Otosclerosis: comparison of complex-motion tomography and computed tomography.

K Chintapalli, J M Unger, K Shaffer and S J Millen

AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 1985, 6 (1) 85-89
http://www.ajnr.org/content/6/1/85

This information is current as of October 28, 2023.
A prospective computed tomographic and complex-motion tomographic evaluation was performed in 18 suspected or proven cases of otosclerosis. Although there was good agreement between both methods, there was no greater diagnostic accuracy with computed tomography. However, computed tomography demonstrated the changes better than complex-motion tomography.

Otosclerosis is a primary disease of the labyrinthine capsule. It usually occurs in the second decade of life and is manifested by slowly progressive hearing loss. Although the diagnosis has traditionally been established by history, clinical examination, and audiometry, it is not always conclusive. Since the 1960s complex-motion tomography has been available as an ancillary diagnostic measure, but not until the late 1970s was computed tomography (CT) sufficiently refined to determine subtle changes within the temporal bone. Because the superior contrast resolution of CT has been shown to compensate for the better spatial resolution of complex-motion tomography [1–5], we elected to use both methods in a group of patients with otologic evidence of otosclerosis to evaluate the potential advantages of one technique over the other.

Pathology

The initial site of the otosclerotic process [6] is the fissula ante fenestram, a plate of cartilage in the labyrinthine capsule immediately anterior to the oval (vestibular) window. As the disease advances there is involvement of the annular ligament of the stapedial footplate with eventual fibrous fixation of the footplate within the oval window. Subsequent changes progress to involve the round (cochlear) window and basal turn. In late stages, there may be involvement of the remaining otic capsular structures and petrosa.

Fixation of the stapes in the oval window and/or obliteration of the round window produces conductive hearing loss. Sensorineural hearing loss is a result of more advanced involvement of the otic capsule.

On histopathologic sections (fig. 1) there is initially destruction of endochondral bone with the formation of spaces by resorption. This is seen as spongiotic change on radiographs and implies active disease. Remodeling with new, well mineralized bone produces the sclerotic changes associated with mature disease.

Subjects and Methods

Eighteen patients with clinical and audiometric findings consistent with otosclerosis, or prior surgery for otosclerosis, were evaluated. Complex-motion tomography (hypocycloidal) was done on a Philips Polytome unit in coronal and 20° semiaxial (Gillen) projections. Two mm sections through the temporal bone were supplanted by 1 mm sections through the oval and round window areas (fig. 2) CT was done on a GE 8800 CT/T scanner. A scout image
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was obtained for coronal CT scans in the supine position with the patient’s neck hyperextended. Gantry angulation was used only when the patient was unable to extend his neck fully. The region of the internal auditory canals was localized by three 5 mm scans. Overlapping 1.5 mm sections at 1 mm increments were target-reconstructed with a bone algorithm at a magnification of 3.2 and pixel size of 0.25 mm. Images were viewed on an extended scale at a window level of 400 and window width of 4000 H (fig. 3). The complex-motion tomograms and CT scans were interpreted independently and concurrently by three radiologists, two of whom subspecialize in otolaryngologic radiology. We looked for narrowing of the oval window by sclerotic bone or a thickened stapedial footplate, foci of demineralization adjacent to the oval or round windows, and spongiotic or sclerotic changes in the otic capsule, particularly in the basal turn of the cochlea. Measurements of the vestibular and cochlear windows and thickness of the stapedial footplate were considered imprecise and accordingly omitted.

Results

Among the 18 patients examined, there were 17 ears for which surgery had been performed for otosclerosis and 19 ears without surgery. The radiographic findings of the oval window, round window, and otic capsule were reviewed together. In both groups of patients there was essentially agreement between the complex-motion tomograms and CT scans. The hearing loss in operated patients in table 1 denotes the presurgical status. The radiographic results are tabulated in table 2. The complex-motion tomograms of one temporal bone in each group were considered suboptimal for technical reasons.

Discussion

Complex-motion tomography has been used to establish the diagnosis of otosclerosis [7–11] when clinical findings are equivocal and to evaluate the extent of disease when there is known involvement. In the preoperative patient with bilateral otosclerosis, it has been used as an ancillary means of selection of the side for surgery; postoperatively, the location of a prosthesis or progression of the disease process may be determined. We used CT for the same purposes, examples of which are provided in figures 4–8.

Diagnostic pitfalls in the radiographic diagnosis of otosclerosis include those that are equipment-related and those that

TABLE 1: Types of Hearing Loss in Patients with and without Previous Surgery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Hearing Loss</th>
<th>No. of Ears</th>
<th>Nonoperated</th>
<th>Operated*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conductive</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensorineural only</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal hearing</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Hearing loss is based on the presurgical status of the 12 patients who had had surgery.

TABLE 2: Correlation of CT and Complex-Motion Tomography in the Assessment of Otosclerosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Finding</th>
<th>CT Tomography</th>
<th>Nonoperated</th>
<th>Operated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18*</td>
<td>16*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Tomography was suboptimal in one case.

occur because of the anatomic peculiarities of the structures under investigation, particularly the labyrinthine windows. With complex-motion tomography, artifacts may be generated by phantom imaging of adjacent structures; there may also be unsharpness due to imperfect blurring. The principles of thin-section imaging are totally different with CT, and theoretically both of the above problems should be eliminated. We sought to correct for the anticipated partial-volume averaging on CT scans by using overlapping thin sections and found this to be effective. While the 20° semiaxial plane has been generally accepted as the most satisfactory for viewing the oval window with complex-motion tomography, there has been disagreement regarding the optimal plane for CT imaging [12, 13]. Because of the oblique orientation of the oval window, which lies in the plane of the medial wall of the middle ear, one would expect to demonstrate this structure best in the axial or 20° semiaxial (Guillen) projection. In practice, however, we agree with those who have found the coronal images equally reliable, and occasionally preferable [13]. Although the round window size (1–2 × 3 mm) is similar to that of the oval window (2.9 × 1.4 mm) [14], we have found the
round window more difficult to evaluate because of anatomic variations in the window and surrounding bone.

We found it surprising that spongiotic and questionable sclerotic otic capsule changes were demonstrated in only two patients despite the relatively high incidence of sensorineural involvement. We anticipated a greater sensitivity with CT than with tomography because of the superior contrast resolution but concluded that, if present, the changes were sufficiently
Fig. 7.—McGee piston prosthesis in patent oval window (arrowhead). Hearing in right ear improved after surgery.

Fig. 8.—A, Wire loop prosthesis placed after stapedectomy. Long limb of prosthesis (arrowheads) in good position. However, because of redesc of oval window (arrow), there was no improvement in hearing. B, Coronal CT scan, same patient. Redesc of oval window (arrow). Long limb of prosthesis is again noted.

subtle to avoid detection.

In conclusion, despite the generally higher level of confidence in interpretation, our results with CT showed no greater accuracy than those obtained with complex-motion tomography. We suggest that choice of investigation be determined by availability of equipment and cost of examination. Since radiation damage to the lens of the eye is negligible with proper use of either technique [15], this need not be a determining factor.
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