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Vessel Wall Enhancement on Black-Blood MRI Predicts Acute and Future Stroke in Cerebral Amyloid Angiopathy


ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: Cerebral amyloid angiopathy (CAA) is a known risk factor for ischemic stroke though angiographic imaging is often negative. Our goal was to determine the relationship between vessel wall enhancement (VWE) in acute and future ischemic stroke in CAA patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: This was a retrospective study of patients with new-onset neurologic symptoms undergoing 3T vessel wall MR imaging from 2015 to 2019. Vessel wall enhancement was detected on pre- and postcontrast flow-suppressed 3D TIWI. Interrater agreement was evaluated in cerebral amyloid angiopathy–positive and age-matched negative participants using a prevalence- and bias-adjusted kappa analysis. In patients with cerebral amyloid angiopathy, multivariable Poisson and Cox regression were used to determine the association of vessel wall enhancement with acute and future ischemic stroke, respectively, using backward elimination of confounders to P < .20.

RESULTS: Fifty patients with cerebral amyloid angiopathy underwent vessel wall MR imaging, including 35/50 (70.0%) with ischemic stroke and 29/50 (58.0%) with vessel wall enhancement. Prevalence- and bias-corrected kappa was 0.82 (95% CI, 0.71–0.93). The final regression model for acute ischemic stroke included vessel wall enhancement (prevalence ratio = 1.5; 95% CI, 1.1–2.2; P = .022), age (prevalence ratio = 1.02; 95% CI, 1.01–1.05; P = .036), time between symptoms and MR imaging (prevalence ratio = 0.9; 95% CI, 0.8–0.9; P < .001), and smoking (prevalence ratio = 0.7; 95% CI, 0.5–1.0; P = .042) with c-statistic of 0.92 (95% CI, 0.84–0.99). Future ischemic stroke incidence with cerebral amyloid angiopathy was 49.7% (95% CI, 34.5%–67.2%) per year over a total time at risk of 37.5 person-years. Vessel wall enhancement–positive patients with cerebral amyloid angiopathy demonstrated significantly shorter stroke-free survival with 63.9% (95% CI, 43.2%–84.0%) versus 32.2% (95% CI, 14.4%–62.3%) ischemic strokes per year, chi-square = 4.9, P = .027. The final model for future ischemic stroke had a c-statistic of 0.70 and included initial ischemic stroke (hazard ratio = 3.4; 95% CI, 1.0–12.0; P = .053) and vessel wall enhancement (hazard ratio = 2.5; 95% CI, 0.9–7.0; P = .080).

CONCLUSIONS: Vessel wall enhancement is associated with both acute and future stroke in patients with cerebral amyloid angiopathy.

ABBREVIATIONS: CAA = cerebral amyloid angiopathy; HR = hazard ratio; PR = prevalence ratio; VWE = vessel wall enhancement; vwMRI = vessel wall MR imaging; AIS = acute ischemic stroke; SPACE = sampling perfection with application-optimized contrasts by using different flip angle evolutions.
that VWE can occur in patients with noninflammatory CAA, its neurologic impact is unknown.

In addition to lobar hemorrhage, CAA is an important cause of transient neurologic complaints (amyloid spells), cognitive impairment, and ischemic infarcts. Because of this, imaging techniques that highlight vessel wall pathology, such as vwMRI, may have diagnostic and prognostic impact in patients with CAA. Brain imaging currently plays a vital role in CAA diagnosis using the modified Boston criteria. The most common acute imaging finding in patients with CAA is hemorrhage from vessel rupture. Microinfarcts can be seen in animal models of CAA and are present in 30%–60% of patients with CAA, contributing to cortical thinning. CAA imaging criteria depend primarily on the presence of prior hemorrhage on susceptibility-weighted sequences, including sidersosis and microhemorrhages in lobar, cortical- or subcortical localizations. CAA disproportionately affects older adults, with increasing prevalence after age 60 years. Because of this, the modified Boston criteria for CAA use a threshold of 55 years or older.

Patients with CAA often undergo work-up for acute neurologic deficits concerning for ischemic stroke, which can be detected on MR imaging. Evaluation of ischemic stroke risk in patients with CAA has important diagnostic and prognostic impact because it is a significant contributor to cognitive decline. These patients have complex medical histories, and because vessel wall pathology may not easily be seen with lumen imaging, this necessitates further evaluation with vessel wall imaging techniques. Because of their complicated nature, neurology consultation and vwMRI are often performed in the work-up of patients with CAA at our institution. In light of this and given the importance of VWE in a variety of intracranial vasculopathies, our goal was to determine the association of VWE with AIS in patients with CAA undergoing vwMRI during stroke work-up. In this study, we evaluated both acute concurrent and future ischemic stroke risk while controlling for potential cerebrovascular confounders. Our hypothesis was that in patients with CAA, VWE would be associated with both concurrent and future ischemic stroke.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The data in this manuscript are available upon reasonable request.

Study Design and Cohort

Institutional review board approval was obtained before this retrospective study at our academic center from 2015 to 2019 in patients undergoing vwMRI for acute neurologic deficits concerning for ischemic stroke. Because of the retrospective nature, informed consent was not required by the institutional review board. In this protocol, all patients with CAA included had probable or possible CAA (based on modified Boston criteria and microhemorrhages detected before vwMRI) and documented acute focal neurologic deficits warranting vwMRI during the stroke work-up. All patients with CAA admitted under the neurology team with suspected stroke during this time period underwent vwMRI work-up per protocol. Although a few scans exhibited mild motion artifacts, none was sufficient to exclude any participants from interpretation. Chart review was used to determine age, sex, and other potential cerebrovascular confounders at the time of vwMRI.

CAA Diagnosis

Patients diagnosed with probable or possible CAA were included in this study. Modified Boston criteria were used by a vascular neurologist to diagnose CAA. Briefly, modified Boston criteria for probable CAA included age 55 years or older, appropriate clinical history, and MR imaging findings demonstrating either 1) multiple hemorrhages restricted to lobar, cortical, or corticosubcortical regions of varying sizes or ages without another cause or 2) a single lobar, cortical, or corticosubcortical hemorrhage and focal (≤3 sulci) or disseminated (>3 sulci) cortical superficial siderosis without another cause. Cases of possible CAA were also included based on the modified Boston criteria definition: single lobar, cortical, or corticosubcortical hemorrhage without other cause. Twelve of our CAA cases were also confirmed with biopsy.

vwMRI Protocol

All MR imaging was obtained at 3T on Verio, Trio, or Prisma platforms (Siemens) with standard head coils and a standard contrast dose of MultiHance (Bracco), 0.1 mmol/kg. Sequences included those in the Online Supplemental Data. The sampling perfection with application-optimized contrasts by using different flip angle evolutions (SPACE; Siemens) sequences were acquired with delay alternating with nutation for tailored excitation flow suppression. Images were obtained in this order—precontrast: DWI, SWI, TOF, T2 SPACE, T1 SPACE and postcontrast: TOF and T1 SPACE.

Brain Parenchymal Findings

Both acute and future ischemic stroke were determined using the American Heart Association definition of CNS infarction as previously described. Briefly, acute or future ischemic stroke was defined by brain cell death attributable to ischemia based on 1) imaging evidence of cerebral infarction or 2) clinical symptoms persisting ≥24 hours, with other causes excluded. We also reviewed neurovascular clinic or inpatient charts to determine presence of AIS occurring at the time of imaging for concurrent stroke and at follow-up visits or neuroimaging for future stroke. Although all patients with CAA in this study had the clinical suspicion of stroke, only DWI-positive and low-ADC value acute infarcts were included as positive for AIS. In addition, the DWI positive infarct did not have to necessarily explain the patient’s presentation and could be included even if clinically silent. The variable time between symptom onset and MR imaging was included as a potential confounder in the statistical analysis. Acute infarct was defined using DWI derived from DTI trace images described previously with parameters in the Online Supplemental Data. DTI trace outperforms conventional diffusion-weighted sequences in detecting acute infarcts. Brain DWI was interpreted by a sub-specialty-trained, Certificate of Added Qualification–certified neuroradiologist blinded to vascular imaging. Follow-up imaging was only performed if patients developed an additional new, acute neurologic deficit concerning for ischemic stroke. DWI was the primary imaging determinant for both acute and future ischemic infarct in all patients. Although we had access to both CT and
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**Vessel Wall Enhancement**

Two separate board-certified radiologists evaluated VWE using T1 SPACE pre- and postcontrast sequences on vwMRI, blinded to additional imaging or clinical information. In cases of disagree-
ment, a third radiologist was used as a tiebreaker. A signal thresh-
hold was used for VWE positivity using signal at or above the
pituitary infundibulum as previously described.1,2 VWE was
graded in a binary manner—either positive or negative for the entire set of brain images of each patient. This was done for the
whole brain without regard to vascular segment, nor was it neces-
sarily coupled with the area of DWI positivity. In addition to evalu-
ating whole-brain VWE, we evaluated territorial VWE in patients
with acute ischemic infarcts. VWE was evaluated in medium to
small artery walls and only in vessels with confirmed arterial flow
visible on TOF (including the first- through fourth-order branches
of the circle of Willis). The TOF was only used to confirm the ves-
sel was an artery and not a vein, and the VWE readers did not
simultaneously grade the TOF for stenosis (see later discussion).

**Stenosis on vwMRI**

Maximum percent diameter stenosis was determined per the
Warfarin-Aspirin Symptomatic Intracranial Disease trial measure-
ment of intracranial stenosis.28 The subspecialty-trained, Certificate
of Added Qualification–certified neuroradiologist determined ste-
nosis blinded from DWI and VWE images at the time of TOF eval-
uation (and performed after a 2-month washout period). Of all
intracranial arteries visible on TOF, the artery with maximum ste-
nosis was selected using a combination of axial imaging, multipla-
lar reformats, maximum intensity projections, and 3D reformats.
Then the diameter (b) at the level of maximal stenosis and diameter
(a) of the downstream vessel distal to the stenosis were used to cal-
culate percent diameter stenosis using the formula \( \frac{(a-b)}{a} \times 100\% \). Stenosis was measured at the narrowest segment of the vessel
(b) perpendicular to the long axis of the vessel on multiplanar refor-
mats using a submillimeter measurement tool. From the Warfarin-
Aspirin Symptomatic Intracranial Disease trial, in cases in which
the downstream segment of the vessel was diseased, a proximal nor-
mal vessel segment was used for the comparison diameter (a). In
cases in which the entire vessel was diseased, the contralateral vessel
was used for the comparison diameter (a).

**Interrater Reliability**

For interrater reliability, we evaluated an equal number of negative
control participants alongside the patients with CAA. This group
of CAA-negative patients were age-matched (older than 55 years
old) and had undergone vwMRI during the same time period, with
the same protocol, and in the same scanners. These patients had
no evidence of probable or possible CAA, vascular stenosis, aneu-
rystm, or acute stroke or hemorrhage. Interrater reliability for the
binary vwMRI measurement of positive or negative enhancement
was calculated in the combined group of CAA and negative control
participants blinded to all other imaging and clinical covariates
using prevalence- and bias-adjusted kappa statistics.

**Statistical Analysis**

Univariable binary Poisson regression, with robust standard errors,
was used in the patients with CAA to determine the prevalence ra-
tio (PR) of vwMRI factors, as well as clinical confounders for con-
current AIS as determined by retrospective chart review. These
confounders included maximum percent stenosis, age, female sex,
hypertension, hyperlipidemia, diabetes, smoking, antiplatelet use,
time to event was further anal-
ized for stroke by use of univariable followed by multivariable
Cox regression analysis. After univariable analysis, factors with
P < .20 were placed in an initial multivariable binary Poisson regression model with robust stand-
ard errors for the prediction of AIS; then variables were eliminated
in a backward fashion until all remaining variables met the thresh-
old P < .20.

Accuracy of the prediction of AIS was determined using the
area under the receiver operating characteristic curve, also called
the c-statistic. Lastly, we investigated whether the presence of
VWE predicted future ischemic stroke using Kaplan-Meier sur-
vival analysis to estimate the ischemic event rate. Ischemic event
rates per 100 person-years were calculated for each outcome, and
the formula annual risk = \( 1 \times \text{event rate} \times \text{time} \) was used to
estimate the absolute annual risk. Time to event was further ana-
yzed for stroke by use of univariable followed by multivariable
Cox regression analysis. After univariable analysis, factors with
P < .20 were placed in an initial multivariable model; then vari-
ables underwent backward elimination to a threshold P < .20. All
patients were followed up by chart review for interval stroke
symptoms to the end of the study in 2019. Cox regression was
used to account for variable times to event.

In binary outcome models, 5 outcome events for every predic-
tor are sufficient to avoid overfitting.29 Our final model for future
stroke met this. The final Poisson regression model, however, did
not, and this is known to increase the likelihood of finding false-
positive predictors.30 To assess the stability of the final Poisson
model, the bootstrap inclusion fraction was computed for each
predictor, which is the percentage of times the variable remains
in the final model in a large number of bootstrap resamples in
which the variable selection is repeated.31,32 Predictors with boot-
strap inclusion fractions < 50% were dropped from the final
model as unreliable because these would not likely remain as sig-
nificant predictors in future datasets.

All statistical analyses were performed using Stata-15.1 statis-
tical software (StataCorp).

**RESULTS**

**CAA Study Population**

Characteristics of the patients with CAA are listed in Table 1. A
total of 50 patients met CAA study criteria, with vwMRI obtained
within 7 days of stroke symptom onset. These patients included
those with probable (43/50) and possible (7/50) CAA; 35/50
branches with VWE on the same image (43/50, 86.0%). There was VWE along a single right MCA branch in the representative image (74.0%), statin use (27/50, 54.0%), time between symptom onset and-bias-corrected kappa =0.82 (95% CI, 0.71–0.93).

**VWE Interrater Reliability**

The 50 patients with CAA were combined with 50 negative control participants without CAA, ischemic stroke, or vascular pathology. Patients with CAA demonstrated VWE (29/50, 58.0%), whereas negative control participants did not (0/50, 0.0%). Fig 1 illustrates 3 separate patients with CAA with variable degrees of VWE including a VWE negative scan, a single VWE positive artery on a single image, and a VWE positive scan with multiple arteries involved on the same image. In the combined group of 50 CAA and 50 negative control patients, the radiology reviewers agreed 91/100 times with a third tie-breaker used 9 times. Interrater reliability for VWE was “substantial,” with a prevalence-and-bias-corrected kappa =0.82 (95% CI, 0.71–0.93).

**Factors Associated with Acute Ischemic Stroke in Patients with CAA**

A representative patient with CAA presenting with AIS and VWE is shown in Fig 2. Leptomeningeal and brain biopsy was also performed in this particular patient as shown in the Online Supplemental Data. In this index case, pathology showed evidence of CAA coupled with vessel wall inflammation. Overall, 35/50 patients with CAA were positive for AIS, and 23 of these were VWE positive, with 22/23 matched to the vascular territory (95.7%). There were 5/50 patients with CAA with >50% stenosis and 0/50 with >70% stenosis. Of those with >50% stenosis, 1/5 had an acute ischemic infarct within the stenosis territory, though this patient did not have a future ischemic stroke. Univariable analysis with factors and potential confounders associated with AIS are depicted in Table 2.

Factors associated with concurrent AIS meeting the $P<.20$ threshold were entered into the initial multivariable model. These included: VWE (PR = 1.6; 95% CI, 1.0–2.5; $P = .044$), age (PR = 1.01; 95% CI, 0.99–1.05; $P = .146$), diabetes (PR = 1.3; 95% CI, 1.0–1.9; $P = .080$), time between symptom onset and MR imaging (PR = 0.9; 95% CI, 0.8–1.0; $P = .006$) and smoking (PR = 0.7; 95% CI, 0.4–1.1; $P = .095$). These did not include maximum percent stenosis (PR = 1.6; 95% CI, 0.7–3.6; $P = .297$) or other confounders. Also, in the 30 patients with recorded systemic inflammatory markers, these were not associated with AIS (PR = 1.2; 95% CI, 0.9–1.7; $P = .282$). After sequential backwards elimination to a threshold $P<.20$, 4 factors remained in the final model: VWE (PR = 1.5; 95% CI, 1.1–2.2; $P = .022$), age (PR = 1.02; 95% CI, 1.0–1.05; $P = .036$), time between symptoms and MR imaging (PR = 0.9; 95% CI, 0.8–0.9; $P <.001$), and smoking (PR = 0.7; 95% CI, 0.5–1.0; $P = .042$). The final model discriminatory value

**Table 1: Characteristics of patients with CAA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient Characteristic</th>
<th>n = 50</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Probable CAA, n (%)</td>
<td>43 (86.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biopsy proved, n (%)</td>
<td>12 (24.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Possible CAA, n (%)</td>
<td>7 (14.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurologic outcomes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischemic stroke, n (%)</td>
<td>35 (70.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemorrhage, n (%)</td>
<td>23 (46.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both, n (%)</td>
<td>19 (38.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VWE</td>
<td>29 (58.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical confounders</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum percent stenosis, mean (SD)</td>
<td>14.9 (20.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean (SD), y</td>
<td>71.9 (7.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female sex, n (%)</td>
<td>27 (54.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension, n (%)</td>
<td>43 (86.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperlipidemia, n (%)</td>
<td>32 (64.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes, n (%)</td>
<td>14 (28.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking, n (%)</td>
<td>19 (38.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antiplatelet, n (%)</td>
<td>18 (36.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticoagulation, n (%)</td>
<td>5 (10.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antihypertension, n (%)</td>
<td>37 (74.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statin, n (%)</td>
<td>27 (54.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time between symptom onset and MR imaging in days, mean (SD)</td>
<td>3.9 (2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflammatory marker elevation, n (%), n = 30 available</td>
<td>10 (33.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
was c-statistic = 0.92 (95% CI, 0.84–0.99). The bootstrap inclusion fraction was computed for each predictor, and all variables in the final model were stable, meaning the results were not due to overfitting.

**Future Stroke Prediction**

In this study patients with CAA had an overall time at risk of 37.5 person-years and incident stroke event rate of 49.7% (95% CI, 34.5–67.2%) per year. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis (Fig 3) demonstrated significantly shorter stroke-free survival with VWE. VWE-positive patients with CAA had a higher ischemic event rate of 63.9% (95% CI, 43.2–84.0%) per year compared with VWE-negative patients with CAA with 32.2% (95% CI, 14.4–62.3%) per year, with chi-square = 4.9 and P = .027. Univariable Cox regression analysis for
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**Table 2: Univariable Poisson regression CAA vwMRI findings and AIS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stroke Predictor</th>
<th>Stroke (–) (n = 15)</th>
<th>Stroke (+) (n = 35)</th>
<th>Univariable PR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VWE, n (%)</td>
<td>5 (33.3)</td>
<td>24 (68.6)</td>
<td>1.6 (1.0–2.5)</td>
<td>.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum percent stenosis, mean (SD)</td>
<td>10.3 (20.7)</td>
<td>16.9 (20.8)</td>
<td>1.6 (0.7–3.6)</td>
<td>.297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean (SD)</td>
<td>69.4 (8.5)</td>
<td>73.0 (7.2)</td>
<td>1.01 (0.99–1.05)</td>
<td>.346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female, n (%)</td>
<td>7 (46.7)</td>
<td>20 (57.1)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.8–1.7)</td>
<td>.507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension, n (%)</td>
<td>13 (86.7)</td>
<td>30 (85.7)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.6–1.6)</td>
<td>.928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperlipidemia, n (%)</td>
<td>10 (66.7)</td>
<td>22 (62.9)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.7–1.4)</td>
<td>.796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes, n (%)</td>
<td>2 (13.3)</td>
<td>12 (34.3)</td>
<td>1.3 (1.0–1.9)</td>
<td>.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking, n (%)</td>
<td>9 (60.0)</td>
<td>11 (31.4)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.4–1.1)</td>
<td>.095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antiplatelet, n (%)</td>
<td>5 (33.3)</td>
<td>13 (37.1)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.7–1.5)</td>
<td>.796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticoagulation, n (%)</td>
<td>1 (6.7)</td>
<td>4 (11.4)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.7–1.9)</td>
<td>.546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antihypertension, n (%)</td>
<td>12 (80.0)</td>
<td>25 (71.4)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.6–1.3)</td>
<td>.499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statin, n (%)</td>
<td>8 (53.3)</td>
<td>19 (54.3)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.7–1.5)</td>
<td>.951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time between symptom onset and MR imaging in days, mean (SD)</td>
<td>5.5 (2.4)</td>
<td>3.2 (2.3)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.8–1.0)</td>
<td>.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflammatory marker elevation, n (%)</td>
<td>1 (16.7)</td>
<td>9 (37.5)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.9–1.7)</td>
<td>.282</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note:* Univariable Poisson regression was initially performed with PR values, with 95% CI and P values above. All potential confounding variables with P < .20 from the univariable analysis were placed in an initial multivariable Poisson regression model for AIS; then variables were eliminated in a backward fashion to the threshold P < .20. Four factors remained in the final multivariable regression model for AIS: VWE (PR = 1.5; 95% CI, 1.1–2.2; P = .022), age (PR = 1.52; 95% CI, 1.0–1.05; P = .036), time between symptoms and MR imaging (PR = 0.9; 95% CI, 0.8–0.9; P < .001), and smoking (PR = 0.7; 95% CI, 0.5–1.0; P = .042).
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stroke showed that 3 factors significantly increased future stroke risk: initial stroke (hazard ratio [HR] = 4.2; 95% CI, 1.2–14.3; \( P = .023 \)), VWE (HR = 3.1; 95% CI, 1.1–8.6; \( P = .027 \)), and smoking (HR = 0.5; 95% CI, 0.2–1.3; \( P = .135 \)). These were the only variables with \( P < .20 \) from the univariable analysis and were placed in the initial multivariable Cox regression model. After multivariable regression, only 2 variables remained in the final model at the \( P < .20 \) threshold and predicted future ischemic stroke with a c-statistic of 0.70. The final model consisted of initial ischemic stroke (HR = 3.4; 95% CI, 1.0–12.0; \( P = .053 \)) and VWE (HR = 2.5; 95% CI, 0.9–7.0; \( P = .080 \)).

**DISCUSSION**

This study adds support to the growing literature on ischemic stroke risk in CAA by investigating the prognostic impact of vwMRI. Our data show that vwMRI can identify VWE in patients with CAA with high interrater reliability, parallelling previous studies in other vasculopathies.\(^1,3\) Furthermore, VWE was an essential predictor of both acute and future ischemic stroke in CAA, with VWE associated with significantly shorter stroke-free survival.

Ischemic stroke occurs often in patients with CAA despite negative angiographic imaging.\(^{33,34}\) Our study confirms the lack of association with lumen stenosis. This corresponds to prior work finding no association between vascular occlusion or stenosis with white matter hyperintensities in CAA.\(^{35,36}\) Although lumen imaging lacks the resolution of small-vessel pathology, this limitation does not appear to affect contrast leakage as detected by vwMRI. Our observed 70% prevalence for concurrent ischemic infarcts and 42% prevalence of future stroke is slightly higher than previously reported acute microinfarcts in 30%–60% of patients with CAA.\(^{17,18}\) This may reflect some degree of selection bias, given that only symptomatic patients with CAA underwent vwMRI. Because of this, these data should be applied to symptomatic patients with CAA presenting with acute neurologic deficits. Variable time between stroke symptom onset and vwMRI was a potential limitation, though this was accounted for in the final regression model and VWE remained associated with AIS. Other potential

---

**Table 3: Univariable Cox regression for future ischemic stroke**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stroke Predictor</th>
<th>Future Stroke (−) ( n = 29 )</th>
<th>Future Stroke (+) ( n = 21 )</th>
<th>Univariable HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>( P ) Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial stroke, ( n ) (%)</td>
<td>17 (58.6)</td>
<td>18 (65.7)</td>
<td>4.2 (1.2–14.5)</td>
<td>.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VWE, ( n ) (%)</td>
<td>13 (44.8)</td>
<td>16 (76.2)</td>
<td>3.1 (1.1–8.6)</td>
<td>.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum percent stenosis, mean (SD)</td>
<td>17.1 (22.5)</td>
<td>11.9 (18.3)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.1–6.4)</td>
<td>.723</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean (SD)</td>
<td>72.6 (8.2)</td>
<td>71.0 (7.1)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.9–1.0)</td>
<td>.651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female, ( n ) (%)</td>
<td>13 (44.8)</td>
<td>14 (66.7)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.6–3.7)</td>
<td>.397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension, ( n ) (%)</td>
<td>24 (82.8)</td>
<td>18 (90.5)</td>
<td>1.7 (0.4–7.1)</td>
<td>.499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperlipidemia, ( n ) (%)</td>
<td>17 (58.6)</td>
<td>15 (71.4)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.5–3.5)</td>
<td>.552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes, ( n ) (%)</td>
<td>7 (24.1)</td>
<td>7 (33.3)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.5–3.3)</td>
<td>.571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking, ( n ) (%)</td>
<td>14 (48.3)</td>
<td>6 (28.6)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.2–1.3)</td>
<td>.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(interval) Antiplatelet, ( n ) (%)</td>
<td>9 (31.0)</td>
<td>6 (28.6)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.3–2.1)</td>
<td>.649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(interval) Anticoagulation, ( n ) (%)</td>
<td>3 (10.3)</td>
<td>1 (4.8)</td>
<td>0.4 (0.05–2.8)</td>
<td>.336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(interval) Antithrombopetin, ( n ) (%)</td>
<td>23 (79.3)</td>
<td>17 (81.0)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.4–3.8)</td>
<td>.662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(interval) Statin, ( n ) (%)</td>
<td>22 (75.9)</td>
<td>14 (66.7)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.3–1.6)</td>
<td>.372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(interval) Immunosuppression, ( n ) (%)</td>
<td>7 (24.1)</td>
<td>10 (47.6)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.7–3.7)</td>
<td>.322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevated inflammatory markers, ( n ) (%)</td>
<td>5 (33.3) ( n = 15 )</td>
<td>5 (33.3) ( n = 15 )</td>
<td>1.1 (0.4–3.1)</td>
<td>.928</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Interval indicates interval treatment with the listed medication as a potential confounder.

Univariable Cox regression was initially performed with HR values, with 95% CI and \( P \) values below. All potential confounding variables with \( P < .20 \) from the univariable analysis were placed in an initial multivariable Cox regression model for future ischemic stroke, then variables were eliminated in a backward fashion to the threshold \( P < .20 \). Two factors remained in the final multivariable regression model for future ischemic stroke: initial ischemic stroke (HR = 3.4; 95% CI, 1.0–12.0; \( P = .053 \)) and VWE (HR = 2.5; 95% CI, 0.9–7.0; \( P = .080 \)).
limitations include variable follow-up methods and time periods for stroke follow-up evaluation.

Another limitation was the single-center nature, though multiple different scanners and separate observers were used for internal validation. With the growing clinical use of vwMRI it should soon be possible to confirm these findings at additional centers, potentially with future multicenter studies. Additionally, our study was not designed to determine best treatment or preventative strategies against future ischemic stroke in CAA. Although some additional confounders were associated with initial ischemic stroke, future stroke prevention is the critical issue. Also, although our study did not include asymptomatic CAA control participants, the patients with CAA without acute or follow-up infarcts on DWI served as the comparator groups in our regression analyses. A future study on asymptomatic patients with CAA could determine silent stroke risk in this population.

Our dataset also had limited information on VWE linked with CAA-related inflammation, which is characterized by rapid cognitive decline, vascular inflammation, and lobar edema. Systemic inflammation did not contribute to stroke risk in our group of patients with CAA, though the role of local inflammation is not yet well defined. Although most patients did not undergo biopsy, 1 patient in the Online Supplemental Data showed CAA-related inflammation on pathology that corresponded with VWE. It is clear though that VWE does not always meet the threshold of pathology-defined CAA-related inflammation related to leukocyte infiltration. Local proinflammatory cascades may still be activated, resulting in endothelial dysfunction and contrast leakage before overt leukocyte infiltration on pathology. The traditional dichotomy of CAA with or without inflammation may instead represent a spectrum of proinflammatory cascades as with other neuroimmunologic diseases, including multiple sclerosis. Without an overt link to inflammation on pathology, however, the use of novel immunotherapies in patients with CAA with VWE remains premature.

The strengths of this imaging study include a sizable number of patients with CAA undergoing unique evaluation with vwMRI. Although the retrospective design is a limitation, this applies to most imaging studies of patients with CAA. More important, our conclusions are relevant to symptomatic patients with CAA in whom diagnostic and treatment decisions are made on an urgent basis, and there was a high risk of future stroke correlated with VWE on initial vwMRI, which allows for prognostication in these patients. Also, despite the minority of patients undergoing confirmatory biopsy, the results remain generalizable to patients with suspected CAA by imaging. By evaluating all participants with CAA who underwent imaging, these results translate to clinical practice in the work-up of acute neurologic deficits or rapid neurologic decline. These patients with CAA tend to benefit the most from rapid diagnosis, stroke prevention strategies, and close follow-up. Future research is warranted to develop or identify treatment strategies against the CAA disease processes leading to VWE.

CONCLUSIONS

VWE can be reliably detected on black-blood vwMRI in patients with CAA presenting with new-onset focal neurologic symptoms, and this is associated with AIS. VWE was highly associated with concurrent AIS on multivariable regression analysis. Most important, initial stroke and VWE were essential factors predicting future ischemic stroke risk in this cohort of patients with CAA. The use of vwMRI can have diagnostic and prognostic impact in this high-risk population and may serve as the target for future trials aimed at decreasing stroke risk.
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