Cross-Sectional Imaging of Third Molar–Related Abnormalities


AJNR Am J Neuroradiol published online 10 September 2020
http://www.ajnr.org/content/early/2020/09/10/ajnr.A6747
Cross-Sectional Imaging of Third Molar–Related Abnormalities


ABSTRACT
SUMMARY: Third molars may be associated with a wide range of pathologic conditions, including mechanical, inflammatory, infectious, cystic, neoplastic, and iatrogenic. Diagnosis of third molar–related conditions can be challenging for radiologists who lack experience in dental imaging. Appropriate imaging evaluation can help practicing radiologists arrive at correct diagnoses, thus improving patient care. This review discusses the imaging findings of various conditions related to third molars, highlighting relevant anatomy and cross-sectional imaging techniques. In addition, key imaging findings of complications of third molar extraction are presented.

ABBREVIATIONS: CBCT = cone-beam CT; MDCT = multidetector-row CT

Third molars, or wisdom teeth, are a more common source of pathologic conditions than other teeth. They are the last teeth to develop and usually fail to erupt correctly. Impacted third molars have been associated with inflammatory and infectious conditions as well as development of cysts and tumors.1 Furthermore, third molar extraction is a widespread procedure in clinical practice with the potential for multiple complications.2 Although dedicated dental images are not performed in some radiology services, the teeth are often included in examinations of the head and neck. Third molar–related abnormalities can be incidental findings or the cause of a patient’s symptoms. This review discusses the imaging findings of various pathologies related to third molars, highlighting the relevant anatomy and describing cross-sectional imaging techniques. Finally, key imaging findings of complications of third molar extraction are presented.

ANATOMY
The third molar is the most distal tooth located in each quadrant of the permanent dentition and is absent in the primary dentition (the deciduous dentition or temporary “baby teeth”).3 Third molars usually erupt between 18 and 25 years of age.4 Every tooth is anatomically divided into a crown and a root by the cemento-enamel junction. The crown is the outer portion exposed in the oral cavity, and the root is the portion covered by the alveolar ridge (Fig 1).3 Each crown has 5 free surfaces. In the case of the molars, the surfaces are named as follows: mesial, distal, buccal, lingual, and occlusal, referring to the anterior, posterior, lateral, medial, and biting surfaces, respectively.4 Third molars have a square-shaped crown with a large and irregular occlusal surface suitable for shearing and grinding food and usually have 3 roots, though the number is variable.5

The maxillary third molars can have a close anatomic relationship with the maxillary sinus floor, depending on the length and divergence of their roots and the degree of pneumatization of the maxillary sinus. This close relationship increases the risk of developing odontogenic sinusitis as well as an oroantral fistula after dental extraction.6 The mandibular third molars are the teeth closest to the mandibular canal, which contains the inferior alveolar neurovascular bundle. This close relationship poses a risk of injury to the inferior alveolar nerve during dental procedures, particularly mandibular third molar extraction.7

CROSS-SECTIONAL IMAGING METHODS
Cone-beam CT (CBCT) and multidetector-row CT (MDCT) are able to evaluate the teeth and adjacent bone with submillimeter spatial resolution, allowing for multiplanar reformating and 3D reconstruction.8,9 CBCT uses a pulsed conic or pyramidal x-ray beam and a flat panel detector and performs a single rotation in a circular path around an isocenter to obtain images.8 CBCT involves less radiation exposure compared to traditional CT scanners.
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exposure and has higher spatial resolution than MDCT (Fig 2).\(^\text{10}\) Whereas MDCT produces images of the entire part of the body under examination, CBCT typically provides a small set of different field-of-view sizes, ranging from 4 cm (ideal for a few teeth) to >20 cm in diameter according to the clinical indication.\(^\text{11}\) CBCT is more suitable for patients who are claustrophobic because it has an open design and is generally performed with the patient in an upright position (seated or standing), but MDCT is performed with the patient lying down.\(^\text{9}\) However, CBCT has the disadvantage of poor image quality for soft tissues.\(^\text{12}\)

By contrast, MDCT uses a fan-shaped beam that performs several rotations around the patient to obtain images, commonly in a continuous spiral over the axial plane. MDCT has a shorter acquisition time, thus reducing motion artifacts, such as those caused by breathing and swallowing. A distinctive feature of MDCT is that it affords superior characterization of soft tissue. It is also possible to administer an iodinated-based contrast agent when using this method, which is especially helpful when an infection or tumor is under investigation.\(^\text{9}\) However, compared with CBCT, MDCT has some drawbacks, including a higher cost, a greater amount of space needed to house the equipment, and generation of more metal artifacts.\(^\text{13}\)

Postprocessing dental software packages are available for both CBCT and MDCT, though they are usually purchased at an additional cost for MDCT. These software packages produce reformatted panoramic and multiple cross-sectional images along the dental arches and are particularly helpful when planning dental implants.\(^\text{14}\)

On MDCT examination, some dynamic maneuvers can be performed to provide more image detail and enhanced accuracy. When evaluating the soft tissues adjacent to the teeth, the “puffed-cheek” technique is of considerable value. In this maneuver, the patient distends the oral cavity with air, separating the gingival and buccal mucosal surfaces, which helps to better depict mucosal lesions (Fig 3).\(^\text{15}\) Metal artifacts from dental hardware can be avoided by acquiring images with the mouth closed and open, moving the artifacts to a different area in the second acquisition.\(^\text{16}\) An alternative strategy is to perform a second acquisition through the oral cavity with the neck flexed or extended (or with the gantry angled)\(^\text{17}\) to cast these artifacts into a different plane.

Metal artifact reduction algorithms can improve the quality of images obtained by CBCT and MDCT,\(^\text{8,18}\) though they may introduce new artifacts into the images. Therefore, images obtained with and without application of these algorithms should always be reviewed together to avoid misinterpretation.\(^\text{18}\)

MR imaging is a useful radiation-free tool for evaluation of dentoalveolar disease in selected cases and provides superior soft-tissue contrast. It is the ideal imaging choice for assessment of early bone marrow abnormalities in suspected osteomyelitis, soft tissue infections, and both cystic and solid components of jaw tumors.\(^\text{19-21}\) Moreover, MR imaging neurography can assess the terminal branches of the trigeminal nerve that are in close proximity to the mandibular third molar.\(^\text{22}\) However, MR imaging is an expensive and time-consuming technique that has lower spatial resolution than CT.\(^\text{21}\)

**THIRD MOLAR IMPACTION**

Tooth impaction is a pathologic condition in which complete eruption into a normal functional position is prevented because

![FIG 1. Anatomy of a normal molar tooth. Sagittal CBCT image shows the 3 mineralized hard tissues (enamel, dentin, cementum) and the pulp. The enamel is the outermost layer of the crown, and the root is enveloped by a thin layer of cementum. The dentin is isointenuating to the cementum and hypoattenuating to the enamel. The pulp contains the neurovascular elements.](image)

**FIG 2.** Horizontal unerupted impacted third molar. Sagittal CBCT (A) and MDCT (B) images show an unerupted third molar impacted in the second molar. A close relationship between the third molar and the roof of the mandibular canal, hypercementosis around the roots (arrows), and mild reduction of the caliber of this canal (dotted lines) are observed. The CBCT image (A) has considerably higher spatial resolution than the MDCT image (B) (voxel size, 0.09 mm versus 0.625 mm).

**FIG 3.** Pericoronitis. Axial contrast-enhanced CT (A) image shows thickening and enhancement of pericoronal tissues around the left mandibular third molar (long arrow), thickening of the adjacent buccinator–buccal mucosa complex (short arrow), and stranding of the left buccal space (arrowheads). Coronal CT (B) image shows that this tooth is partially erupted and covered by thickened gingiva (star). The “puffed-cheek” technique distends the oral cavity with air, helping to detect these abnormalities more accurately.
of a lack of space, obstruction by another tooth, malposition, or other impediment (Fig 2). Third molars are the teeth most commonly impacted, with an estimated worldwide prevalence of 24.4%. Third molar impaction is more frequent in the mandible and has a female predominance.

Impacted third molars can be classified according to their inclination to the long axis of the second molar (eg, mesioangular, distoangular, or horizontal) based on the Archer classification for maxillary third molars and on the Winter classification for mandibular third molars (On-line Figs 1 and 2).

Complete tooth impaction is considered to have occurred when the tooth is entirely covered by bone, soft tissue, or both. A partial tooth impaction is deemed to have occurred when the tooth is visible on oral inspection but has not erupted into a normal functional position. In most cases, impaction occurs when second molars block the path of eruption of third molars.
Partially impacted third molars are prone to development of several pathologies, including pericoronitis, carious lesions, and periodontal bone loss, often because of difficulties in reaching them during routine oral hygiene. External root resorption and carious lesions are usually observed on the distal surface of the adjacent second molars as a result of the pressure exerted by impacted third molars (Fig 4). This leads to inflammation and triggers resorption, ultimately resulting in pulp necrosis and loss of the second molars. Conversely, entirely unerupted impacted third molars are more likely to be associated with development of cysts and tumors.

When reporting an impacted third molar, it is important to assess the relationship with the adjacent second molar, the number of roots and their morphology (convergent, divergent, and/or fused), and in particular the relationship between the tooth and the mandibular canal or maxillary sinus floor. The status of the surrounding bone and any associated pathologies, such as periapical lesions and coronal or pericoronal lucencies, should also be described. In some cases, hypercementosis may be observed. Hypercementosis refers to excessive deposition of cementum around the roots of a tooth (Fig 2); it does not alter tooth vitality but can pose difficulties during dental procedures such as extraction and endodontic treatment.

**PERICORONITIS**

Pericoronitis is an infection of the soft tissue surrounding the crown of a partially erupted tooth, usually the mandibular third molar, which is frequently impacted and partially covered by gingiva. Food debris lodges beneath the overlying gingiva, which is subsequently infected by bacteria. Infection might spread to the surrounding tissue, including the alveolar bone and deep neck spaces, potentially evolving into an abscess. On imaging, pericoronitis typically appears as thickening and enhancement of the peri-coronal tissues of a partially erupted tooth (Figs 3 and 5). Contrast-enhanced CT and MR imaging are able to evaluate the spread of infection to surrounding tissues. Dynamic maneuvers, such as the "puffed-cheek" technique, can enhance accuracy when evaluating the gingiva.

**ODONTOGENIC SINUSITIS**

Maxillary third molars can be a source of odontogenic sinusitis. For practical purposes, their etiologies can be divided into dental disease (mainly periapical) and iatrogenic. Iatrogenic sources include postdental extraction, inadequate positioning of dental implant fixtures, infected retained roots, and foreign bodies (eg, extruded root canal fillings).

CT is the best imaging method for diagnosis of odontogenic sinusitis. CT demonstrates maxillary sinus disease with a bone defect in the maxillary sinus floor, which is typically associated with dental disease or complications of dental procedures (Figs 6 and 7). Maxillary sinus disease begins as an asymptomatic mucosal thickening in the floor and can evolve to subtotal or total sinus opacification, potentially occluding the ostiomeatal complex and ultimately leading to frontal and anterior ethmoidal sinusitis. Unilateral sinusitis involving the maxillary sinus or the anterior sinuses should raise suspicion for an odontogenic process; therefore, the maxillary sinus floor, posterior maxillary teeth, and alveolar process should be investigated carefully for abnormalities.
CYSTS AND TUMORS

Unerupted impacted third molars are prone to development of odontogenic cysts and tumors, particularly dentigerous cysts, odontogenic keratocysts, and ameloblastomas.29

Dentigerous cysts, also called follicular cysts, are the most common noninflammatory odontogenic cysts, arising around the crown of an unerupted or impacted tooth, most frequently the mandibular third molar.34 On CT, the classic presentation of a dentigerous cyst is a unilocular radiolucent lesion attached to the cementoenamel junction of an unerupted or impacted tooth.29 On MR imaging, dentigerous cysts typically show low signal intensity on T1-weighted images and high signal intensity on T2-weighted images with a thin enhancing ring (Figs 8 and 9). However, they may appear hyperintense on T1-weighted images because of the presence of cholesterol crystals or proteinaceous material.34

Odontogenic keratocysts are lesions constituted by a cystic space containing desquamated keratin and occur more frequently in the mandible, mainly in the posterior regions. Although they are histologically benign lesions, they are usually locally aggressive with a relatively high recurrence rate.35 On CT, odontogenic keratocysts typically appear as radiolucent, unilocular, expansile lesions with smooth and often scalloped margins. In the mandible, they tend to grow along the length of the bone, causing relatively minimal buccolingual expansion. If associated with the crown of an unerupted tooth, an odontogenic keratocyst can mimic a dentigerous cyst. Large odontogenic keratocysts can present as septate or multiloculated lesions that may simulate ameloblastoma. On MR imaging, odontogenic keratocysts usually show diffuse heterogeneous signal intensity on T1- and T2-weighted images (ranging from low to high) with a thin enhancing ring.19,34,36 DWI has proved to be a useful adjuvant tool for differentiating odontogenic lesions; odontogenic keratocysts tend to have lower ADC values because of their high level of desquamated keratin, especially compared with ameloblastomas (Fig 10).36,37

Ameloblastoma is a benign but locally aggressive odontogenic tumor that is more common in the posterior mandible. CT features include a uniloculated or multiloculated expansile lesion, commonly with a “soap-bubble” or honeycombed pattern accompanied by remodeling and cortical thinning. Resorption of the roots of adjacent teeth is also common. Unlike odontogenic keratocysts, ameloblastomas have a propensity for buccolingual expansion in the mandible.35,38 MR imaging typically reveals high signal intensity on T2-weighted images. Both contrast-enhanced CT and MR imaging show enhancing septations, mural nodules, or both in classic cases; these enhancing components are more easily detectable on MR imaging scans, which are also useful for evaluating extrasosseous components, including involvement of neurovascular structures (Fig 11 and On-line Fig 3).19,38 Unicystic ameloblastoma is a subtype encountered less often and can mimic a dentigerous cyst or odontogenic keratocyst.29

COMPLICATIONS OF THIRD MOLAR EXTRACTION

Complications of third molar extraction occur in 3.5% to 14.8% of patients7 and are more common in the

FIG 11. Ameloblastoma. Oblique sagittal (A) and axial contrast-enhanced (B) CT images show a unilocular, expansile lesion in the right mandibular angle (arrow) with marked cortical thinning, buccolingual expansion, and internal solid mural nodules (arrowheads). (Case courtesy of Eloisa S. Gebrim, MD, PhD, InRad, University of São Paulo, Brazil.)

FIG 12. Abscess after dental extraction. Axial contrast-enhanced CT image shows an abscess (arrow) near the right mandibular third molar socket (arrowhead).

FIG 13. Acute osteomyelitis of the mandible after extraction of the left first and third molars. Axial T1-weighted (A), fat-saturated T2-weighted (B), and contrast-enhanced T1-weighted (C) MR images show signal intensity abnormalities and enhancement of the bone marrow in the left mandibular body (arrows).
mandibular third molars. Many of these complications are related to a greater degree of tooth impaction. Complications of dental extraction range from mild local discomfort to serious conditions requiring hospitalization or resulting in sequelae. Infection is one of the most frequent complications after third molar removal and often starts at the surgical site. It can extend to the adjacent soft tissue, leading to cellulitis, myositis, and abscess. Contrast-enhanced CT is the first-line imaging technique for a suspected abscess, which appears as a fluid collection with rim enhancement near the tooth socket, sometimes with gas bubbles, and is frequently associated with surrounding inflammatory changes (Fig 12). Immediate post-procedural soft tissue emphysema is a common finding unless other infectious findings such as abscess or cellulitis are also present. CT is also useful for assessing the bone status in the surgical site and may depict other complications, such as retained tooth fragments and osteomyelitis.

Osteomyelitis develops when an infection reaches the bone marrow. In the acute phase, MR imaging is the best method for detection of early bone marrow signal abnormalities, observed as low signal on T1-weighted images and high signal on T2-weighted images with enhancement on postcontrast sequences (Fig 13). CT is ideal for depicting bone erosion and periosteal reaction. In the chronic phase, bone sclerosis and sequestrum can be observed. Soft tissue edema, cellulitis, and abscess are common findings in both phases.

FIG 14. Fracture of the maxillary sinus walls after third molar extraction. Axial (A) and coronal (B) CT images show fractures of the anterior and lateral walls of the left maxillary sinus (arrows) with complete opacification of the sinus.

FIG 15. Oroantral fistula after third molar extraction. Sagittal CT image shows an air-filled gap (arrow) between the maxillary sinus and the oral cavity through the tooth socket.

FIG 16. Right inferior alveolar nerve injury after third molar extraction. Coronal MR neurography image of the lingual (long arrows) and inferior alveolar (short arrows) nerves shows a discontinuity in the right inferior alveolar nerve (arrowhead). The remaining nerves have a normal caliber and signal intensity.
Alveolar osteitis, also called “dry socket,” is a clinical diagnosis characterized by development of intense throbbing pain several days after dental extraction and is often associated with halitosis. Alveolar osteitis is a frequent complication of tooth removal and is related to partial or complete loss of the blood clot in the tooth socket.40,41 Oral examination findings include a cryptlike socket with exposed bone and erythematous borders, food debris, and other detritus in the socket. Imaging examinations are useful for exclusion of other complications, such as a residual tooth fragment and fracture.43

Fractures may affect the alveolar processes of the maxilla and mandible; the body, angle, and ramus of the mandible; the maxillary tuberosity; and the floor and walls of the maxillary sinus. CT is the criterion standard imaging method for evaluation of fractures, which appear as lucent noncorticated lines with variable deviation and angulation of the fragments (Fig 14).40,44

Communication between the maxillary sinus and tooth socket can occur during extraction of a maxillary third molar. If this connection is smaller than 2 mm, it generally closes spontaneously45; however, if this communication becomes epithelialized (which takes ~7 days), it becomes an oroantral fistula.6 CT is the best imaging technique to diagnose oroantral fistula, which appears as an air connection between the maxillary sinus and the oral cavity (Fig 15). The “puffed-check” technique (described earlier) may help detect this air passageway.16

Mandibular third molar extraction may cause injuries to the peripheral branches of the trigeminal nerve, particularly the inferior alveolar and lingual nerves. Abnormalities can be accurately diagnosed by MR neurography as areas of increased T2 signal intensity, changes in the caliber of the nerve, or discontinuities (Fig 16).22,46 Unerupted teeth, horizontal impaction, and root apices inside or in contact with the mandibular canal are associated with an increased risk of inferior alveolar nerve injury, whereas unerupted teeth, distoangular impaction, and the lingual bone split surgical technique are considered risk factors for lingual nerve injury.47,48

Hemorrhage may occur during or after third molar removal; rarely, foci of active bleeding can appear as contrast-material extravasation inside or near the tooth socket (Fig 17).16

Accidental displacement of the third molar into adjacent spaces, such as the parapharyngeal space or maxillary sinus (Fig 18), is another possible complication after extraction attempts. Foreign bodies, such as root fragments and dental instruments, may also be introduced into the maxillary sinus during extraction (Fig 19).49-51

Rarely, emphysema in the subcutaneous and deep neck spaces can result from forced injection of pressurized air

FIG 17. Active bleeding in the tooth socket. Coronal contrast-enhanced CT image shows a focus of active extravasation of contrast material in the tooth socket after extraction (arrow). The patient is biting a gauze pad (arrowhead).

FIG 18. Accidental third molar displacement into the maxillary sinus. Oblique sagittal CT image shows a third molar displaced into the maxillary sinus (arrow) and rupture of the maxillary sinus floor (arrowhead) with nearby small bone fragments.

FIG 19. Accidental displacement of a foreign body into the maxillary sinus. Coronal CT image shows a dental bur (arrow) displaced into the right maxillary sinus. Fragmentation of the right maxillary third molar is also observed (arrowhead).
from dental drills into the surgical site (Fig 20). Finally, overeruption of the opposing third molar is considered a late adverse event, which can lead to tooth misalignment and occlusal disability (Fig 21).

CONCLUSIONS
The increasing sophistication of cross-sectional imaging techniques plays a pivotal role in diagnosing third molar–related conditions, which can be challenging for radiologists who are inexperienced in dental imaging. Comprehensive knowledge of the imaging characteristics of these abnormalities helps the practicing radiologist arrive at a correct diagnosis, thus improving patient care.
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