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Differentiation between Brain Glioblastoma Multiforme and Solitary Metastasis: Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis Based on Routine MR Imaging

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: The differentiation between cerebral GBM and solitary MET is clinically important and may be radiologically challenging. Our hypothesis is that routine MR imaging with qualitative and quantitative analysis is helpful for this differentiation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: Forty-five GBM and 21 solitary metastases were retrospectively identified, with their preoperative routine MR imaging analyzed. According to the comparison of the area of peritumoral T2 prolongation with that of the lesion, the tumors were classified into grade I (prolongation area ≤ tumor area) and grade II (prolongation area > tumor area). The signal intensities of peritumoral T2 prolongation were measured on T2WI and normalized to the values of the contralateral normal regions by calculating the ratios. The ratio (nSI) of both types of tumors was compared in grade I, grade II, and in tumors without grading. The best cutoff values to optimize the sensitivity and specificity were determined for optimal differentiation.

RESULTS: The nSI of GBM was significantly higher than that of MET without T2 prolongation grading (P < .001), resulting in AUC = 0.725. The difference was significant (P = .014) in grade I tumors (GBM, 38; MET, 9), with AUC = 0.741, and in grade II tumors (GBM, 7; MET, 12), with AUC = 0.869 (P = .017). Both types of tumors showed a different propensity in T2 prolongation grading (χ² = 12.079, P = .001).

CONCLUSIONS: Combined with qualitative and quantitative analysis of peritumoral T2 prolongation, routine MR imaging can help in the differentiation between brain GBM and solitary MET.

ABBREVIATIONS: AUC = area under the ROC curve; GBM = glioblastoma multiforme; MET = metastasis; nSI = normalized signal intensity; ROC = receiver operating characteristic; WHO = World Health Organization

Received January 3, 2012; accepted after revision February 14.

From the Department of Neuroimaging (X.Z.C., L.A., S.W.L., J.P.D.), Beijing Tiantan Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, P. R. China; Radiology Department (X.M.Y.), China Coal General Hospital, Beijing; Department of Neuroimaging (Q.C.), Beijing Neurosurgical Institute Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing.

C.X. and Y.X. contributed equally to this study.

This study is supported by the funding of Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant numbers: 81071139, 81071137, and 30770617) and training program of Beijing Health System high-level health and technical personnel (Grant number: 2011–3–0377).

Please address correspondence to Dai Jianping, MD, Department of Neuroimaging, Beijing Tiantan Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, P. R. China; Address: No. 6 Tiantan Xili, Chongwen District, Beijing, P. R. China, Postcode: 100050; e-mail: djpbeijing@hotmail.com

Indicates open access to non-subscribers at www.ajnr.org

http://dx.doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A3106

Copyright 2012 by American Society of Neuroradiology.
diagnosis of GBM or solitary MET were evaluated retrospectively. All patients had a previously untreated solitary enhancing brain tumor and peritumoral T2 prolonged signals, and had undergone routine brain MR examination before surgical resection at our institution between May 2009 and November 2010. Their tumors fulfilled the 2007 WHO histopathologic criteria for the diagnosis.\(^1\) Patients with hemorrhagic tumors were not included in the study because intratumoral hemorrhage may affect peritumoral T2 prolonged signals. Tumors with minimal peritumoral T2 prolongation (area <1/4 tumor area on the axial section where the tumor showed maximal diameter) were excluded.

The final diagnosis was based on intraoperative observations and histopathologic findings. Of the 66 patients, WHO grade IV GBM was diagnosed in 45 cases (30 men, 15 women; mean age 50.5 ± 12.4 years; range 21–72 years) and solitary MET was diagnosed in 21 cases (13 men, 8 women; mean age 54 ± 9.6 years; range 36–74 years). Metastatic brain tumors included carcinomas from lung (n = 1), ovary (n = 1), endometrium (n = 1), and unknown origin (n = 7).

### MR Imaging and Processing

All MR imaging examinations were performed within 7 days before surgery. The protocol included unaenhanced and enhanced sequences. The precontrast sequence consisted of axial T1WI, T2WI, and sagittal T1WI. Once the precontrast imaging was completed, 0.2 mL/kg gadopentetate dimeglumine (Magnevist; BayerHealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Wayne, New Jersey) was administered manually via the antecubital vein by a registered nurse. Postcontrast images, including the axial, sagittal, and coronal images, were obtained immediately after the administration of contrast media. Thirty patients were scanned on a Genesis Signa 3T scanner (GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, Wisconsin). A T1-weighted sequence (TR/TE, 512/6.6) and FSE T2WI (TR/TE, 4900/117) were performed with the same field of view (240 mm) and matrix (512 × 512). Thirty-six patients underwent MR imaging on another 3T superconducting MR scanner (Magnetom, Trio; Siemens, Erlangen, Germany). A T1-weighted turbo inversion recovery sequence (TR/TE, 2000/9.8) and T2-weighted turbo spin-echo scan (TR/TE, 4500/84) were obtained. The section thickness and gap were 5 mm and 6 mm, respectively, regardless of the scanner used.

Peritumoral T2 prolongation was defined as an area clearly outside the well-defined enhancing solid portion of the tumor that contained absolutely no enhancement and showed high signal intensity on T2WI. For internal control, normal-appearing mirrored regions were located on the contralateral hemisphere that contained no enhancement and showed normal signal intensity on T2WI.

To determine the peritumoral signal intensity, ROIs were placed in the regions of peritumoral T2 prolongation surrounding each tumor using the software Neusoft PACS (downloaded from http://www.neusoft.com). For each ROI in the peritumoral T2 prolongation, a mirror ROI was placed in the same anatomic region on the contralateral normal-appearing hemisphere. The placement of the mirror ROI avoided areas of necrotic tissue, cysts, and large vessels, as much as possible.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tumor</th>
<th>1st Measurement</th>
<th>2nd Measurement</th>
<th>Correlative Analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GBM</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.48</td>
<td>3.15 ± 0.5</td>
<td>P &lt; .001, r = .894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MET</td>
<td>2.73 ± 0.3</td>
<td>2.74 ± 0.36</td>
<td>P &lt; .001, r = .918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2.96 ± 0.46</td>
<td>3.02 ± 0.45</td>
<td>P &lt; .001, r = .912</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After placement of each ROI, the signal intensity on T2WI was automatically measured by the software. First, we selected all continuous sections that included the peritumoral T2 prolongation. To account for the heterogeneity of the prolongation, 4 uniformly round or ovoid ROIs (area 10–12 mm\(^2\)) were carefully placed in different regions of the peritumoral T2 prolongation by visual inspection. To avoid a transverse partial volume effect, the locations of ROIs were 3–4 mm away from the outer margins of the prolongation and the enhancing margin of the tumor, with reference to the same section on enhanced T1WI. To avoid longitudinal partial volume averaging, the chosen sections were covered by at least 1 section with T2 prolongation inferiorly and superiorly.

For each peritumoral ROI and its mirror counterpart, the nSI was calculated by dividing the signal intensity value of the ROI on the affected hemisphere by that of the mirror ROI on the contralateral hemisphere, similar to the previously published method.\(^1\) For each tumor, the nSI was defined as the mean ratio of the 4 pairs of ROIs and mirror ROIs. These measurements and calculations were performed independently by 2 experienced radiologists. The averaged nSI from the 2 observers was considered as the final nSI for the statistical analysis.

### Statistical Analysis

A 1-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to determine whether the data were in normal distribution. To compare the differences between the patient age, sex, and the nSI in different T2 prolongation grading, a \(t\) test or a \(t\) test was used. The nSIs produced by the 2 MR scanners were also compared with the \(t\) test to determine whether the measurement was affected by MR scanners. Correlative analysis was used to test the consistency of the 2 individual measurements made by the 2 radiologists. ROC analysis was applied to assess the best cutoff value of the nSI that had the optimal combination of the sensitivity and specificity in distinguishing between GBM and solitary MET. A \(x^2\) test was also used to test the difference of T2 prolongation grading between the 2 types of tumors.

Statistical analysis was performed on commercial statistical software (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, Version 13.0; SPSS, Chicago, Illinois). \(P\) values < .05 were considered statistically significant.

### Results

The data of patient age and nSI were in normal distribution. No difference between GBM and solitary MET in patient sex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tumor</th>
<th>Genesis Signa (n)</th>
<th>Magnetom Trio (n)</th>
<th>(P) Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GBM</td>
<td>3.16 ± 0.48 (19)</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.49 (26)</td>
<td>.676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MET</td>
<td>2.85 ± 0.35 (11)</td>
<td>2.61 ± 0.25 (10)</td>
<td>.098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3.05 ± 0.46 (30)</td>
<td>2.97 ± 0.48 (36)</td>
<td>.464</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(χ² = 0.175, P = .676), or patient age (P = .281) was found. There was high consistency between the 2 separate measurements from the 2 radiologists (Table 1). The peritumoral signal intensity was not influenced by different MR scanners (Table 2). With regard to the grading of peritumoral T2 prolongation, 47 cases were grade I (GBM, 38; MET, 9; Figs 1 and 2) and 19 cases were grade II (GBM, 7; MET, 12; Figs 3 and 4), showing a significant difference between GBM and MET (χ² = 12.079, P = .001). In addition, the final nSI of GBM was significantly higher than that of MET in grade I (P = .014) and grade II (P = .017), as well as both combined (P < .001; Fig 5). ROC analysis showed a larger AUC among grade II tumors (Table 3).

Discussion

In our study, qualitative analysis showed that GBM was more likely to have a grade I pattern and single MET was more likely to have a grade II pattern (χ² = 12.079, P = .001); quantitative analysis indicated that the nSI of GBM was significantly higher than that of MET (P < .001). Furthermore, ROC analysis demonstrated that the AUC increased from 0.741 to 0.869 as the peritumoral T2 prolongation aggravated from grade I to grade II, indicating that the larger the area of peritumoral T2 prolongation is, the more significant the difference in nSI is. This may be explained by different mechanisms of peritumoral T2 prolongation.

Generally speaking, the peritumoral T2 prolongation of the 2 types of tumors is vasogenic; however, the detailed mechanism is different. In MET, no histologic evidence of tumors has been found in the peritumoral region of T2 prolongation. The white matter fiber tracts in such regions are compressed and shifted. These regions are intrinsically normal brain parenchyma, with purely vasogenic edema caused by the disruption of the blood-brain barrier and increased interstitial water contents from leaky capillaries.  

Fig 1. GBM with peritumoral T2 prolongation of grade I. Postcontrast axial T1WI shows a heterogeneously enhancing lesion in the right parietal lobe with a maximal diameter of 4.5 cm (A). On the same section as A, axial T2WI demonstrates medium peritumoral T2 prolongation, which is smaller in area than the tumor (B). Two ROIs are placed in the prolonged region (black ring), with 2 mirror ROIs in the contralateral region (white ring). On the section inferior to B, another 2 ROIs are positioned in the hyperintense region and, accordingly, 2 mirror ROIs are placed in the contralateral region (C). For this measurement, the mean value of signal intensity is 852.5 for the prolonged region and 231.5 for the intact contralateral region. Thus, the nSI is 3.68 (852.5/231.5).

Fig 2. Solitary MET with peritumoral T2 prolongation of grade I. Postcontrast axial T1WI shows a heterogeneously enhancing lesion in the left frontal and anterior parietal lobes with a maximal diameter of 3.94 cm (A). On the same section as A, axial T2WI demonstrates medium peritumoral T2 prolongation, which is smaller in area than the tumor (B). On this section, 2 ROIs are placed in the prolonged region (black ring), with 2 mirror ROIs in the contralateral area (white ring). On the section superior to B, another 2 ROIs with 2 mirror ROIs are positioned in the prolongation region and the contralateral region, respectively (C). For this measurement, the mean value of signal intensity is 818.0 for the prolonged region and 280.5 for the intact contralateral region, respectively. Thus, the nSI is 2.92 (818.0/280.5).
white matter fiber tracts. Pathologically, GBM has 3 types of infiltration: infiltration with single cells, with cell nests, and with demarcation of a relatively sharp border. Histologically, neoplastic cells have been found in the T2 prolonged regions surrounding GBM. Therefore, the peritumoral T2 prolongation of GBM is caused by a combination of vasogenic edema and tumoral infiltration simultaneously. Further research demonstrated that the perifocal T2 prolongation of GBM not only includes invading tumor cells but also is associated with glial alterations in vital brain tissue. These differences in the mechanism of peritumoral T2 prolongation formation may explain our finding that the peritumoral nSI of GBM is higher than that of MET.

Many articles have been published comparing the differences between GBM and solitary MET via different imaging modalities. According to the anatomic location being researched, these can be divided into 3 types. The first type is focused on 2 anatomic locations: the enhancing portion of the tumor and the peritumoral regions with T2 prolongation. The second mainly deals with 1 location: either the enhancing part of the tumor or the surrounding hyperintense region on the T2WI. The third type is focused on 3 locations: the enhancing part of the tumor, regions with peritumoral T2 prolongation, and the necrotic areas within the tumor. With regard to the enhancing part of the tumor, DTI and perfusion metrics showed inconsistent conclusions, with some authors believing there was no difference between the 2 types of tumors, contrary to the others. In some research, the peritumoral T2 prolonged region was artificially divided into 2 parts: the proximal edema and the distal edema, and the results indicated that the proximal edema was helpful for the differentiation. In our opinion, to some extent, the discrepancies may be related to the bias of section selection and ROI positioning.

In this study, we focused only on the peritumoral T2 pro-

**Fig 3.** GBM with peritumoral T2 prolongation of grade II. Postcontrast axial T1WI reveals a ringlike enhancing lesion in the left frontal lobe with a maximal diameter of 4.05 cm (A). On the same section as A, axial T2WI demonstrates obvious peritumoral hyperintensity, which is larger in area than the tumor (B). Two ROIs are placed in the prolonged region (black ring), with 2 mirror ROIs in the contralateral side (white ring). On the section inferior to B, 2 additional ROIs are positioned in the prolonged region and, accordingly, 2 mirror ROIs are placed in the contralateral side (C). The nSI is 4.18 (692.5/165.5).

**Fig 4.** Solitary MET with peritumoral T2 prolongation of grade II. Postcontrast axial T1WI shows a ringlike enhancing lesion in the right frontal lobe with a maximal diameter of 3.6 cm (A). On the same section as A, axial T2WI demonstrates strong peritumoral T2 prolongation, which is larger in area than the tumor (B). On this section, 2 ROIs and 2 mirror ROIs are placed in the prolonged region (black ring) and contralateral area (white ring). On the section superior to A, another 2 ROIs with 2 mirror ROIs are positioned in the prolonged region and the contralateral region, respectively (C). The nSI is 2.75 (603.5/219.5).
longation and took it as a whole, without artificial division. The largest AUC of 0.869 is smaller than the previously reported observations (0.938 and 0.9815). Considering that this is from a routine MR examination, an imaging technique that is the least time-consuming and the most practical in different medical institutes, and a ROI positioning method that is least restrictive, we believe that the results of our study are acceptable and bear practical significance.

Our study has some limitations. As a retrospective study, it may have a selective bias inherent to clinical case series. Another limitation is the use of 2 different MR scanners with different parameters. This may influence the measurement of signal intensity to some extent. However, statistical analysis of data from the different scanners and imaging parameters did not support this speculation, indicating that our method may be universally useful. The third limitation is that the peritumoral T2 prolongation grading is not completely accurate. It would be more accurate if we had measured the volumes of the peritumoral T2 prolongation and tumor with automation by using software programs. We think, however, this grading system from volume calculation is bound to be a time-consuming procedure and may limit wide application in clinical settings. Finally, the number of cases with solitary MET may be too small to compare the peritumoral T2 prolongation of MET from different origins.

Conclusions
Combined with qualitative and quantitative analysis of peritumoral T2 prolongation, routine MR imaging can be helpful in distinguishing GBM from brain solitary MET. Given its availability and simplicity, we believe this method has practical significance.
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