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Advanced ADC Histogram, Perfusion, and Permeability Metrics Show an Association with Survival and Pseudoprogression in Newly Diagnosed Diffuse Intrinsic Pontine Glioma: A Report from the Pediatric Brain Tumor Consortium


ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: Diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma is a lethal childhood brain cancer with dismal prognosis and MR imaging is the primary methodology used for diagnosis and monitoring. Our aim was to determine whether advanced diffusion, perfusion, and permeability MR imaging metrics predict survival and pseudoprogression in children with newly diagnosed diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: A clinical trial using the poly (adenosine diphosphate ribose) polymerase (PARP) inhibitor veliparib concurrently with radiation therapy, followed by maintenance therapy with veliparib + temozolomide, in children with diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma was conducted by the Pediatric Brain Tumor Consortium. Standard MR imaging, DWI, dynamic contrast-enhanced perfusion, and DSC perfusion were performed at baseline and approximately every 2 months throughout treatment. ADC histogram metrics of T2-weighted FLAIR and enhancing tumor volume, dynamic contrast-enhanced permeability metrics for enhancing tumors, and tumor relative CBV from DSC perfusion MR imaging were calculated. Baseline values, post-radiation therapy changes, and longitudinal trends for all metrics were evaluated for associations with survival and pseudoprogression.

RESULTS: Fifty children were evaluable for survival analyses. Higher baseline relative CBV was associated with shorter progression-free survival (P = .02, Q = .089) and overall survival (P = .006, Q = .055). Associations of higher baseline mean transfer constant from the blood plasma into the extravascular extracellular space with shorter progression-free survival (P = .03, Q = .105) and overall survival (P = .03, Q = .102) trended toward significance. An increase in relative CBV with time was associated with shorter progression-free survival (P < .001, Q < .001) and overall survival (P = .004, Q = .043). Associations of longitudinal mean extravascular extracellular volume fraction with progression-free survival (P = .03, Q = .104) and overall survival (P = .03, Q = .105) and maximum transfer constant from the blood plasma into the extravascular extracellular space with progression-free survival (P = .03, Q = .102) trended toward significance. Greater increases with time were associated with worse outcomes. True radiologic progression showed greater post-radiation therapy decreases in mode_ADC_FLAIR compared with pseudoprogression (means, –268.15 versus –261.1, P = .01).

CONCLUSIONS: ADC histogram, perfusion, and permeability MR imaging metrics in diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma are useful in predicting survival and pseudoprogression.

ABBREVIATIONS: DCE = dynamic contrast-enhanced; DIPG = diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma; K_Xe = rate constant from extravascular extracellular space back into blood plasma; K_Xe = transfer constant from blood plasma into extravascular extracellular space; OS = overall survival; PBTC = Pediatric Brain Tumor Consortium; PFS = progression-free survival; rCBV = relative CBV; RT = radiation therapy; TMZ = temozolomide; v_e = extravascular extracellular volume fraction; v_p = blood-plasma volume fraction
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Diffuse intrinsic pontine gliomas (DIPGs) represent 80% of all brain stem gliomas, and brain stem tumors constitute approximately 10%–15% of pediatric CNS tumors. The prognosis for DIPG has been uniformly dismal, with >90% of patients succumbing to the disease within 2 years of diagnosis, with a median overall survival of 9 months. Radiation therapy (RT) has shown transient improvement of neurologic symptoms and marginal survival benefit.

In this study, we assessed associations between survival and imaging metrics derived from advanced diffusion, perfusion, and permeability MR imaging data in a cohort of patients with newly diagnosed DIPG treated with veliparib concurrent with RT, followed by maintenance therapy of veliparib plus temozolomide (TMZ) treated in a Pediatric Brain Tumor Consortium (PBTC) Phase I/II trial. Poly (adenosine diphosphate ribose) polymerase (PARP) inhibitors such as veliparib have been shown to overcome TMZ resistance while also increasing radiosensitivity. Advanced ADC histogram metrics have been shown to correlate with survival in DIPG, whereas perfusion and permeability metrics have shown associations with survival as well as pseudoprogression in pediatric and adult gliomas. We therefore explored the utility of these imaging metrics to predict pseudoprogression versus early true progression.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Patients and Treatment Protocol**

Fifty-three children (33 girls, 20 boys; median age 6.6 years; range, 2.5–12.9 years) with newly diagnosed DIPGs were enrolled in a Phase II PBTC trial (PBTC-033, NCT01514201) to determine the efficacy of administering veliparib concurrently with radiation therapy, followed by maintenance therapy with veliparib and temozolomide (TMZ). All patients received photon radiation and TMZ at 135 mg/m²/day for 5 days every 28 days.

**Imaging and Image Analysis**

**MR Imaging Evaluation.** Standard MR imaging (which included axial T2, axial T2-weighted FLAIR and axial pre- and postcontrast T1-weighted images) was performed within 2 weeks before registration; at the end of radiation therapy (week 10); within 1 week before courses 3, 5, and 8 of maintenance therapy; and at the end of treatment. Images were transferred to a Vitrea workstation (Vital Images, Minnetonka, Minnesota) for automated processing using OmniLook software.

**DCE-MR Imaging Protocol.** DCE-MR images were transferred to a DynaCAD workstation (https://www.invivocorp.com/solutions; Invivo, Gainesville, Florida) for automated processing using OmniLook software. Voxelwise T1-maps were generated from the variable flip angle images as described in Fram et al.10 and the 2-compartment extended Tofts model11 was used to generate maps of the pharmacokinetic parameters, namely transfer constant from the blood plasma into the extravascular extracellular space (Ke,q), rate constant from extravascular extracellular space back into blood plasma (kcp), extravascular extracellular volume fraction (ve), and blood plasma volume fraction (vp). ROIs were drawn on each section of tumor around contrast-enhancing portions of the tumor by an imaging data analyst or by a PhD scientist and verified by a Certificate of Added Qualification–certified neuroradiologist, and the mean (over voxels) and SDs of each of the variables were recorded for statistical analysis.

**DTI Acquisition and ADC Histogram Analysis.** DTI data were acquired with the following acquisition parameters on a 3T scanner: section thickness = 2.2 mm, TR = 2000 ms, TE = 23 ms, section thickness = 5.0 mm with a 2-mm gap, flip angle = 60°, and 50–60 time points. With the bolus for the DCE-MR imaging serving as preloading, another bolus injection of 0.05 mmol/kg body weight of gadobutrol was administered 20 seconds after start of scanning, followed by a 10-mL saline flush. Corrected CBV maps12 were generated off-line and normalized using an ROI selected in normal-appearing white matter. A 2D ROI corresponding to the area of greatest enhancement in the tumor was selected in the normalized relative CBV (rCBV) map and used as the rCBV of the tumor.

The ADC values of the voxels in FLAIR and enhancing volumes were then used to generate the ADC_FLAIR and ADC_enhancing volumes, respectively. These volumes were thresholded using a uniform range of 600–2600 × 10⁻⁶ mm²/s to automatically exclude cysts, necrosis, and hemorrhage, and corresponding ADC_FLAIR and ADC_enhancing histograms were generated. Histogram
metrics used for statistical analysis were the number of peaks (unimodal or bimodal), mean, SD, skewness, and kurtosis of these histograms. In the case of tumors showing bimodal ADC histograms, the lower peak was measured for mean and SD, and skewness and kurtosis were not recorded.

For each of the imaging metrics, the baseline value, the post-RT change (defined as the value at the 10-week post-RT scan minus the baseline value), and the time-dependent longitudinal change in the metric during the course of treatment were examined for associations with progression-free survival (PFS), overall survival (OS), and pseudoprogression.

For patients suspected of having pseudoprogression during the first 6 months of therapy, the treating physician had the option of allowing the patient to continue protocol treatment and repeat the disease assessment in 4–6 weeks. Pseudoprogression was defined as tumors that met the ≥25% progressive disease threshold by bidimensional MR imaging area but improved spontaneously to a size of stable disease or smaller compared with initial imaging on subsequent scans. Provided that the patient did not show clinical deterioration consistent with tumor progression and subsequent MR imaging demonstrated tumor regression or stable disease, the patient could remain on treatment. If the repeat MR imaging after 4–6 weeks showed true radiographic disease progression, rather than pseudoprogression, then the date of progression was the date of the initial MR imaging, not the follow-up scan.

**Statistical Methods**

To examine associations between imaging parameters and outcome, we used only eligible and evaluable Phase II patients. OS was defined as the time interval from the date of treatment to the date of death from any cause or to the date of last follow-up. PFS was defined as the time interval from the date of treatment to the earliest date of failure (disease progression or death from any cause) for patients who failed or to the date of last contact for patients who had not failed.

Exact Wilcoxon rank sum tests were used to compare distributions of continuous imaging metrics among patient groups. For each of the imaging metrics, the baseline value, the post-RT change (defined as the value at the 10-week post-RT scan minus the baseline value), and the time-dependent longitudinal change in the metrics during the course of treatment were examined for associations with outcome (PFS and OS) using Cox regression models. Overall survival was compared among those with pseudoprogression versus those with true early progression using an exact log-rank test. For exploratory analyses examining associations with outcome (OS and PFS), unadjusted P values are reported along with Q values based on the false discovery rate, given the large number of statistical tests performed. These were calculated using the fdrtool package in R (http://www.r-project.org). Q values less than a fixed threshold of 0.1 were considered significant.

**RESULTS**

**Patients**

Of 53 patients enrolled in the study, 1 patient withdrew before therapy and 2 patients received <1 full dose of veliparib, leaving 50 patients evaluable for survival analyses. Forty-three patients died, and 45 experienced a PFS event. Seven patients had suspected pseudoprogression confirmed by repeat imaging and were compared with 20 patients identified as having true progression in the first 6 months of treatment. None of the patients had intratumoral hemorrhage, and no biopsy was performed.

**MR Imaging Evaluation**

Most of the tumors were T1-hypointense and T2-hyperintense at presentation. Larger baseline tumor volumes on FLAIR were associated with worse PFS ($P = .01$, $Q = 0.079$) but not OS. Larger enhancing tumor volumes at baseline were associated with worse OS ($P = .005$, $Q = 0.047$) and PFS ($P = .01$, $Q = 0.070$). Larger percentage increases in FLAIR tumor volume with RT correlated with worse PFS ($P = .01$, $Q = 0.084$). Larger increases in enhancing tumor volume with RT correlated with worse PFS ($P = .01$, $Q = 0.078$). Volumetric analysis showed no difference between pseudoprogression and true early progression groups.

**DCE Permeability**

Associations of higher baseline mean $K^{trans}$ ($n = 22$) and shorter OS ($P = .03$, $Q = 0.102$) and PFS ($P = .03$, $Q = 0.105$) trended toward significance. When analyzed as continuous time-dependent variables, associations of mean $v_c$ with PFS ($P = .03$, $Q = 0.104$) and OS ($P = .03$, $Q = 0.105$) and maximum $K^{trans}$ with PFS ($P = .03$, $Q = 0.102$) trended toward significance. Greater increases with time were associated with worse outcomes (Fig 1). Permeability metrics showed no difference between pseudoprogression and true early progression groups.

**DSC Perfusion**

Higher baseline rCBV was associated with shorter PFS ($P = .02$, $Q = 0.089$) and OS ($P = .006$, $Q = 0.055$). When analyzed as a time-dependent variable, an increase in rCBV with time was associated with shorter OS ($P = .004$, $Q = 0.043$) and PFS ($P < .001$, $Q < .001$). Post-RT change in rCBV was not associated with survival or pseudoprogression.

**ADC Histogram Analyses**

Associations of higher baseline mode_ADC_enhancing with longer PFS ($P = .03$, $Q = 0.106$) and of greater post-RT increase in skewness_ADC_FLAIR with longer PFS ($P = .03$, $Q = 0.102$) trended toward significance. Mode_ADC_FLAIR showed a greater decrease after RT for true early progression compared with tumors that showed pseudoprogression (means, $−268.15$ versus $−26.11$, $P = .01$) (Fig 2).

A complete set of results of all relevant statistical tests can be found in the On-line Tables 1–7.

**DISCUSSION**

While some early studies concluded that standard imaging could not predict survival in DIPG,18 several more recent studies have shown metrics derived from standard imaging, such as baseline and post-RT changes on FLAIR, enhancing tumor volume, and the presence or absence of enhancement at baseline, are correlated with survival.19–21 Our results showing associations between larger baseline FLAIR and enhancing tumor...
volumes and survival are further confirmation of the role of standard MR imaging in predicting survival.

Advanced MR imaging techniques such as DSC and DCE-MR perfusion imaging, arterial spin-labeling, diffusion imaging including ADC histograms and functional diffusion maps, MR spectroscopy, and PET have proved increasingly useful in characterizing the heterogeneity in DIPG for predicting survival and may even provide insight into molecular subgroups.

Our DCE-MR imaging findings show that increased baseline mean \( K_{\text{trans}} \) was associated with shorter survival, which again suggests that greater perfusion in enhancing tumors indicates a worse prognosis. The association of increased \( v_e \) and shorter survival found in this study is consistent with an earlier report linking higher \( v_e \) to higher grade tumors in a pediatric population. \( v_e \) is the extravascular extracellular volume fraction of the tissue and, thus, is dependent on the cellularity as well as the vascularity of the tumor. Because both cellularity and vascularity change with treatment, our findings suggest that the rate of change of \( v_e \) during the course of treatment could be used to monitor the efficacy of treatment. A rapid increase in \( v_e \) may indicate an ineffective treatment regimen.

Our findings of higher baseline rCBV as well as an increase of rCBV during treatment being associated with shorter survival is in agreement with earlier findings reported by Hipp et al as well as other studies showing that enhancement at baseline and across time is associated with a worse prognosis. Sedlack et al, however, found that tumors with a higher baseline cerebral blood flow measured by DSC and a higher increase in these metrics through RT had a longer PFS. Similarly, Calmon et al found that higher rCBV measured immediately following RT correlated with longer PFS. Higher baseline rCBV has, however, been linked to increased angiogenesis and a biopsy-confirmed higher grade in DIPG. The lack of consistent DSC perfusion findings in DIPG is likely due to differences in acquisition and postprocessing parameters, susceptibility artifacts associated with the location of the tumor in the brain stem, and also the challenges of choosing a representative 2D tumor ROI, given the tumor

**FIG 1.** Large increase with time in rCBV and mean \( v_e \) in a 6.6-year-old female short-term survivor (OS = 124 days) with DIPG. Increase in rCBV with time was associated with shorter PFS (\( P = .004, Q = .043 \)) and OS (\( P < .001, Q < .001 \)). An increase in mean \( v_e \) was marginally associated with shorter PFS (\( P = .028, Q = .104 \)) and OS (\( P = .030, Q = .105 \)).
heterogeneity seen in DIPG. In addition, it has been shown that there are rapid changes in cerebral blood volume and flow in DIPG both during RT and also immediately following RT. The time point when DSC perfusion is measured during therapy is therefore critical and may also explain the divergent findings in the literature.

A greater post-RT increase in skewness_ADC_FLAIR implies a larger post-RT drop in ADC and was associated with longer survival in our study, and correlates with published data from a large cohort. The drop in ADC could be due to a decrease in vasogenic edema, indicating that the radiation therapy is effective.

We report on 7/50 (14%) cases of pseudoprogression in this DIPG cohort. A post-RT decrease in mode_ADC_FLAIR was significantly lower in those showing pseudoprogression compared with those who went on to show true early progression in our study. While pseudoprogression is well-documented in adult glioblastomas treated with RT + TMZ, typically seen within the first 3 months after radiation, there are very few reports on DIPG. Chassot et al reported 4/22 (18%) cases of pseudoprogression in children with DIPG treated with RT + TMZ and found no difference in overall survival between pseudoprogression and true progression cases. Carceller et al reported 6/44 (13.6%) cases of pseudoprogression in a DIPG cohort treated with various different treatment regimens and found no difference in overall survival between pseudoprogression and true progression groups. We also observed no evidence of a significant difference in overall survival among our patients with pseudoprogression versus those with true early progression (P = .75). In a peptide-based vaccine DIPG trial, Ceschin et al found that parametric response maps of ADC were able to retrospectively identify 4/21 (19%) cases of pseudoprogression and reported better overall survival in these cases. More recently, Calmon et al reported 19/43 (44%) cases of pseudoprogression in a DIPG cohort treated with RT along with various concurrent chemotherapy agents in 37 of the cases. There are thus very few cases of pseudoprogression reported in the literature, confounded by the fact that they have all undergone varying treatment regimens. In addition, the definition of pseudoprogression is inconsistent across these reports and affects the frequency of the occurrence of pseudoprogression reported.

While most previous studies have retrospectively compared diffusion and perfusion properties of pseudoprogression and true progression groups at the time of radiologic progression, our results show post-RT changes in ADC histograms that precede radiologic progression and could be used to predict pseudoprogression. A recent report by Calmon et al found differences in post-RT changes in DSC perfusion between pseudoprogression and true progression groups, confirming the usefulness of looking at post-RT changes in DIPG as a predictive radiologic marker of pseudoprogression. Because pseudoprogression is most often seen within the first 3 months after RT, it is plausible that radiosensitivity and response to radiation affect the incidence of pseudoprogression.

Our understanding of DIPG has changed in the past few years with the advent of biopsy for DIPG and the subsequent discovery of novel histone and genetic mutations. This study preceded many of these findings and could benefit from genetic and molecular information available from biopsy data. The methodology outlined in this study, particularly the 3D volumetric ADC histogram analyses, may be particularly well-suited to study these tumors. Future work will focus on correlating these advanced diffusion, perfusion, and permeability metrics with molecular signatures.

CONCLUSIONS

The data from this study demonstrate that higher baseline FLAIR and enhancing tumor volumes, rCBV, and mean Ktrans are all associated with shorter survival in newly diagnosed DIPGs treated with veliparib given concurrently with focal radiation, followed by maintenance therapy of veliparib + TMZ in children with DIPG. Smaller percentage increases in FLAIR tumor volume, smaller increases in enhancing tumor volume, smaller increases in skewness of ADC_FLAIR, and larger decreases of mean_ADC_enhancing were associated with longer survival. Higher increases with time in rCBV and vE in the course of treatment were associated with shorter survival. Tumors with pseudoprogression had a smaller post-RT
decrease in mode_ADC_FLAIR than those that had true early progressive disease. These results incorporating advanced diffusion, perfusion, and permeability metrics and the association with survival and pseudoprogression will need to be validated in larger prospective clinical trials.
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