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Flow-Diversion Treatment for Unruptured Nonsaccular Intracranial Aneurysms of the Posterior and Distal Anterior Circulation: A Meta-Analysis


ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Treatment management and outcomes of unruptured nonsaccular aneurysms are different compared with their saccular counterparts.

PURPOSE: Our aim was to analyze the outcomes after flow diversion among nonsaccular unruptured lesions.

DATA SOURCES: A systematic search of 3 data bases (2005–2019) was performed according to Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines.

STUDY SELECTION: We included studies reporting flow diversion for nonsaccular unruptured aneurysms of the posterior and distal anterior circulations. Anterior circulation lesions were included if located distal to the petro cavernous and supraclinoid ICA (MCA, A1, anterior communicating artery, A2). Giant dolichoectatic holobasilar lesions were excluded because of their poor treatment outcomes.

DATA ANALYSIS: Aneurysm occlusion and complication rates were calculated (random effects meta-analysis).

DATA SYNTHESIS: We included 15 studies (213 aneurysms). The long-term adequate occlusion rate was 85.3% (137/168; 95% CI, 78.2%–92.4%; I² = 42.3%). Treatment-related complications were 17.4% (41/213; 95% CI, 12.45%–22.4%; I² = 0%). Overall, 15% (37/213; 95% CI, 10%–20% ; I² = 0%) were ischemic events. Procedure-related morbidity was 8% (20/213; 95% CI, 5%–12%; I² = 0%). Fusiform or dissecting types had comparable adequate occlusion (116/146 = 83%; 95% CI, 74%–92%; I² = 48% versus 33/36 = 89%; 95% CI, 80%–98%; I² = 0%; P = .31) and complication rates (15/162 = 17%; 95% CI, 10%–25%; I² = 24% versus 11/51 = 19%; 95% CI, 10%–31%; I² = 0%; P = .72). Aneurysm size (>10 versus ≤10 mm) was independently associated with a higher rate of complications (OR = 6.6; 95% CI, 1.3–15; P = .02). The rate of ischemic events after discontinuation of the antiplatelet therapy was 5% (5/93; 95% CI, 2%–9%; I² = 0%).

LIMITATIONS: Small and retrospective studies were available for this meta-analysis.

CONCLUSIONS: Unruptured nonsaccular aneurysms located in the posterior and distal anterior circulations can be effectively treated with flow diversion. Nevertheless, treatment-related complications are not negligible, with about 15% ischemic events and 8% morbidity. Larger size (>10 mm) significantly increases the risk of procedure-related adverse events.

ABBREVIATIONS: AC = anterior circulation; FD = flow diversion; IQR = interquartile range; PC = posterior circulation

Fusiform and dissecting aneurysms are defined as circumferential dilation of an intracranial artery, without a neck.1 These lesions are uncommon compared with their saccular counterparts, presenting a different pathophysiology, natural history, and treatment management.2 Accordingly, procedure-related outcomes after endovascular treatment of these lesions should be explored separately. When we investigated the literature, very few series focused on the flow diversion (FD) treatment of nonsaccular lesions, and most of the available results were derived from a combination of saccular and nonsaccular aneurysms. Thus, the evidence surrounding treatment outcomes of FD among nonsaccular aneurysms requires further evaluation. We performed a meta-analysis exploring the angiographic and clinical outcomes of the off-label use of FD for nonsaccular posterior circulation lesions and nonsaccular
anterior circulation aneurysms in distal locations (MCA, A1, anterior communicating artery, pericallosal segment).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Literature Search
A comprehensive literature search of Scopus, PubMed, and Ovid EMBASE was performed for studies published from January 2005 to July 2019. The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA; http://prisma-statement.org/) guidelines were followed. The search strategy is described in On-line Table 1. The included studies are reported in On-line Table 2. The main inclusion criteria were the following: studies reporting series of FD treatment of unruptured nonsaccular aneurysms located in the anterior and posterior circulations. When reported in the article, nonsaccular aneurysms were classified as dissecting and fusiform aneurysms. Dissecting aneurysms were, in general, defined as lesions resulting from an injury to the arterial layers causing an irregular expansion of the vessel in a longitudinal fashion, whereas fusiform aneurysms incorporated the entire vessel circumferentially. In the anterior circulation (AC), nonsaccular aneurysms were included if located at the MCA, A1, anterior communicating artery, and distal anterior cerebral artery segments (at or beyond the A2 segment). We aimed to focus on AC aneurysms located distal to the circle of Willis because the indication for treatment with FD is still debated and is considered off-label. In fact, the efficacy of flow diverters in the ICA segment has already been reported, and the US Food and Drug Administration approved their use for unruptured saccular wide-neck or fusiform aneurysms in the ICA from the petrous segment to the terminus (https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf17/P170024A.pdf). Accordingly, aneurysms arising from the petro-/cavernous and supraclinoid portions of the ICA (including the posterior communicating, anterior choroidal, and ophthalmic segments) were not included in this review.

Among the posterior circulation (PC), nonsaccular aneurysms were located at the vertebral, basilar, P1–P2, PICA, and AICA/superior cerebellar artery segments. Series reporting giant dolicchoeustatic aneurysms involving the entire vertebrobasilar system (uniform dilation involving the entire vertebrobasilar system) were not included. Reasons behind the exclusion of these lesions are the following: 1) The natural history and treatment-related outcomes have been reported to be poor, and 2) indications for treatment still remain debatable.

Other exclusion criteria were the following: 1) case reports, 2) review articles, 3) studies published in languages other than English, 4) in vitro studies and animal studies, and 5) series reporting saccular aneurysms. In cases of overlapping patient populations, we selected series with the largest number of subjects or with the most detailed data. The analysis was conducted by 2 independent readers. Articles were screened in their entirety to determine eligibility for inclusion. In addition, a third author solved potential discrepancies.

Data Collection
We extracted the following: 1) rate of aneurysm occlusion, 2) rate of complications, and 3) clinical outcomes.

Adequate aneurysm occlusion (complete/near-complete occlusion) was defined on the basis of the O’Kelly-Marotta grades C–D, the Raymond-Roy scale (class I–II), or when the terms “complete occlusion” or “neck remnant” were used in the study. Treatment-related complications were classified as following: 1) periprocedural (within 30 days after treatment) and delayed events (after 30 days); 2) transient complications (asymptomatic events or complete neurologic recovery) and permanent events (symptomatic complications with permanent deficits); and 3) hemorrhagic and ischemic complications. Aneurysms were classified as small and large lesions (<10 mm and ≥10 mm, respectively). Arteries covered by the stents were evaluated and classified as the following: 1) normal diameter, 2) arterial narrowing, and 3) arterial occlusion. Good outcome was defined as a modified Rankin Scale score of 0–2 or in the absence of adverse events.

Outcomes Analysis
The primary objectives of this meta-analysis study were to describe treatment-related complications (the safety of the treatment), the technical success rate, and occlusion during follow-up (efficacy of the treatment) after FD for nonsaccular aneurysms. The influence of aneurysm size, patient age, and treatment characteristics on the analyzed outcomes was also studied. This objective was achieved by performing a preplanned subgroups analysis as well as univariate and multivariate analyses on the available individual patient data. Individual patient data were extracted from each series (tables or on-line tables) when reported by the authors.

Quality Scoring
The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale was used to evaluate the quality of the included studies (details in On-line Table 3). Two authors independently performed the quality assessment, while a third author solved potential discrepancies.

Statistical Analysis
Meta-Analysis. Given the interstudy differences (patient population, aneurysm characteristics, and type of device used), random effects meta-analysis was adopted to report the studied outcomes because this model incorporates heterogeneity among studies. From each cohort, the cumulative prevalence and 95% confidence interval were calculated for each outcome. Heterogeneity was studied and was assessed by the Higgins index (I²); subsequently, the DerSimonian and Laird random effects model was applied. The graphic representation was mostly performed by forest plot. In addition, we used subgroup analysis to estimate heterogeneity (aneurysm size, patient age, number of flow diverters, additional coils). To evaluate the risk of bias, we used a funnel plot followed by the Egger linear regression test. To verify the consistency of the meta-analysis outcome, we assessed the influence of each individual study by a sensitivity analysis (“leave-one-out” approach). Differences between subgroups of analyses were considered significant at P < .05. Meta-analysis was performed with ProMeta-2 (Internovi, Cesena, Italy) and OpenMeta [Analyst] (http://www.cebm.brown.edu/openmeta/).

Univariate and Multivariate Analysis. From the individual patient data, we extracted the following dependent variables: aneurysm occlusion (adequate-versus-incomplete occlusion) and treatment-
Table 1: Outcomes after flow-diversion treatment of unruptured nonsaccular intracranial aneurysms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Results of Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis</th>
<th>No. of Articles</th>
<th>Statistic (95% CI) (I²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Angiographic outcomes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of successful stent deployment</td>
<td>178/181 = 96%</td>
<td>11 (93–98)</td>
<td>(I² = 0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term aneurysm complete occlusion rate</td>
<td>137/168 = 85.3%</td>
<td>12 (78.2–92.4)</td>
<td>(I² = 42.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term aneurysm complete occlusion rate (fusiform aneurysms)</td>
<td>128/168 = 78.8%</td>
<td>12 (72.8–84.8)</td>
<td>(I² = 0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term adequate occlusion rate (fusiform aneurysms)</td>
<td>116/146 = 83%</td>
<td>11 (74–92)</td>
<td>(I² = 48%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term adequate occlusion rate (dissecting aneurysms)</td>
<td>33/36 = 89%</td>
<td>4 (80–98)</td>
<td>(I² = 0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term occlusion rate among anterior circulation</td>
<td>51/61 = 87.5%</td>
<td>6 (79–95)</td>
<td>(I² = 0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term occlusion rate among posterior circulation</td>
<td>86/107 = 83%</td>
<td>6 (71–95)</td>
<td>(I² = 60%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term adequate occlusion rate (PED)</td>
<td>118/149 = 82%</td>
<td>8 (75–90)</td>
<td>(I² = 25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term adequate occlusion rate (other devices)</td>
<td>21/23 = 90%</td>
<td>3 (81–98)</td>
<td>(I² = 0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Treatment-related complications and clinical outcomes

Overall treatment-related complications | 41/213 = 17.4% | 16 (12.5–22.4) | (I² = 0%) |
| Transient complications | 21/213 = 9% | 15 (5.6–13) | (I² = 0%) |
| Permanent complications | 20/213 = 8% | 15 (5–12) | (I² = 0%) |
| Ischemic complications | 37/213 = 15% | 15 (10–20) | (I² = 0%) |
| Hemorrhagic complications | 4/213 = 3% | 15 (1.2–6) | (I² = 0%) |
| Treatment-related complications (fusiform aneurysms) | 35/162 = 17% | 11 (10–25) | (I² = 24%) |
| Treatment-related complications (dissecting aneurysms) | 11/51 = 19% | 4 (10–31) | (I² = 0%) |
| Treatment-related complications among anterior circulation | 13/81 = 14% | 8 (7–23) | (I² = 0%) |
| Treatment-related complications among posterior circulation | 28/132 = 20% | 8 (3–35) | (I² = 0%) |
| Treatment-related complications (PED) | 19/110 = 15% | 9 (9–21) | (I² = 0%) |
| Treatment-related complications (other devices) | 3/23 = 12% | 3 (6–24) | (I² = 0%) |
| Periprocedural/early complications (within 30 days) | 10/213 = 2.5% | 15 (1–4) | (I² = 0%) |
| Delayed complications (after 30 days) | 31/213 = 12% | 15 (7–17) | (I² = 35%) |
| Premature discontinuation of AT and related ischemic events | 5/93 = 5% | 8 (2–9) | (I² = 0%) |
| Treatment-related mortality | 1/213 = 2% | 15 (0.2–3.5) | (I² = 0%) |
| Overall rate of good neurologic outcome | 154/187 = 87% | 10 (79–95) | (I² = 60%) |
| Occlusion of covered branches | 5/72 = 7% | 10 (2–13) | (I² = 0%) |
| Symptoms related to occlusion or impaired flow of covered branches | 3/72 = 3% | 10 (0.5–9) | (I² = 0%) |

Note: AT indicates antiplatelet therapy.

RESULTS

Literature Review

Studies included in our meta-analysis are summarized in On-line Table 2. The search flow diagram is shown in On-line Fig 1.

A total of 15 studies and 213 unruptured nonsaccular intracranial aneurysms treated with FD were included. We extracted 81 AC and 132 PC nonsaccular aneurysms treated with FD techniques.

Quality of Studies

Overall, 14 studies were retrospective series4,10–22 whereas 1 study was a prospective multicenter trial.23 On the basis of the Newcastle-Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale, 10 studies1,10,12–17,21,23 were rated as “high-quality” (On-line Table 3).

Patient Population and Aneurysm Characteristics

The mean age of patients was 52.5 years (range, 18–82 years), and the proportion of male patients was 42% (95% CI, 35%–49%) (On-line Table 4). The mean aneurysm size was 11 mm (median, 10 mm; range, 5–22 mm). The proportion of fusiform and dissecting aneurysms was 76% (162/213; 95% CI, 69%–81%) and 24% (51/213; 95% CI, 18%–30%), respectively. Aneurysms of the AC and PC were 38% (81/213; 95% CI, 31%–44%) and 62% (132/213; 95% CI, 55%–68%), respectively. Most aneurysms were located at the vertebralbasilar (97/213 = 45.5%; 95% CI, 38%–52%) and MCA segments (69/213 = 32.5%; 95% CI, 26%–38%). The most common device was the Pipeline Embolization Device (PED; Coviidan, Irvine, California) (185/213 = 87%; 95% CI, 81%–90%).

The mean radiologic (DSA) follow-up was 13 months (range, 4–24 months; median, 12 months; interquartile range [IQR], 7–12 months), and the mean clinical follow-up was 14 months (range, 6–28 months; median, 12 months; IQR, 8–15 months).

Angiographic Outcomes

The technical success rate was 96% (178/181; 95% CI, 93%–98%; I² = 0%) (Table 1). The rates of long-term adequate and complete occlusion were 85.3% (137/168; 95% CI, 78.2%–92.4%; I² = 42.3%) and 78.8% (128/168; 95% CI, 72.8%–84.8%; I² = 0%). Meta-regression showed a nonsignificant variation of the effect size (P = .71) during the analyzed period, and the funnel plot (Egger linear regression test) reasonably excluded publication bias (P = .52). The sensitivity analysis showed that no individual

related complications (complications versus no complications). Individual patient data were selected from patients with nonsaccular aneurysms. The χ² test was used to evaluate qualitative factors associated with occlusion and complications (aneurysm location and size, type of stent, patient age, type of aneurysm). The independent variables significantly associated (P ≤ 1 in the univariate analysis) with aneurysm complete occlusion or complications were analyzed together in a binary logistic regression (multivariate analysis) to assess the independent contribution of each factor. The results of the regression model were calculated by the Wald test and expressed using P values and the related odds ratios. All statistical analyses were performed with SPSS, Version 24 (IBM, Armonk, New York).
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study significantly influenced the combined aneurysm occlusion rate (On-line Fig 2).

The long-term adequate occlusion rate (O’Kelly-Marotta C-D) among fusiform lesions was 83% (116/146; 95% CI, 74%–92%; I² = 48%), whereas among dissecting aneurysms, it was 89% (33/36; 95% CI, 80%–98%; I² = 0%) (P = .31). Complete/near-complete occlusion among the AC and PC was 87.5% (51/61; 95% CI, 79%–95%; I² = 0%) and 83% (86/107; 95% CI, 71%–95%; I² = 60%) (P = .45), respectively. Long-term adequate occlusion was 82% (118/149; 95% CI, 75%–90%; I² = 25%) and 90% (21/23; 95% CI, 81%–98%; I² = 0%) (P = .31) after treatment with the PED and other stents, respectively.

**Treatment-Related Complications**

The overall complication rate was 17.4% (41/213; 95% CI, 12.4%–22.4%; I² = 0%) (Table 1). Meta-regression showed a nonsignificant variation of the effect size (P = .62) over the analyzed period, and the funnel plot (the Egger linear regression test) reasonably excludes publication bias (P = .24). In addition, no individual study significantly influenced the treatment-related complication rate (On-line Fig 3).

Periprocedural/early complications were 2.5% (10/213; 95% CI, 1.1%–4%; I² = 0%). Delayed complications were 12% (31/213; 95% CI, 7%–17%; I² = 35%). Transient and permanent complications were 9% (21/213; 95% CI, 5.6%–13%; I² = 0%) and 8% (20/213; 95% CI, 5%–12%; I² = 0%), respectively (description of the adverse events is reported in On-line Table 2).

Overall, ischemic/thromboembolic and hemorrhagic events were 15% (37/213; 95% CI, 10%–20%; I² = 0%) and 3% (4/213; 95% CI, 1.2%–6%; I² = 0%), respectively. Treatment-related complications among fusiform and dissecting aneurysms were 17% (35/162; 95% CI, 10%–25%; I² = 24%) and 19% (11/51; 95% CI, 10%–31%; I² = 0%) (P = .71), respectively. PC lesions were associated with slightly higher rates of complications compared with AC lesions (28/132 = 20%; 95% CI, 3%–13%; I² = 0% versus 13/81 = 14%; 95% CI, 7%–22%; I² = 0%) (P = .22). Treatment with the PED and other stents was associated with 15% (19/110; 95% CI, 9%–21%; I² = 0%) and 12% (3/23; 95% CI, 6%–24%; I² = 0%) rates of complications (P = .76), respectively.

The rate of ischemic events after discontinuation of the antiplatelet therapy was 5% (5/93; 95% CI, 2%–9%; I² = 0%). There were no cases of early or delayed rupture after treatment among the analyzed series. Treatment-related mortality was 2% (1/213; 95% CI, 0.2%–3.5%; I² = 0%), and the rate of good neurologic outcome was 87% (154/187; 95% CI, 79%–95%; I² = 60%). Finally, the rate of occlusion of branches covered by flow diverters was 7% (5/72; 95% CI, 2%–13%; I² = 0%), with 3% (3/72; 95% CI, 0.5%–9%; I² = 0%) of symptoms related to the impaired flow on the covered vessel.

### Subgroup Analysis: Factors Related to Aneurysm Occlusion and Complications

Occlusion and complication rates were compared between the following preplanned subgroups: FD alone versus FD + coils, single flow diverter versus multiple flow diverters, mean patient age, and small-versus-large aneurysms. The occlusion rate was comparable among all the studied subgroups. The complication rate was higher among large aneurysms (≥10 mm) compared with small (<10 mm) lesions (P = .04) (On-line Table 5).

Overall, individual patient data were available for 80% of patients (Table 2). The following factors were tested in the univariate and multivariate analyses: type of FD (PED versus other), patient age (younger than 60 versus older than 60 years), aneurysm size (>10 versus <10 mm), fusiform versus dissecting, and aneurysm location (AC versus PC). In the multivariate analysis, none of the above-reported factors were independently associated with the occlusion rate, whereas the aneurysm size (>10 versus <10 mm) was independently associated with the complication rate (OR = 6.6; 95% CI, 1.3%–15%; P = .02).

### Study Heterogeneity

Heterogeneity was low (<50%) for all the reported outcomes except for the following: the overall rate of good neurologic outcome and the mean difference of age among completely and incompletely occluded aneurysms.

### DISCUSSION

After we pooled data from 15 studies and 213 aneurysms, our meta-analysis is the largest study investigating outcomes after FD for unruptured nonsaccular lesions. Particularly, this study aimed to improve the knowledge of angiographic and clinical outcomes.
after FD for fusiform/dissecting lesions located both in the PC and in distal segments of the AC.

**Angiographic Outcome**

A very recent meta-analysis of 500 distal unruptured AC aneurysms demonstrated the efficacy of FD, reporting a rate of adequate occlusion close to 83%. However, saccular and non-saccular aneurysms were pooled together, and fusiform-dissecting lesions were underrepresented in this review. Kiyofuji et al performed a meta-analysis of 131 nonsaccular PC aneurysms, reporting a 50% rate of complete/near-complete occlusion. This rate appears quite low compared with the general occlusion rate of 80% reported in others series and a meta-analysis of FD. The lower occlusion rate in the review of Kiyofuji et al may reflect the inclusion of giant dolichoectatic aneurysms (involving all the vertebrobasilar system). These aneurysms remain one of the most formidable lesions to treat: Natural history is reported to be poor (mortality rates at 5 years are close to 30%), complication rates are quite high, and the indication for treatment is still debatable.

Our meta-analysis, excluding dolichoectatic holobasilar lesions and aneurysms arising from the ICA segment, showed 85% of complete/near-complete occlusion (O’Kelly-Marotta C–D), with comparable rates in the anterior and posterior circulations. Although most of the included series classified non-saccular aneurysms into fusiform and dissecting lesions, the diagnosis may not be correct in all cases because fusiform shape may represent a variety of different histopathologic pictures. Mizutani et al classified 85 aneurysms unrelated to the branch- ing zones into 4 types: Type 1 aneurysms were defined as ‘classic dissecting’ lesions; type 2 indicated a fusiform segmental ectasia; and types 3 and 4 represented dolichoectatic and “blisterlike” aneurysms. In our review, unruptured dissecting aneurysms presented a slightly higher (89%) rate of occlusion compared with fusiform lesions (83%), though the meta-analysis was likely underpowered to highlight a statically significant difference. Griessenauer et al, in a series of 131 PC aneurysms, reported 83% and 64% complete occlusion after treatment with the PED of 29 dissecting and 53 fusiform lesions, respectively. Similarly, Lin et al described a series of 15 fusiform and 5 dissecting aneurysms of the MCA and anterior cerebral artery segments, reporting 73% and 100% complete occlusion after treatment with the PED, respectively.

In the univariate analysis, there was a trend toward higher occlusion rates in favor of dissecting aneurysms, though the multivariate logistic regression did not show any significant association among the occlusion rate and the analyzed factors.

**Treatment-Related Complications**

The overall rate of complications of 17% found in our meta-analysis appears quite high compared with series of flow diversion for saccular aneurysms. Brinjikji et al, in a post hoc analysis of the International Retrospective Study of the Pipeline Embolization Device (IntrePED), reported fusiform aneurysm configuration as the only variable independently associated with stroke (OR = 2.7, P = .03). In our study, complications were similar among fusiform and dissecting types and were mostly related to ischemic events (15%).

The anterior circulation was associated with an approximately 14% rate of adverse events. A recent meta-analysis and series of unruptured saccular anterior communicating artery and pericallosal aneurysms treated with flow diverters reported approximately 8% treatment-related complications. However, in our review, 90% of the nonsaccular AC aneurysms were located at the MCA. It has been reported that MCA location is associated with an approximately 18% rate of complications after FD. Accordingly, the relatively high rate of adverse events among AC fusiform/dissecting lesions found in our study can be partially influenced by the predominance of the MCA location.

Focusing on the PC, we report a 20% rate of complications after FD of nonsaccular aneurysms. This is in line with descriptions in the literature. Griessenauer et al reported roughly 25% and 23% adverse events among saccular and nonsaccular PC aneurysms treated with FD. In the IntrePED study, Kallmes et al found higher rates of morbidity and mortality after FD in PC (16.5%) compared with AC lesions (5%–9%). In addition, Lopes et al, evaluating the morbidity among the subgroup of PC lesions of the IntrePED registry, showed a 19% neurologic morbidity among the fusiform group. In our study, the univariate analysis underlined PC and large aneurysms as factors associated with higher complications, though the size was the only variable independently associated with adverse events at the binary logistic regression (OR = 6.6, P = .02). Our meta-analysis is the first focusing on the factors associated with complications among a selected population of nonsaccular aneurysms. In the series of Griessenauer et al, small PC nonfusiform aneurysms presented with 17% complications, whereas large/giant lesions were associated with approximately 25% adverse events.

Finally, the 5% rate of ischemic complications after discontinuation of the antiplatelet therapy (1 in-stent occlusion, 2 cases of basilar perforators infarcts, and 2 thromboembolic events) indicates the importance of the antiplatelet regimen among nonsaccular lesions treated by flow diversion.

**Limitations**

Our study has limitations. Most of the series are small, retrospective studies. The included series classified aneurysms into fusiform and dissecting types; however, few studies reported a clear angiographic definition of fusiform and dissecting lesions. The antiplatelet regimen was quite comparable among the studies (On-line Table 2), but the influence of platelet inhibition was not evaluated. However, this review is the largest meta-analysis focusing on FD for nonsaccular aneurysms, providing updated data for the treatment management of these lesions.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Unruptured nonsaccular aneurysms located in the posterior and distal anterior circulations can be effectively treated with a flow-diversion strategy. Nevertheless, treatment-related complications are not negligible, with about 15% ischemic events and 8% morbidity. Larger size (>10 mm) significantly increases the risk of procedure-related adverse events among nonsaccular lesions.
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