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**ABSTRACT**

**BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE:** A 3D T1-weighted black-blood sequence was recently shown to improve the detection of contrast-enhancing lesions in the brain in patients with MS compared with a 3D T1-weighted MPRAGE sequence. We compared a contrast-enhanced 3D T1-weighted black-blood sequence with a dedicated orbital contrast-enhanced T1-weighted Dixon sequence in patients with acute optic neuritis.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS:** MR imaging data (3T) of 51 patients showing symptoms of acute optic neuritis were analyzed retrospectively, including whole-brain contrast-enhanced 3D T1-weighted black-blood and dedicated orbital coronal 2D or 3D contrast-enhanced T1-weighted Dixon sequences. Two neuroradiologists assessed the images for overall image quality, artifacts, diagnostic confidence, and visual contrast enhancement. Furthermore, the standardized contrast-to-noise ratio was calculated. The final diagnosis of acute optic neuritis was established on the basis of clinical presentation, visually evoked potentials, and optical coherence tomography.

**RESULTS:** Thirty of 51 patients were diagnosed with acute optic neuritis. Of those, 21 showed contrast-enhancing lesions in the optic nerves, similarly detectable on contrast-enhanced T1-weighted Dixon and contrast-enhanced T1-weighted black-blood images. Thus, the accuracy for each sequence was identical, with a resulting sensitivity of 70% and specificity of 90% or 100% (depending on the reader). Overall image quality, diagnostic confidence, visual contrast enhancement, and artifacts were rated similarly in contrast-enhanced 3D T1-weighted black-blood and dedicated orbital contrast-enhanced T1-weighted Dixon sequences. There was no significant difference ($P = .27$) in the mean standardized contrast-to-noise ratio between contrast-enhanced T1-weighted black-blood (1.76 ± 1.07) and contrast-enhanced T1-weighted Dixon (2.29 ± 2.49) sequences.

**CONCLUSIONS:** Contrast-enhanced 3D T1-weighted black-blood imaging is comparable in accuracy and qualitative/quantitative features with dedicated orbital contrast-enhanced T1-weighted Dixon imaging for the detection of acute optic neuritis. Therefore, when used, it has the potential to considerably shorten total patient imaging time.

**ABBREVIATIONS:** BB = black-blood; DIR = double inversion recovery; FS = fat-suppressed; OCT = optical coherence tomography; ON = optic neuritis; VEP = visually evoked potentials

Acute optic neuritis (ON) may result in visual disturbances, pain during eye movement, and dyschromatopsia. Acute ON can occur as an isolated syndrome or can be associated with MS. In particular, patients with ON show a high risk of conversion to definite MS (34%–75%),$^{1,2}$ and a high percentage of patients with MS develop ON during the course of the disease (70%).$^{3-5}$ Although discussed and recommended by the Magnetic Resonance Imaging in MS (MAGNIMS; https://www.magnims.eu/) consensus guidelines on MS criteria in MR imaging,$^6$ ON has not yet been included in the criterion of dissemination in space in the McDonald criteria (2017) for the diagnosis of MS.$^7$ Nevertheless, the importance of the involvement of the optic nerve was emphasized by the International Panel on MS Diagnosis, and further research on this issue was encouraged.$^8$

Acute ON is traditionally diagnosed clinically by visually evoked potentials (VEP) and optical coherence tomography (OCT) and can...
be diagnosed through MR imaging. The recommended MR imaging protocol for ON consists of coronal fat-suppressed (FS) T2WI. Recent studies have demonstrated that double inversion recovery (DIR) images can be used for the detection of ON with high sensitivity and specificity. Additionally, it has been shown that DIR images reveal acute and chronic optic nerve lesions with higher sensitivity compared with VEP. Coronal T1-weighted images before and after contrast administration are recommended for the detection of acute ON. Contrast enhancement can be detected in up to 94% of the patients with acute ON, but results vary depending on study inclusion criteria and sequences used. Recently, a study showed that 3D T1-weighted TSE black-blood (BB) images improve the detection rate of contrast-enhancing lesions in the brain in patients with MS or intracranial metastases compared with a conventional 3D T1-weighted MPRAGE sequence.

Originally, T1-weighted BB sequences had been designed to detect inflammatory changes of the vascular wall of the heart or blood vessels by distinct suppression of the blood signal. For this purpose, T1-weighted BB imaging was based on the DIR technique and initially restricted to 2D acquisition mode with only a small FOV coverage. Recently, a new type of T1-weighted BB TSE sequence was introduced with variable flip angle refocusing pulses, thus allowing 3D imaging with high isotropic resolution. This new T1-weighted BB sequence combines a highly sensitive depiction of contrast enhancement with fast imaging and high spatial resolution and is thus gaining popularity in routine MR imaging for different purposes. As a TSE-based approach, this sequence has fewer artifacts compared with gradient-echo-based sequences. The benefits of reduced artifacts, particularly at the skull base and the sensitive depiction of contrast enhancement may render T1-weighted BB imaging well-suited for orbital imaging. In fact, a recent study showed that T1-weighted BB imaging is able to detect arteritic posterior ciliary artery involvement in patients with arteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy. However, T1-weighted BB imaging has not yet been assessed for acute ON.

Here, we hypothesize that contrast-enhanced 3D T1-weighted BB imaging is superior to dedicated orbital FS contrast-enhanced T1WI for detecting acute ON.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Patients**

Data were analyzed retrospectively with approval of the local ethics committee. The patient group consisted of 51 consecutive patients (31 women, 20 men; mean age, 35 ± 11 years; age range, 18–66 years) showing symptoms of acute ON (such as visual disturbances or pain during eye movement) who had undergone MR imaging between April 2018 and January 2020. All MR imaging data included dedicated orbital Dixon sequences and 3D T1-weighted BB. None of them had to be excluded due to motion artifacts. Final diagnoses were established by the treating neurologists considering history, symptoms, response to steroid treatment, MR imaging findings, and paraclinical tests such as VEP or OCT.

**MR Imaging Acquisition**

MR imaging was performed on a 3T scanner (Ingenia; Philips Healthcare) using a 32-channel array head coil. MR imaging included 3D FLAIR, 3D DIR, coronal FS 2D T2-weighted sequences (T2 Dixon or T2 spectral presaturation with inversion recovery), contrast-enhanced 3D T1-weighted MPRAGE, and contrast-enhanced 3D T1-weighted BB sequences of the whole brain in all patients, as well as coronal FS contrast-enhanced 2D T1-weighted Dixon (n = 43) or contrast-enhanced 3D T1-weighted Dixon (n = 8) sequences covering the orbit. The acquisition parameters of T1-weighted BB and T1-weighted Dixon sequences are shown in Table 1.

Due to the small number of 3D T1-weighted Dixon images (n = 8), we pooled 2D and 3D T1-weighted Dixon images for the analysis. On average, contrast-enhanced T1-weighted Dixon was acquired about 5 minutes later than contrast-enhanced T1-weighted BB (T1-weighted BB was performed at a mean of 13:25 ± 4:45 min:sec and contrast-enhanced T1-weighted BB, 8:11 ± 4:07 min:sec) after the intravenous administration of gadolinium.

**MR Imaging Analysis**

Imaging data were independently assessed by 2 neuroradiologists (blinded to the diagnosis and side of the affected optic nerve) on a standard PACS workstation. The images were read twice, first by assessing contrast-enhanced T1-weighted BB and excluding contrast-enhanced T1-weighted Dixon images and second by assessing contrast-enhanced T1-weighted Dixon and excluding contrast-enhanced T1-weighted BB with an interval of 2 weeks between both reads to avoid memory bias.

During each reading process, DIR and FS T2-weighted images could be consulted simultaneously. In case of 3D images, 1-mm-section-thickness reconstructions were performed in 3D in orientations perpendicular to and along the optic nerves, with sagittal reconstructions being generated in an oblique direction per side.

---

**Table 1: Acquisition parameters of the T1-weighted sequences**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>T1-Weighted BB</th>
<th>T1-Weighted Dixon</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sequence type</td>
<td>TSE</td>
<td>TSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition mode</td>
<td>3D</td>
<td>3D, 2D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition plane</td>
<td>Sagittal</td>
<td>Axial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquired voxel size (mm³)</td>
<td>0.90 × 0.89 × 0.90</td>
<td>0.90 × 0.99 × 0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition matrix</td>
<td>280 × 281</td>
<td>132 × 157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOV (mm)</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR (ms)</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TE (ms)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition time (min)</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>3.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average delay after IV administration of gadolinium (min:sec)</td>
<td>8:42</td>
<td>12:46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Both readers individually counted contrast-enhancing lesions in the optic nerves and assessed the images on a 5-point Likert scale for overall image quality (1, nondiagnostic; 2, poor; 3, average; 4, good; or 5, excellent), artifacts (1, severe; 2, pronounced; 3, moderate; 4, mild; or 5, none), and diagnostic confidence (1, unlikely; 2, vague; 3, likely; 4, high; or 5, very high). Moreover, a 4-point Likert scale was considered for visual contrast enhancement of the optic nerves, if any (1, none; 2, minimal; 3, moderate; or 4, strong). Afterward, a consensus read was performed in patients with discrepant numbers of contrast-enhancing lesions. A contrast-enhancing lesion was defined as a circumscribed hyperintense signal within the optic nerve depicted after gadolinium administration on T1-weighted images.

In addition, 1 neuroradiologist categorized the localization of lesions into the following sections of the optic nerve: intraorbital, extraorbital intracanalicular, and extraorbital intracranial (chiasmatic) segments. Furthermore, a standardized contrast-to-noise ratio (sCNR) was measured. Because it is difficult to compare contrast-to-noise ratios between different sequences, we defined a “standardized” contrast-to-noise ratio as previously described\(^{22,23}\) by respecting the dependency of the contrast-to-noise ratio on voxel size and acquisition time of the sequences according to the following formula:

\[
s\text{CNR} = \frac{(S_{\text{lesion}} - S_{\text{optic nerve}})}{(S_{\text{optic nerve}} \times V_{\text{Voxel}} \times \sqrt{t_{\text{acq}}})},
\]

where \(S_{\text{lesion}}\) represents the mean signal in an ROI (2–5 mm\(^2\)) in a contrast-enhancing lesion in the optic nerve, and \(S_{\text{optic nerve}}\) represents the signal of an ROI in the contralateral optic nerve in a corresponding normal-appearing location. \(S_{\text{optic nerve}}\) is the SD in a region in the nonaffected optic nerve, \(V_{\text{Voxel}}\) is the voxel size, and \(t_{\text{acq}}\) represents the acquisition time of the sequence in seconds.

### Statistical Analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 25 (SPSS Statistics for Windows; IBM). Wilcoxon signed-rank tests were performed to compare overall image quality, artifacts, diagnostic confidence, and visual contrast enhancement between both sequences for each reader separately. Concordance between both raters was assessed using the intraclass correlation coefficient. Furthermore, the standardized contrast-to-noise ratio was compared using a 2-sided Student’s \(t\) test for paired samples. A value of \(P < .05\) was considered statistically significant. Sensitivity and specificity were calculated and compared between sequences.

### RESULTS

The diagnosis of acute ON was established by the treating neurologists for 30 of the 51 patients. Of these 30 patients with the final diagnosis of ON, 7 were known to have MS, ON was a first manifestation of MS in 9 patients, ON was a clinically isolated syndrome in 12 patients, and a neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder was seen in the remaining 2 cases. VEP were available for 18 patients, with 14 exhibiting a pathologic pattern. Furthermore, OCT was available for 19 patients, with 5 showing a pattern of acute ON and 6 showing a pattern of atrophy.

Two discrepant lesion counts were found between readers (reader one, 21 lesions; reader two, 23 lesions), with reader 2 counting 2 artifacts as contrast-enhancing lesions in both sequences. In the consensus reading of both neuroradiologists, 21 patients showed at least 1 contrast-enhancing lesion in the optic nerve (unilateral, \(n = 20\); bilateral, \(n = 1\)). In the case of bilateral contrast-enhancing lesions, analyses of only 1 optic nerve (with the longer lesion) were included in the statistics.

One patient showed signal alterations in DIR, FS T2WI, and FLAIR sequences but no contrast enhancement. Nine patients showed a T2-/DIR-hyperintense signal in the contralateral optic nerve without contrast enhancement and without recent clinical symptoms. The contrast-enhancing lesions were intraorbital (\(n = 13\)), extraorbital intracanalicular (\(n = 4\)), extraorbital intracranial (\(n = 1\)), or intra- and extraorbital (\(n = 3\)). None of the contrast-enhancing lesions detected in contrast-enhanced T1-weighted Dixon sequences were missed in contrast-enhanced T1-weighted BB sequences and vice versa. All contrast-enhancing lesions in T1-weighted images had a corresponding T2-/DIR-hyperintense signal. Eight patients with diagnoses of acute ON but no contrast-enhancing lesions also showed no signal alterations of the optic nerves in other sequences (DIR, FS T2WI, or FLAIR). VEP showed a normal pattern for 3 patients, and OCT findings were normal for 4 of these 9 patients without contrast-enhancing lesions. Contingency data with more information about diagnostics, sensitivity, and specificity are provided in Tables 2 and 3. Sensitivity (reader 1 and 2: 70%) and specificity (reader 1, 100%; and reader 2, 90%) were identical for both sequences regarding the final diagnoses.

Final diagnoses for the 21 patients not diagnosed with acute ON included other eye diseases not involving the optic nerve (\(n = 4\)) or psychosomatic disorders (\(n = 3\)), or remained unclear (\(n = 14\)); none of these patients’ optic nerves showed a contrast-enhancing lesion or a signal alteration in DIR or FS T2WI. Furthermore, none of these patients showed a pathologic pattern in VEP (available in 19/21 patients). OCT was available in 12/21 patients and showed a normal pattern in 11 patients; in 1 patient, it revealed a bilateral global atrophy of the peripapillary retinal

### Table 2: Sensitivity and specificity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Final Diagnosis “Acute ON” (a)</th>
<th>CE + (Reader 1/ Reader 2)</th>
<th>CE – (Reader 1/ Reader 2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ON+</td>
<td>21/21</td>
<td>9/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ON–</td>
<td>0/2</td>
<td>21/19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** CE + indicates contrast enhancement; CE –, no contrast enhancement; ON+, optic neuritis; ON–, no optic neuritis.

\(a\) Reader 1: sensitivity, 21/30 = 70%; specificity, 21/21 = 100%; reader 2: sensitivity, 21/30 = 70%; specificity, 19/21 = 90%.

### Table 3: Contingency table of all available diagnostics and the correlation of their results to contrast-enhancing lesions in MR imaging

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>ON</th>
<th>Other Diagnoses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>VEP (37/53)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3 + 0 CE+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3 0 CE+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OCT (3/5)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ (Acute)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2 0 CE+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ (Chronic)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2 0 CE+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4 0 CE+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** CE + indicates contrast enhancement; CE –, no contrast enhancement; +, pathological; –, normal.
nerve fiber layer and the macula, which was thought to be associated with another ocular disease.

The results of the qualitative image evaluation are listed in Table 4. Overall image quality, artifacts, diagnostic confidence, and visual contrast enhancement were rated by both readers similarly for T1-weighted BB and T1-weighted Dixon sequences, with a slight tendency toward better quality on T1-weighted BB sequences. The intraclass correlation coefficient was excellent and slightly higher when assessing T1-weighted BB compared with T1-weighted Dixon (0.923 versus 0.872).

There was no significant difference \((P = .27)\) between the standardized contrast-to-noise ratio of T1-weighted BB \((1.76 \pm 1.07)\) and T1-weighted Dixon \((2.29 \pm 2.49)\). Examples of 2 patients are shown in Figs 1 and 2.

### DISCUSSION

In this study, a contrast-enhanced 3D T1-weighted BB sequence successfully detected active contrast-enhancing lesions in the optic nerves in patients with ON. In particular, whole-brain contrast-enhanced 3D T1-weighted BB images showed high accuracy and qualitative/quantitative characteristics similar to those of dedicated orbital contrast-enhanced T1-weighted Dixon sequences, with the advantage of shorter scan times. This finding is comparable with those of previous studies investigating...
Additionally, the high percentage of contrast enhancement in the optic nerve in case of acute ON might depend on the severity of the inflammation or dimension of the affected optic nerve. Additionally, the high percentage of contrast enhancement in the above-mentioned study might depend on its strict inclusion and exclusion criteria (the study included patients within 20 days of visual loss, and patients were excluded if they had a history of ON of the affected eye and when vision did not return to normal). In our experience, the percentage of 70% contrast enhancement is closer to our referral clinical experience than the higher numbers of more selective studies. Furthermore, we included 1 patient who had already received high doses of IV prednisolone therapy before MR imaging; this patient showed a pathologic pattern in VEP but no contrast enhancement on MR imaging.

Recently, 1 study showed a potentially important role of a postcontrast FS FLAIR sequence demonstrating periorbital leptomeningeal contrast enhancement that might reflect an inflammatory process preceding or accompanying ON. We did not observe leptomeningeal enhancement on postcontrast T1-weighted BB sequences, but a dedicated study comparing postcontrast FLAIR sequences with postcontrast T1-weighted BB imaging for periorbital contrast enhancement might be of interest and clinical relevance. One important advantage of 3D T1-weighted BB imaging is the short scan time. Because the acquisition of our whole-brain 3D T1-weighted BB sequence takes only 1:30 (min:sec) at a 0.9-mm isotropic resolution and seems to lead to a higher detection rate of contrast-enhancing MS lesions in the brain, it might replace standard T1-weighted sequences such as MP-RAGE and, importantly, dedicated sequences for the optic nerves, thus contributing to scan efficiency.

We are aware of several limitations of our study, including the relatively small sample size and retrospective study design. Furthermore, the readers could not be blinded to the type of sequences because they have characteristic appearances. In addition, the postcontrast T1-weighted sequences of this study were performed with different delays after intravenous administration of gadolinium-based contrast agents; on average, T1-weighted Dixon was performed 5 minutes later than contrast-enhanced T1-weighted BB. It is known that the sensitivity of postcontrast images increases with the length of delay after administration of a gadolinium-based contrast agent. Therefore, this difference might contribute to a bias but should not alter the main findings of this study in general.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Whole-brain contrast-enhanced 3D T1-weighted BB images of the optic nerves showed high accuracy and qualitative/quantitative characteristics similar to those of dedicated orbital contrast-enhanced T1-weighted Dixon images to diagnose acute ON. Thus, if contrast-enhanced 3D T1-weighted BB images are routinely acquired for the detection of contrast-enhancing MS lesions in the brain, potentially, additional dedicated orbital sequences may not be needed, allowing shorter standard MR imaging protocols.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The authors would like to thank Mrs. Marianne Schoenbach-Engmann for proofreading the manuscript.

**REFERENCES**

13. We are aware of several limitations of our study, including the relatively small sample size and retrospective study design. Furthermore, the readers could not be blinded to the type of sequences because they have characteristic appearances. In addition, the postcontrast T1-weighted sequences of this study were performed with different delays after intravenous administration of gadolinium-based contrast agents; on average, T1-weighted Dixon was performed 5 minutes later than contrast-enhanced T1-weighted BB. It is known that the sensitivity of postcontrast images increases with the length of delay after administration of a gadolinium-based contrast agent. Therefore, this difference might contribute to a bias but should not alter the main findings of this study in general.

**REFERENCES**


{ AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 41:2333–38 Dec 2020 www.ajnr.org }
with visually evoked potentials. *J Neurol* 2019;266:148–56 CrossRef Medline


13. Sommer NN, Saam T, Coppenrath E, et al. Multiple sclerosis: improved detection of active cerebral lesions with 3-dimensional T1 black-blood magnetic resonance imaging compared with conventional 3-dimensional T1 GRE imaging. *Invest Radiol* 2018;53:13–19 CrossRef Medline


