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MR Imaging Differences in the Circle of Willis between Healthy Children and Adults


ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: Asymmetries in the circle of Willis have been associated with several conditions, including migraines and stroke, but they may also be age-dependent. This study examined the impact of age and age-dependent changes in cerebral perfusion on circle of Willis anatomy in healthy children and adults.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: We performed an observational, cross-sectional study of bright and black-blood imaging of the proximal cerebral vasculature using TOF-MRA and T2 sampling perfection with application-optimized contrasts by using different flip angle evolution (T2-SPACE) imaging at the level of the circle of Willis in 23 healthy children and 43 healthy adults (4–74 years of age). We compared arterial diameters measured manually and cerebral perfusion via pseudocontinuous arterial spin-labeling between children and adults.

RESULTS: We found that the summed cross-sectional area of the circle of Willis is larger in children than in adults, though the effect size was smaller with T2-SPACE-based measurements than with TOF-MRA. The circle of Willis is also more symmetric in children, and nonvisualized segments occur more frequently in adults than in children. Moreover, the size and symmetry of the circle of Willis correlate with cerebral perfusion.

CONCLUSIONS: Our results demonstrate that the circle of Willis is different in size and symmetry in healthy children compared with adults, likely associated with developmental changes in cerebral perfusion. Further work is needed to understand why asymmetric vascular development supersedes in some but not all adults.

ABBREVIATIONS: AcomA = anterior communicating artery; CoW = circle of Willis; CoW-area = overall CoW size; CoW-di = CoW deviation index; ICC = intraclass correlation coefficient; pCASL = pseudocontinuous arterial spin-labeling; PcomA = posterior communicating artery

First described by Thomas Willis in the 1600s, the circle of Willis (CoW) is a vascular ring that functionally lies between the distal ICAs and basilar arteries, and the proximal anterior, middle, and posterior cerebral arteries (Fig 1). A complete CoW is often viewed as a mechanism to provide protective collateral flow. Asymmetries in the CoW may be associated with increased stroke risk, particularly in people with carotid stenosis or aneurysms. Recent studies have noted an increase in asymmetries with age, but these studies have been limited to adults, with most adults reported older than 40 years of age.

In detailed postmortem studies since 1905, completely absent segments of the CoW are rare, on the order of ~3%–5%. However, asymmetries of the CoW due to hypoplastic or “functionally absent” segments are much more common, with estimates of approximately 30%–60% in adults. Hypoplastic segments are also common with older age in a heterogeneous clinical population of older adults. However, despite >3 decades of MRA use, there are presently scant normative CoW imaging data in children. Studies of the CoW in children are limited...
to a postmortem study\textsuperscript{15} and to those with neuropathology, including brain tumors treated with radiation,\textsuperscript{16} neurofibromatosis,\textsuperscript{17} neonatal stroke,\textsuperscript{18} and prematurity.\textsuperscript{19} There remains a need for evaluation of the CoW in healthy children in comparison with adults.

The CoW is particularly unique with respect to the possible vascular effects of cerebral perfusion changes because it represents a redundancy in proximal cerebral circulation.\textsuperscript{1} Cerebral perfusion and CBF peak in the first decade of life and then primarily in association with increased CBF during childhood.

**Vessel Segment Nomenclature**

Definition and nomenclature of the vessel segments comprising the CoW and major cerebral arteries follow current clinical convention (Fig 1). On the basis of prior modeling work,\textsuperscript{30} we applied a slightly simplified definition of the CoW as comprising 7 segments: bilateral A1, P1, and PcomA segments, and a single anterior communicating artery (AcomA). The ICA termini and basilar apex are defined as inflow segments, and the M1, A2, and P2 segments, as outflow segments, and neither are included in the simplified CoW definition. The ICA segments are labeled from C1 proximally in the upper neck through C7 at the terminus, according to Bouthillier et al,\textsuperscript{31} in 1996.

**Vessel Diameter Measurement**

Vessel measurements were performed on both TOF-MRA and T2-SPACE sequences independently. The source TOF-MRA and T2-SPACE images were analyzed using RadiAnt DICOM Viewer software (Version 4 or 5; Medixant). A board-certified neuroradiologist (M.S.G., with >3 years of postfellowship experience) trained and supervised reader 1 (N.G., an undergraduate student) to perform vessel-diameter measurements. Measurements were
performed using the RadiAnt default window settings to avoid windowing bias. Measurements on all vessel segments from a subset of participants \((n = 15)\) were repeated by both reader 1 and reader 2 (J.G., a neuroradiology fellow) to assess intra- and inter-rater reliability and to confirm the accuracy of measurements by reader 1.

The diameters of C7, distal basilar artery, M1, M2, A1, A2, P1, and P2 segments, AcomA, PcomA, and superior cerebellar arteries were measured on both the TOF-MRA and T2-SPACE images. On the TOF-MRA, the readers made additional measurements of the ICA segments C1-C5/C6, the proximal and mid-basilar artery, anterior inferior cerebellar artery, PICA, and vertebral arteries because the TOF-MRA sequence had a larger craniocaudal FOV. The procedure for measurement included multiplanar reconstruction with the double-oblique technique to identify the mid-cross-section orthogonal to the course of each vessel segment being measured (Fig 2). Care was taken to define the edge of a vessel consistently due to partial volume effects. Readers measured 2 perpendicular diameters at this cross-section using the ruler tool in RadiAnt and then averaged the 2 to produce a final diameter. Nonvisualized segments were assigned a value of zero.

**Topologic Analysis of the CoW**

We assessed the total summed cross-sectional area of the 7 measured segments of the CoW to determine its overall size (CoW-area). To quantify asymmetries and grade differences between hypoplastic and missing segments while also remaining indifferent to which segments caused asymmetries, we reduced the CoW topology a priori to a single variable defined as the CoW deviation index (CoW-di). We calculated CoW-di as follows: First, we normalized each segment diameter to the mean diameter from all 7 segments within the same CoW. Next, we created a cohort-average CoW, defined as the set of mean segment ratios derived from all participants, children and adults. Finally, a CoW-di for each individual was calculated as the total Euclidean distance between the 7 segment ratios of the individual CoW and the cohort-averaged CoW (Fig 3). A low CoW-di indicates that the relative sizes of the CoW segments are similar to those of the cohort-averaged CoW, whereas a high CoW-di indicates deviation from the group, either due to higher degree of anterior-posterior and/or left-right asymmetry, including that arising from nonvisualized segments (which were assigned a zero diameter).

**Cerebral Perfusion Analysis**

The pCASL data were first preprocessed using a uniform pipeline that included the following: 1) skull stripping with the FSL Brain Extraction Tool (Version 6.0; http://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fslwiki/BET); 2) motion correction using MCFLIRT from FSL (https://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fslwiki/MCFLIRT), and 3) spatial smoothing using in-house Matlab code (MathWorks). CBF was then calculated from pCASL using Equation 1.32

\[
\frac{1}{\bar{f}} = \frac{\lambda}{2aM_0[\exp(-wR_{a})] - \exp(-(\tau + w)R_{a})},
\]

where \(\bar{f}\) is CBF, \(\lambda (0.9 \text{ g/mL})\) is the blood/tissue water partition coefficient, \(R_{a} (1/\text{blood T1 value})\) is the longitudinal relaxation
rate of blood, \( \alpha \) is the tagging efficiency, \( M_0 \) is the equilibrium magnetization of brain, \( \tau \) is the duration of the labeling pulse, and \( w \) is the postlabeling delay time. We assigned blood T1 values by age group after measuring them manually from the fast inversion recovery sequence in 56 individuals and averaging them by ages (4–11, 12–20, 21–40, 41–60, 61–80 years of age). The resulting CBF maps were then summarized to ROIs defined by the Desikan-Killiany and subcortical atlases following registration to individual MPRAGE sequences that were processed by FreeSurfer Version 5.3 (http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu) as discussed further below.

**Whole-Brain Blood Flow Quantitation**

We obtained phase-contrast MR imaging sequences to measure blood velocities and cross-section areas of the distal cervical ICAs and vertebral arteries in a subset of individuals. These were measured using the Vitrea software (Version 6.9.2; Vital Images) semi-automated flow-quantification tool within the cardiac flow program. Flow within each vessel was summed to compute whole-brain blood flow.

**Brain Structure Volumetric Analysis**

Individual MPRAGE image volumes were analyzed with FreeSurfer, Version 5.3 (default options), to provide estimates of intracranial volume, whole-brain volume, gray and white matter volumes, ventricular volumes, and regional cortical and subcortical volumes. Cortical segmentation was performed according to the Desikan-Killiany atlas.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using the statistical programming language R statistical and computing software, Version 3.5.2 and above (http://www.r-project.org/) and SAS software (Version 9.4; SAS Institute). Despite a priori hypotheses that the CoW would be larger and more symmetric in children, \( P \) values are provided for these comparisons first from the conservative 2-tailed \( t \) test for initial analysis with TOF-MRA measurements and then the 1-tailed \( t \) test for confirmatory analysis with the T2-SPACE measurements because the directionality of difference is already known. The Mann-Whitney \( U \) (Wilcoxon rank sum) test was also performed when there was significant skew in the distributions. Descriptive statistics, confidence intervals, and additional specific statistical tests are detailed in the Results section.

**Data Availability**

Individual numeric measurements and raw imaging data obtained in this study will be provided on reasonable request.

**RESULTS**

A total of 66 participants underwent MR imaging in this study, ranging from 4 to 74 years of age (children: 4–18 years, \( n = 23 \); younger adults: 19–40 years, \( n = 23 \); older adults: 41–74 years, \( n = 20 \)), including a similar number of males and females (34 males, 32 females). Five participants were excluded due to qualitatively noisy or incomplete TOF data, leaving data from 61 participants (19 children, 42 adults) for further analysis. Of these 61 participants, 7 had qualitatively noisy or incomplete T2-SPACE data (4 children, 3 adults) that was not included in subsequent analysis.

**Intra- and Interrater Reliability of Manual Vessel Diameter Measurements**

Vessel diameter measurements (68 total measurements across both sequences) were repeated for a subset comprising 15 participants (~1000 total measurements) twice by reader 1 and once by

\[
CoW - d_{i} = \sqrt{(ACOM_i - ACOM)^2 + \cdots + (Right P1_i - Right P1)^2}
\]
FIG 4. Differences in CoW size and symmetry in children versus adults. The total CoW cross-sectional area was measured in healthy children (n = 19) and adults (n = 42) on TOF-MRA images as described in the text. The mean total CoW cross-sectional area was larger in children than in adults (children’s mean CoW-area = 27.7 mm² versus adults’ mean CoW-area = 17.8 mm², P < .0001). Note that T2-SPACE measurements replicated these results, though with a smaller effect size as discussed in the text. CoW topology in children also more closely resembled the average topology found in the whole group, despite there being more adults in the whole group (children’s mean CoW-di = 0.48 versus adults’ mean CoW-di = 0.84, P < .001). As described in the text, the difference in CoW topology is, in part, due to a higher prevalence of nonvisualized CoW segments in adults.

reader 2. Across participants, the intrarater reliability was excellent (intraclass correlation coefficient [ICC] = 0.96, range = 0.89–0.98 across individual participants) with low bias and mean absolute deviation (mean bias = 0.02 mm, mean absolute deviation = 0.216 mm). Interrater reliability was also excellent (ICC = 0.86, range = 0.79–0.92), but there was more bias (mean bias = 0.49 mm); after correcting for this bias, the mean absolute deviation was low (mean absolute deviation = 0.31 mm), suggesting a systematic cause for the bias. After we reviewed the measurement procedure with each reader, this bias likely occurred due to differences in how each reader accounted for partial volume effects at the margins of the vessel lumen. When comparing the mean diameter measurements of C7, M1, and the distal basilar artery with those published recently for a large cohort of adults, the measurements of reader 1 (N.G.) are closer to previously published radii (published: C7 = 1.7 mm, M1 = 1.1 mm, distal basilar = 1.3 mm; reader one: 1.7, 1.3, 1.6 mm; reader two: 2.0, 1.6, 1.7 mm, respectively). Reader 1 also completed measurements in all participants. Primary measurements by reader 1 are, accordingly, used for the remainder of the analysis.

TOF-MRA versus T2-SPACE Measurements

The intra- and interrater reliabilities across all participant data were similar when calculated independently for the TOF-MRA and T2-SPACE measurements (TOF-MRA: intrarater ICC = 0.91, interrater ICC = 0.89; T2-SPACE: intrarater ICC = 0.93, interrater ICC = 0.90). The mean difference between the TOF-MRA and T2-SPACE measurements was 0.147 mm (interquartile range = 0.126–0.168 mm) with limits of agreement ranging from −0.582 to 0.876 mm. Although the overall difference between TOF-MRA and T2-SPACE (TOF-MRA measurements were on average larger) was statistically significant (paired t test, P < .001), vessel diameter did not influence the difference between TOF-MRA and T2-SPACE because proportional bias was not significant (slope = 0.0003, P = .984). Further analysis of the circle of Willis did, however, reveal an age effect on the differences between TOF-MRA and T2-SPACE on the A1 and P1 segments bilaterally (Pearson r = −0.33 to −0.70, all P < .05), but not the PcomA and AcomA segments (r = −0.25 to −0.08, all P > .05), suggesting that age-related CBF differences may mediate differences between TOF-MRA and T2-SPACE.

CoW Size and Topology in Children versus Adults

The total cross-sectional area of the CoW based on TOF-MRA was, on average, 56% larger in children than in adults (CoW-area: children mean = 27.7 mm² [95% CI, 24.1–31.2] versus adults mean = 17.8 mm² [95% CI, 16.5–19.1]; t test, P < .001) (Fig 4A and Table). With the T2-SPACE data, the CoW was, on average, 17% larger in children (CoW-area: children mean = 16.6 mm² [14.5–18.7 mm²] versus adults’ mean = 14.2 mm² [13.0–15.5 mm²]; t test, P < .05). CoW topology also differed between children and adults. Children had a lower CoW-di, reflecting greater symmetry, than adults with both the TOF-MRA measurements (CoW-di: children’s mean = 0.48 [95% CI, 0.35–0.62] versus adults’ mean = 0.84 [95% CI, 0.69–0.99]; Mann-Whitney U test, P < .01) (Fig 3B) and T2-SPACE data (CoW-di: children’s mean = 0.68 [0.53–0.83] versus adults’ mean = 0.93 [0.77–1.08]; P < .05, Mann-Whitney U test, P < .05). Among 133 potential CoW segments in 19 children, 5 were nonvisualized among 5 children (3.8% of vessel segments, 3 PcomAs and 2 AcomAs; 26% of the 19 children), whereas among 294 potential CoW segments in 42 adults, 31 were nonvisualized among 23 adults (10.5% of vessel segments, 2 A1s, 18 PcomAs, 4 P1s, and 7 AcomAs; 55% of the 42 adults). The odds of a nonvisualized
AcomA size relationship is generalizable to other cohorts. CoW data sets is needed to determine whether this asymmetry in A1 and AcomA segment (\(P_{\text{test}} = 0.36; 95\% \text{ CI}, 0.11–0.96; P < .05\)). Further analysis of other CoW data sets is needed to determine whether this asymmetry in A1–AcomA size relationship is generalizable to other cohorts.

**DISCUSSION**

Since the anatomic and functional exposition of the CoW by Thomas Willis in the 1600s,\(^7\) numerous studies of the CoW have been published in a variety of research domains. The CoW is often viewed in the context of providing protective collateral flow to the cerebral arteries in case of flow restriction within the internal carotid or basilar arteries. The importance of this protection is currently most evident in older patients with atherosclerotic carotid or vertebrobasilar occlusive disease. The CoW may also protect against direct compression of the carotid artery in the neck and thereby reduce the effects of choking or neck trauma—a role that might have been more evolutionarily pertinent.

Yet, the CoW may also have physiologic roles beyond providing protective collateral circulation.\(^34\) The current study suggests that the CoW is both larger and more visibly complete in healthy children than in adults; this feature is associated with developmental differences in CBF. It is possible, then, that the CoW also provides a developmental function, namely in adjudicating flow between the anterior and posterior circulations during normal brain development and maturation. During early infant brain...
development, metabolism is higher in the occipital lobes and thalami, which are predominantly supplied by the posterior cerebral arteries and branches arising from the P1 and PcomA segments. As the brain matures, brain metabolism and blood flow increase in other parts of the brain—most prominently in the medial and prefrontal regions. Thus, there is an overall developmental shift from the posterior-to-anterior circulation—predominant CBF. Although changes in carotid or basilar artery size could accommodate such changes, the CoW is well-positioned to assist in this transition. Indeed, prenatal cerebrovascular development is associated with additional anastomoses between the carotid and vertebrobasilar circulation that typically regress before birth. The CoW might represent a later stage of cerebrovascular development, though with limited regression that occurs only in some adults.

Our finding that whole-brain CBF is associated with CoW size and topology is consistent with the relationship between flow and the product of cross-sectional area and blood velocity. The relationship between cerebral artery size and blood flow is further well-established in the context of arteriovenous malformation, in which the feeding arteries are typically much enlarged and then might normalize following obliteration of the arteriovenous malformation. Our study did not include blood-velocity measurements in the CoW. MR imaging–based velocity measurements in the A1 segment do identify mildly higher velocities in children compared with adults, though the difference in 1 study was small. Such newer MR imaging–based flow-quantification methods as well as the transcranial Doppler method would help to fill in this gap and determine whether changes in CBF are also associated with changes in blood velocity within the CoW.

Nonvisualized segments in the CoW were notably rare in children (3.8% of all vessel segments) and similar to that reported in postmortem series (~3%–5%). Nonvisualized segments were more common in adults (10.5%), likely due to the overall smaller size of the CoW and decreased CBF that occurs in normal aging. This finding suggests that studies of functionally absent or hypoplastic CoW segments in this context should include measures of both age and CBF as a potential mediator of their findings. Our proportion of adults with nonvisualized segments (55%) is comparable with other studies in adults, though it was less frequent than in a recently reported population-based study suggesting that up to 88% of adults have a nonvisualized segment; however, this study exclusively examined adults 40–90 years of age, whereas half of our adult population was younger than 40 years of age, and we did not include any adults older than 80 years. Our study was also purposefully biased toward healthy individuals. Further work is needed to understand why the older population has decreased CBF and increased asymmetries and whether these might be a result of normal decreasing CBF and metabolic changes in the brain, pathologic changes in the brain such as cerebrovascular disease, or some combination of these factors.

An important strength of our study was the replication of key findings with both a bright-blood, flow-sensitive sequence (TOF-MRA) and a black-blood, anatomic sequence (T2-SPACE). Both sequences demonstrated the CoW to be larger and more symmetric in children than in adults. However, the effect size was considerably larger with TOF-MRA-based measurements than with T2-SPACE (eg, 56% versus 17% difference in CoW-area). Because differences between TOF-MRA- and T2-SPACE-based arterial diameters were, in part, related to the participant’s age, we propose that some of this difference might arise from differences in cerebral blood flow exaggerating flow-related enhancement and thereby the apparent vessel diameters in children/adults on the TOF-MRA images. Our findings, thus, prescribe caution when using only TOF-MRA or T2-SPACE to determine vessel diameters, particularly if CBF is expected to vary; applying >1 sequence with different underlying assumptions and artifacts may allow convergent findings.

There are important limitations to the current study. First, whereas the diameter measurements showed excellent intrarater reliability, there was a small systematic interrater bias in these measurements that was likely due to resolution limitations. Future improvements in MR imaging technology and sequence development will likely result in higher resolution methods that provide higher precision and accuracy. Also, whereas these data provide initial measures of the CoW in healthy children in comparison with adults, use of these measures as a normative control for other studies should be avoided because data limitations include reader- and sequence-specific biases, the modest number of children in this study, and a cohort representative of only a single institution and its surrounding community.

CONCLUSIONS

We found that the CoW is larger and more symmetric in children, associated with developmental and aging changes in CBF. Our study suggests that further investigation is warranted into how and why asymmetries arise in the CoW during typical aging or in the context of disease.
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