%), and right ACA (2/13, 15.38%). The right VA injection (n = 6) demonstrated CSHF on the right PCA (1/6, 16.67%) and left PCA (1/6, 16.67%). The left VA injection (n = 13) showed right PCA CSHF (4/13, 30.77%), left PCA CSHF (3/13, 23.08%), and left cerebellum CSHF (2/13, 15.38%) (Fig 1E).
**DISCUSSION**

CSHF is a radiologic phenomenon frequently observed in patients following elective EVT of unruptured aneurysms with an incidence of 51.61% (32/62 MR imaging studies). This study is the first of its kind to examine CSHF on MR imaging in a large asymptomatic cohort of patients following endovascular coiling of intracranial aneurysms, to our knowledge. The phenomenon of contrast extravasation into the subarachnoid space had been described on CT immediately post-EVT of intracranial aneurysms with a reported incidence of up to 23%–49%²⁹,³⁰; however, in our
series, subsequent CT did not show sulcal hyperdensity when performed. In addition, CSHFs were in the peripheral/cortical sulci far from the basal cisterns and hence unlikely to represent SAH or aneurysm perforation. CSHF appears to be transient, with resolution of the finding on available follow-up MR imaging. Reassuringly, no symptom or adverse clinical outcome was attributed to the observation of CSHF. The incidence of CSHF in our cohort is similar to that reported in patients following ischemic stroke therapy, which ranges from 33% to 45%. However, the clinical significance of CSHF may be dependent on the clinical context. In the setting of acute stroke, CSHFs are known to be predictors of hemorrhagic transformation and poor clinical outcome and are termed HARMs for this particular reason. We hypothesized that CSHF may be a radiologic manifestation of inherent vulnerability of the cerebral cortex, causing transient impairment of the BBB, allowing extravasation of gadolinium from the intravascular space into the subarachnoid space.

CSHFs in our study were observed in the arterial territories exposed to the ICM during the angiographic procedure (Figs 1 and 2). In addition, when variant arterial anatomy is present, CSHF could also be seen in the vascular territory perfused by the variant vessel (Fig 2).

Our study failed to demonstrate a significant correlation between total ICM volume and CSHF. Two previous studies showed a correlation between sulcal hyperdensity seen on CT and ICM volume in patients post-EVT of intracranial aneurysms. A small selective subset of patients from the study by Ozturk et al also underwent further MR imaging evaluation after detection of sulcal hyperdensity on CT, but the MR imaging examination findings were unremarkable. In our study, we only included patients with unruptured intracranial aneurysms, hence eliminating prior subarachnoid hemorrhage as a potential confounder, whereas both prior studies included ruptured intracranial aneurysms. More important, Gd-FLAIR is far more sensitive than CT in the detection of disease of the subarachnoid space.

A small case series by Wilkinson et al reported unilateral leptomeningeal enhancement on Gd-FLAIR in all their patients (n = 12) after carotid stent placement. Ogami et al also reported a high incidence of 57% of this observation in a small case series (n = 21) post-carotid stent placement. The central hypothesis proposed was BBB breakdown after reperfusion or hemodynamic disturbance. In both studies, the dose of the ICM was not reported.

Contrast-induced encephalopathy is a rare complication reported after ICM exposure following diagnostic or interventional angiography. Neuroimaging findings of contrast-induced encephalopathy usually show unilateral or bilateral cerebral hemispheric edema and leptomeningeal enhancement, and the affected brain parenchyma often demonstrates signal abnormality on both FLAIR and DWI. On the basis of case reports and the small number of case series of contrast-induced encephalopathy, a speculative ICM volume threshold had been reported, which ranged from 75 to 1500 mL. The average contrast volume used in our study was 441.94 mL, which is not significantly different from the reported ranges in the literature; however, no acute encephalopathy was observed in our series.

Vulnerability or injury to the brain parenchyma can weaken the BBB, making it more susceptible to permeability impairment. A measurable surrogate of parenchymal injury is DWI lesions burden indicating acute thromboembolic infarcts. The incidence of MR imaging studies with DWI lesions following endovascular coiling of unruptured aneurysms ranges between 37.3% and 54.5%, which is similar to our reported incidence of 64.5%. Our study did not show a significant correlation between grades of DWI abnormality and CSHF. Our result is consistent with the findings of Merino et al, which showed no significant correlation between DWI lesions and sulcal enhancement on Gd-FLAIR following cardiac bypass surgery.

Variation in angioarchitecture of the aneurysm means that a multitude of endovascular techniques are at the disposal of neuroradiologists. A simple aneurysm may only necessitate deployment of coils, whereas larger and complex aneurysms may require assistance of a balloon microcatheter or stent. Differences in endovascular devices and techniques can have different hemodynamic impacts on the brain parenchyma but are difficult to quantify and were not addressed in this paper. Of the 4 major variables analyzed, procedural time and number of angiographic runs showed a significant correlation with CSHF. We hypothesize that perhaps it is not the total ICM volume or number of DWI lesions that correlates with weakened BBB but the frequency of contrast delivery and procedural catheter dwell time that predispose to the development of CSHF. Contrary to the HARM phenomenon following EVT of ischemic stroke, CSHF is a benign transient finding.

This study has several limitations including the retrospective nature of data collection. In addition, the MR imaging protocol does not include precontrast FLAIR; hence, we cannot unequivocally distinguish intrinsic FLAIR changes from gadolinium leak. Sometimes, we were unable to directly visualize the location of the catheter tip during angiographic runs due to technical factors, including the tip lying outside the FOV or inadequate visualiza-
tion due to poor magnification in which case we used the previous run and opacified branches to infer the location. The total ICM volume was recorded as the total number of vials used. This is a overestimation of the actual ICM injected because we anticipated contrast spillage and contrast retained within the syringes or injectors. The total ICM volume showed no significant association with CSHF; however, this is unlikely to be reflective of the local ICM volume exposure. Local concentration of ICM volume maybe a more important variable to analyze than total ICM volume, but such data would be difficult to obtain retrospectively.

Last, there may be a difference in individual sensitivity to ICM.

CONCLUSIONS
CSHF is a transient benign finding commonly seen following elective aneurysm coiling, which is observed in the vascular territory exposed to the ICM. There are statistically significant associations of CSHF with procedural time and the frequency of angiographic injections, but there is no direct relationship with total ICM volume, but such data would be difficult to obtain retrospectively.
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