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ABSTRACT

SUMMARY: Diving-related decompression illness is classified into 2 main categories: arterial gas embolism and decompression sickness. The latter is further divided into types 1 and 2, depending on the clinical presentation. MR imaging is currently the most accurate neuroimaging technique available for the detection of brain and spinal cord lesions in neurologic type 2 decompression sickness. Rapid bubble formation in tissues and the bloodstream during ascent is the basic pathophysiologic mechanism in decompression illness. These bubbles can damage the central nervous system through different mechanisms, namely arterial occlusion, venous obstruction, or in situ toxicity. Neuroimaging studies of decompression sickness have reported findings associated with each of these mechanisms: some typical results are summarized and illustrated in this article. We also review the limitations of previous work and make practical methodologic suggestions for future neuroimaging studies.

The term “decompression illness” encompasses all clinical manifestations induced by a rapid decrease of environmental pressure, sufficient to cause the formation of inert gas bubbles previously loaded within tissues or blood as a soluble phase. This can occur in various circumstances, including ascent from diving, flying or climbing mountains immediately after a dive, and exercising in hyperbaric/hypobaric chambers.1-3 Diving-related decompression illness is classified into 2 main categories: arterial gas embolism due to pulmonary decompression barotrauma and decompression sickness.4 There are 2 clinically defined types of decompression sickness. Type 1 refers to relatively mild symptoms such as joint pain, skin marbling, small patchy hemorrhages, and lymphatic obstruction. Conversely, type 2 includes more serious and often life-threatening symptoms and can be further divided into 4 subtypes or syndromes according to the organ affected—namely the brain, spinal cord, inner ear, or lung.5,6 Spinal cord lesions represent the majority of central nervous system insults associated with type 2 decompression sickness.7-9

Among the imaging modalities available to study neurologic type 2 decompression sickness, MR imaging clearly appears to be the most accurate for detecting pathologic changes in the brain and spinal cord.7,10 To date, several MR imaging studies of neurologic type 2 decompression sickness have been reported with different and often controversial results regarding the sensitivity and utility of this technique in patient evaluation and management.8,11-16 These discrepancies could be explained by lack of standardized imaging protocols, variable delays between symptom onset and image acquisition, and the high variability of the study design and technical devices. Our aim here is to provide a comprehensive review of neuroimaging studies of neurologic type 2 decompression sickness, discuss their limitations, and make proposals that could help improve the quality of future clinicoradiologic studies in the field.

Imaging Findings and Related Pathophysiologic Theories

The fundamental reason why decompression illness occurs is that during ascent from depth, gas bubbles form more rapidly in tissues and the bloodstream than the body can eliminate through diffusion and perfusion processes.8 At this point, some basic physics principles will help in understanding the mechanism of bubble formation. With increasing depth, the pressure of the air found in pulmonary alveoli increases because of compression at relatively constant temperature as stated by Boyle’s law. Therefore, the partial pressure of nitrogen in pulmonary alveoli also increases according to Dalton’s law. This change leads to an increase in nitrogen diffusion into the blood through the alveoli membrane according to Henry’s principle of soluble gas pressure equilibration on either side of a permeable membrane. With time,
increasing amounts of nitrogen dissolve and accumulate in lipid components of tissues. As a diver ascends, there is a sequential well-orchestrated release of the nitrogen dissolved in tissues and blood. The nitrogen in the alveoli expands first and is eliminated; then, the nitrogen in blood is eliminated and its partial pressure in blood decreases, thus allowing saturated tissues to release nitrogen back into the bloodstream for subsequent pulmonary elimination. During fast ascents, the process of progressive nitrogen release does not take place properly and there is premature expansion of the nitrogen trapped in tissues and blood, leading to excessive bubble formation and subsequent tissue damage.1,17

The critical role of nitrogen bubbles in tissue damage is highly supported by some typical radiologic findings. First, the high-fat content of the myelin sheath with high solubility of nitrogen in fat explains the preponderance of white matter lesions either in the brain or the spine of patients with neurologic decompression illness (Figs 1, 2, and 3A). Second, in spinal cord decompression sickness, there is predominant involvement of the thoracic cord segments (Figs 2 and 3B), which may be explained by the following: 1) their high fat content, allowing high concentrations of nitrogen during diving, especially in the lateral and posterior columns5,7,14,15; and 2) their relatively low mobility and blood flow, responsible for poor nitrogen bubble washout during ascent and hence a higher risk of ischemia.18-20

The exact mechanism through which nitrogen bubbles cause brain and spine damage is still highly debated, and there are currently 3 theories supporting 3 different pathophysiologic mechanisms: arterial occlusion, venous infarction, and in situ nitrogen toxicity. The following support the arterial occlusion theory: 1) the predominance of cerebral lesions in arterial gas embolisms with usually a clinical and radiologic stroke-like presentation (restricted diffusion appearing as hyperintensity on DWI with low values on the ADC map, high signal on T2WI, and usually no contrast enhancement) (Fig 3A).7,21,22 2) The finding that nitrogen bubbles can interrupt arterial blood supply to the brain and spine either by direct obstruction of small capillaries or by activation of pathologic clotting at the blood-bubble interface.23-26 This effect on clotting is increased in case of low hematocrit, explaining the higher risk of severe cardiopulmonary and neurologic decompression sickness in dehydrated divers.27-30 3) The higher prevalence of a patent foramen ovale in patients experiencing decompression illness could favor paradoxical embolization of either nitrogen bubbles or pathologically formed thrombi with subsequent ischemic infarcts in the brain41 or spine, where the collateral circulation network is less robust.42 4) The presence of extensive gray matter lesions in some neurologic decompression sickness cases—gray matter lesions being typically seen in arterial...
infarction processes. Hypoperfused areas compatible with embolic cerebral arterial occlusion are observed in some patients with decompression illness when using SPECT with hexamethylpropyleneamine oxime (HMPAO) marked with a metastable nuclear isomer of the radioisotope technetium Tc99m (the product is sometimes referred to as exametazime). On the other hand, various radiologic and histopathologic findings have been published to support the venous infarction theory. First, the more frequent occurrence of lateral and posterior column white matter lesions compared with gray matter lesions in spinal cord decompression sickness is more likely a consequence of an obstruction of the slow-flowing epidural venous bed by nitrogen bubbles, which leads to vasogenic edema (Figs 1 and 2). In some cases, there may be additional venous infarction (Fig 3B, -C). Similar lesions have been provoked experimentally in dogs. Second, several histopathologic studies have demonstrated venous obstruction and white matter congestion. Third, vasogenic edema, appearing as high signal on both DWI and ADC maps, was observed by Vollmann et al in 2011 in a case of spinal cord decompression sickness, also suggesting a venous rather than arterial pathologic process.

The third theory of in situ nitrogen toxicity is more speculative and posits that nitrogen bubbles found in intercellular spaces may have a direct toxic effect on neurons, causing alterations of the electrical properties of membranes and impairment of ion flow regulation with subsequent cytotoxic edema and cell death. Areas of necrosis may be viewed as high-signal lesions on T2WI and as low signal on T1WI. Additionally, bubbles within the myelin sheath might cause alterations of nerve conduction. This theory of in situ nitrogen toxicity, also called the “autochthonous theory,” may, at least partially, explain symptoms recorded in patients with type 1 decompression sickness, nitrogen narcosis, and type 2 decompression sickness without objective abnormalities on brain or spine MR imaging.

Authors of MR imaging studies of decompression sickness frequently report that the different clinicoradiologic patterns mentioned above overlap in the same patient, thereby suggesting that no single unifying pathophysiologic mechanism could completely explain this complex condition. Some have argued that there is a greater participation of arterial occlusion in brain lesions because they are more frequently described in cases of arterial gas embolism, while venous occlusion may play a greater role in spine lesions and may even be favored by local mechanical cord compression. Whatever the preponderant mechanism involved, the treatment is urgent hyperbaric therapy to allow nitrogen to dissolve and be expelled via the lungs. Some general rules have been derived from available MR imaging studies. First, any time a lesion is found on radiologic images, there is good correspondence with the clinical symptoms or syndromes described. Second, normal MR imaging findings of the spinal cord do not rule out a diagnosis of decompression illness. Third, in regard to evolution and prognosis, clinicoradiologic discrepancies have been observed (either worsening of lesions on MR imaging despite clinical improvement as shown in Fig 2 or improvement in MR imaging lesions despite neurologic deterioration). Furthermore, patients with hemorrhagic cord injury have the worst neurologic outcome; patients with mere spinal cord edema do better; and patients with normal-appearing spinal cords...
consistently have a good outcome, though the lack of signal abnormalities on MR imaging does not necessarily mean that the patient will not develop sequelae because the negative predictive value of an investigation with normal findings is 77%.15,16,52 Anecdotal findings have been reported in patients with decompression illness. These include intracranial hemorrhages53 and extensive gas inclusions in CSF spaces.54 The On-line Table gives an overview of the major characteristics of lesions most frequently found on MR imaging in type 2 decompression sickness.

Critical Appraisal of Available Imaging Studies and Suggested Future Directions

To date, all neuroimaging studies have reported the low sensitivity of MR imaging for detecting central nervous system lesions in patients with decompression sickness.8,11,49 This could be explained, in part, by the delay between the diving accident and the imaging study because urgent hyperbaric recompression therapy is the priority. The latter could reverse signal alterations in the brain or spine, which then would not be depicted by posttreatment imaging.

However, several other limitations of available studies could have had a negative impact on the ability to detect central nervous system lesions. First, none of those studies have used high-field magnets (3T). Most of the authors used a 1.5T system,11,47,49,55 and some even used a 0.5T system, which has a very low sensitivity.8,56 Second, with the exception of Vollmann et al,47 no previously published MR imaging studies of decompression sickness used DWI and ADC maps. The use of these sequences could conceivably increase MR imaging sensitivity and even provide additional data on the pathophysiology of brain damage in divers. The same is true for DTI, which has been used only once in animal models of decompression sickness.57 The third limitation is that only one56 of the previous MR imaging studies included systematic imaging of both brain and spine. This is probably because they were all retrospective studies in which the part of the central nervous system explored was chosen in accordance with the clinical syndrome. Because subclinical brain lesions have been reported in decompression sickness,58 we think that the predominance of symptoms and signs related to spinal cord lesions could have obscured the possibility of brain damage, thus leading to underestimation of the overall prevalence of central nervous system lesions, with a negative impact on MR imaging sensitivity.

Taking into consideration all the aforementioned methodologic limitations, we would recommend using higher field strengths (1.5 or 3T) and, systematically, joint brain and spine imaging in future MR imaging studies of decompression illness. We tentatively suggest the following imaging protocol for the brain: T1WI, T2WI, FLAIR, gradient-echo, DWI and ADC mapping, and contrast-enhanced T1WI. For spinal cord exploration, we would recommend the following sequences: sagittal fast spin-echo T2 and spin-echo T1 in the acute phase without and with contrast medium, which may be very useful in the subacute phase. We also encourage clinicians and radiologists to use more sophisticated techniques such as DTI, which could provide deeper insight into pathophysiologic processes.

Some authors have tried to identify prognostic factors in decompression sickness.59,60 They have even proposed a prospectively validated clinical prognostic score.61–64 Added to that, the prognostic value of MR imaging findings has been independently evaluated, though in spinal cord decompression sickness only.15,16 However, there is currently no available study that tried
to integrate neuroimaging findings into a prognostic score. Moreover, the importance of serial brain and spine imaging in the follow-up of patients with decompression sickness has not been studied. Such serial imaging could be used in comparative evaluations of the various treatment protocols currently available because there is no consensus on which is the best.65

CONCLUSIONS
Several neuroimaging studies of decompression illness have been conducted during the past decades. They have helped identify the spectrum of central nervous system lesions in neurologic decompression sickness and have provided useful data for understanding the related possible pathophysiologic mechanisms. However, due to technical and methodologic limitations of the available studies, many questions remain unanswered, especially those pertaining to the role of MR imaging in evaluating treatment protocols and estimating prognosis. Therefore, it seems obvious that well-designed prospective studies integrating new imaging techniques and better designed protocols should be conducted to explore these aspects and eventually provide data that help improve the management of patients with decompression illness.
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