Intraluminal Flow Diverter Design Primer for Neurointerventionalists

Alexander A. Oliver, Yigit Can Senol, Cem Bilgin, Jeremy E. Schaffer, Ramanathan Kadirvel, David F. Kallmes and John M. Wainwright

*AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* published online 28 December 2023

http://www.ajnr.org/content/early/2023/12/28/ajnr.A8076
Intraluminal Flow Diverter Design Primer for Neurointerventionalists

Alexander A. Oliver, Yigit Can Senol, Cem Bilgin, Jeremy E. Schaffer, Ramanathan Kadirvel, David F. Kallmes, and John M. Wainwright

ABSTRACT

SUMMARY: The clinical use of flow diverters for the treatment of intracranial aneurysms has rapidly grown. Consequently, the market and technology for these devices has also grown. Clinical performance characteristics of the flow diverter are well-known to the clinician. However, the engineering design principles behind how these devices achieve ideal clinical performance are less understood. This primer will summarize flow diverter design parameters for neurointerventionalists with the aim of promoting collaboration between clinicians and engineers.

ABBREVIATIONS: DFT = drawn filled tubing; FD = flow diverter; ID = inner diameter; PPI = pics-per-inch

During the past decade, flow diverters (FDs) have grown into a standard endovascular approach for the treatment of intracranial aneurysms. FDs have demonstrated excellent safety profiles and aneurysm occlusion rates in the clinic. Consequently, several FDs have achieved market approval, and many more devices are in development. While the clinical performance characteristics of FDs are well-known to the clinician, how the FD engineer achieves successful device performance is less well-understood. This primer will outline the basic FD design parameters available to the engineer and describe how their selection influences the characteristics of device performance for the practicing interventionalist.

Ideal FD

The generic term “flow diverter” describes devices that cover the aneurysm/parent artery interface to achieve aneurysm occlusion. Initially after placement, the device likely causes some degree of “diversion” of flow into the parent artery that otherwise would have entered the aneurysm, and the term itself is nonspecific and likely no more valid than terms such as “flow disruptor.” However, with time, tissue will grow over the aneurysm neck and the aneurysm will become occluded, and ultimately, the end goal is that all flow will be diverted away from the aneurysm sac. Furthermore, there are both intraluminal and intrasaccular flow diversion devices; this review will focus exclusively on the former type.

In general, FDs are braided or woven stents deployed in the parent artery over the neck of the aneurysm. These devices aim to divert most of the blood flow past the aneurysm, resulting in blood stagnation and coagulation within the aneurysm sac. This diversion of flow with resultant intra-aneurysmal blood stasis theoretically forms a thrombus plug within the aneurysm cavity, hindering blood flow into the aneurysm. In the meantime, the device serves as a scaffold for endothelialization and neointimal growth over the aneurysm neck, ultimately occluding the aneurysm from blood flow. Overall, the ideal FD maximizes ease of deployment and aneurysm occlusion while minimizing the risk of complications.

Several interrelated FD performance characteristics contribute to clinical efficacy. The ideal FD maximizes stagnation of blood flow within the aneurysm sac as well as rapid endothelialization and neointimal growth over the aneurysm neck. The major design goals are listed here briefly and then discussed in detail below in relation to the engineering principles underlying design choices. First, optimized FD apposition to the parent artery wall after delivery benefits both saccular blood stagnation and endothelialization. Additionally, tight FD wall apposition can reduce the risk of thrombus formation between the wires of the device and the arterial wall, which could lead to the blockage of perforator arteries and stenosis. Better FD wall apposition can be achieved by improving the flexibility of the device and, in some
cases, the radial opening force (or chronic outward force) of the device, which is the force exerted by the device expanding outward on the artery wall. In straighter vessels, increased opening force theoretically pushes the wires tighter to the vessel wall. However, in tortuous anatomies, device flexibility may be more advantageous than radial opening force for maintaining wall apposition. Therefore, wall apposition is ultimately the result of numerous factors. Second, the ideal FD minimizes the risk of complications such as thromboembolism induced within the lumen of the device or the occlusion of perforator arteries adjacent to the aneurysm. Third, the ideal FD eases delivery for the interventionalist.

FDs that can be deployed through smaller inner diameter (ID) microcatheters are advantageous for advancing the microcatheter through the tortuous cerebrovasculature and may allow their advancement to more distal aneurysms. The current benchmark for FD delivery microcatheters is an ID of \(0.027\) inches, and the ideal FD should be deployed through a microcatheter this size or smaller. Reduced delivery force, which we are defining as the force required to track the FD through the lumen of the microcatheter, also eases delivery for the interventionalist. The ideal FD achieves suitable wall apposition as easily as possible for the interventionalist. Last, the FD needs to achieve a suitable degree of radiopacity to allow fluoroscopically guided delivery without compromising its MR imaging safety or inducing excessive CT or MR imaging artifacts, which may preclude noninvasive follow-up imaging.

**FD Design Parameters**

Generally, FDs are constructed by braiding a set of 36–96 wires around a mandrel and heat-treating them to lock in the shape. The mandrel is then removed, leaving a metal mesh tube that is cut to the desired length. The device may then be further processed by securing the loose wire ends, adding radiopaque markers, and/or treating the device surface. Finally, the device is loaded into its delivery system. While the general construction of FDs is simple in concept, there are many FD design parameters, including the wire diameter, the number of wires in the braid, the selection of wire material, and others, which all contribute to device performance. The selection of 1 design parameter may positively impact one FD performance characteristic while negatively impacting another. The FD engineer is tasked with selecting parameters to find a balance that optimizes the performance characteristics that the treating interventionalists find most important. The relationships between FD design parameters and effects on performance are summarized in Fig 1.

**FD Braid Geometry**

There are many FD braid geometry design parameters available for the engineer to tune. The first is the diameter of the individual wires within the braid. Increasing the wire diameter increases the overall strength of the device, improving the opening force and radial crush resistance. However, this improved opening force comes at the cost of increased delivery force and may require a larger ID microcatheter for delivery. A larger wire diameter may be associated with delayed endothelialization and the risk of thromboembolism, as is the case for much larger coronary stent struts. However, this relationship is yet to be extensively studied in the FD application. Market-approved FDs typically have wire diameters in the \(18–35\ \mu\text{m}\) (\(0.0007–0.001\) inch) range.

Another design parameter is the number of wires within the braid. As with the wire diameter, increasing the number of wires
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**Fig 1.** The relationship between FD design parameters and resulting performance characteristics. Green and red arrows indicate a beneficial or detrimental relationship, respectively, in terms of device performance and safety. Black horizontal arrows indicate either an independent or multifactorial relationship between the design parameters and performance characteristics. A. Improved opening force assumes that the wires within the braid do not plastically deform after being crimped in their microcatheters, allowing complete device re-expansion. B, Wall apposition is complex and depends on many variables, including the tortuosity of the parent vessel.
within the braid will increase the opening force\textsuperscript{13} of the FD at the cost of delivery force, potentially requiring a larger ID microcatheter. For example, the larger diameters of the Pipeline Vantage (Medtronic) contain 64 wires and are deployed through a 0.027-inch ID microcatheter, whereas the smaller diameters contain 48 wires and can be deployed through a 0.021-inch ID microcatheter.\textsuperscript{14} Incorporating more wires into the braid has been shown to be beneficial for promoting endothelialization across the aneurysm neck.\textsuperscript{15} However, more wires may also be associated with a greater risk of perforator artery occlusion and thromboembolism.\textsuperscript{16,17} Market-approved FDs typically contain 48–64 wires.\textsuperscript{2} A similar FD design parameter is pics-per-inch (PPI), which is defined as the number of wire crossings per inch along the length of the device. PPI can be increased by increasing the number of wires in the braid and can also be adjusted by altering the angle at which the wires are braided, as illustrated in Fig 2.\textsuperscript{11,13} When one views the FD from the longitudinal view, if the braid angle is defined as the angle between the wires and the axial axis of the stent (as defined in Fig 2), increasing the braid angle increases the PPI.\textsuperscript{11,13} A higher PPI results in FDs with increased opening force and can improve device conformity, ultimately resulting in improved wall apposition.\textsuperscript{11,13} However, the increased density of wires associated with increased PPI may also increase the delivery force and require a larger-ID microcatheter. Similar to increasing the number of wires within the braid, a higher PPI promotes aneurysm occlusion and endothelialization across the aneurysm neck but may increase the risk of perforator artery occlusion and thromboembolism.\textsuperscript{16–18}

Oversizing of FDs is another design parameter or option available to the interventionalist when selecting a device. Devices may be constructed so that their nominal diameter, resulting from the mandrel diameter around which the wires were braided, is larger than the diameter of their intended parent artery. Oversizing was initially motivated to improve wall apposition and opening force. However, in vitro fluid dynamics studies have demonstrated that oversizing causes alterations to device geometry such as the angle between the wires and pore or cell size, resulting in higher blood flow within the aneurysm sac.\textsuperscript{19} Consequently, oversizing has been correlated with lower rates of aneurysm occlusion in the clinic.\textsuperscript{20} Foreshortening of the device also needs to be considered when selecting an FD size. FDs that are overexpanded in the radial direction will end up becoming shorter. Conversely, FDs will become longer than anticipated when deployed in arteries with much smaller diameters than the device.\textsuperscript{21}

Multiple layers of wire braids may also be used when constructing FDs. Increasing the number of layers theoretically increases the opening force but may require larger-ID microcatheters. The primary motivation for adding layers is to “artificially” increase the PPI and improve the flow diversion function by depositing more wires over the aneurysm neck. Multiple layers may result in increased blood stagnation within the aneurysm sac and more rapid tissue growth across the device and aneurysm neck.\textsuperscript{22} However, stacked wires increase their extension into the lumen of the parent vessel, worsening the risk of thromboembolism and the rate at which wires apposed to the artery wall become endothelialized.\textsuperscript{16,22} Furthermore, additional layers may create malapposition between the layers or more disruption of the endothelium through additional device deployments. The Flow-Redirection Endoluminal Device (FRED) (MicroVention) has a unique design with a larger wire weave on the outside, similar to that of the LVIS Stent (MicroVention), and the braided FD on the inside.\textsuperscript{2} On a related note, the use of multi-layer single-layer devices deployed telescopically over the aneurysm neck has also been shown to increase the rate of thromboembolic complications.\textsuperscript{24}

Porosity, pore density, and the percentage of metal coverage are classic FD metrics that are ultimately a result of its selected design parameters.\textsuperscript{25} Porosity is defined as the percentage area of the device wall not covered by wires. Conversely, percentage metal coverage is defined as the percentage area of the device wall covered by wires; both are less specific because thicker wires or more wire density can result in higher-percentage metal coverage or lower porosity.\textsuperscript{25} Pore density is defined as the number of open cells or pores within the FD wall per area and is more specific.\textsuperscript{25} These characteristics will determine how well the device diverts blood flow away from the aneurysm and stagnates blood within it.\textsuperscript{26} Increasing the number of wires within the braid, PPI, and number of layers will decrease porosity and increase pore density and percentage metal coverage.\textsuperscript{11,13} Another consideration is the curvature of the parent vessel. For a given device, when deployed in a curved segment, the porosity will be greater in regions of the FD near the outer edge of the curve.\textsuperscript{27} Porosity and pore density of market-approved FDs are typically in the range of 50%–70% and 13–30 pores/mm\textsuperscript{2}, respectively.\textsuperscript{27}

**Material Selection**

The material selected to construct the wires has a notable impact on overall FD performance due to a variety of often interrelated constitutive properties. As an illustration, consider 1 material property taken in the design of FD appliances: the elastic modulus (also known as the Young modulus), which is how much the material resists elastic deformation per unit of strain or simply considered as elastic stiffness. The connection of the elastic
modulus to overall device stiffness and performance is not straightforward because of other influencing factors. For example, structural stiffness depends more on wire diameter and braid parameters than the elastic modulus. Furthermore, other phenomena, such as local yielding, and elastic strain limits can dramatically change the actual device response, depending on boundary conditions.

Materials with a higher elastic modulus require a greater amount of energy to deform but will then release a greater amount of energy when springing back to their original shape (imagine a stiff spring verses a Slinky). Conversely, materials with a high elastic modulus usually show lower elastic strain limits, which can reduce size recovery, or the diameter the device is able to re-expand after being cramped into a catheter. Consequently, FDs composed of wires with a higher elastic modulus may exhibit a higher opening force or lose force before apposing because of inadequate size recovery and may require larger-ID microcatheters to compensate. Cobalt-nickel-chromium alloys have been optimized to increase the elastic modulus and, therefore, are a commonly selected FD wire material. The Pipeline Embolization Device (PED; Medtronic) and the Surpass Evolve (Stryker) are composed primarily of cobalt alloy wires.2

Another critical material property is the elastic strain limit, which is defined as how much the material can be deformed before experiencing a permanent alteration to its shape. In the FD application, a higher elastic strain limit allows devices to be cramped to smaller diameters and bent around tighter curves while still maintaining the ability to self-expand back to their original intended deployment shape. This feature is advantageous for navigating to more distal aneurysms where the parent arteries are smaller in diameter and more tortuous.20 Nickel titanium (nitinol) alloys have been optimized to increase the elastic strain limit via phase transformation and its associated superelasticity and, therefore, are also a commonly used FD wire material. FRED and p64 (phenox) are composed primarily of nitinol wires.2

While cobalt-nickel-chromium and nitinol represent excellent material selections based on their mechanical properties, they offer little radiopacity. Materials with relatively high radiodensity such as tantalum, platinum, or platinum-tungsten alloys are used to provide greater visibility than materials such as cobalt-nickel-chromium or nitinol to aid in fluoroscopy-guided delivery. All market-approved FDs feature some sort of radiopaque elements within their construction. For example, the PED and Surpass Evolve feature platinum-tungsten wires within their braid, whereas the FRED features tantalum radiopaque markers attached at either end of the device as well as unifying tantalum wires between the layers.2 Another approach to convey radiopacity is the use of drawn filled tubing (DFT) wires. DFT wires contain an inner core wire, typically composed of radiopaque platinum or tantalum, surrounded by an outer layer of nitinol or a cobalt-nickel-chromium alloy. FDs constructed from DFT wires aim to provide uniform radiopacity along the entire device length, while still taking advantage of the favorable mechanical properties of nitinol or cobalt-nickel-chromium alloys. Silk Vista Baby (Balt) and DERIVO FD (Acandis) are examples of CE-approved FDs constructed from nitinol outer/platinum inner DFT wires.2 The Pipeline Vantage is constructed from cobalt alloy outer/platinum inner DFT wires.14 Tables 1 and 2 summarize the material properties and examples of market-approved FDs constructed by the conventional FD materials.

### Surface Modifications

Modifying the surface of FDs is another approach to improve device performance. The surface of the wires may be electrically, chemically, or mechanically altered or coated to produce a beneficial effect. Surface modifications aim to reduce thrombogenicity, increase endothelialization, and/or act as lubricants to reduce delivery force. Several market-approved FDs have specific surface modifications intended to improve clinical efficacy, and FD surface modifications remain a hot topic of research.

Newer iterations of the PED feature Shield Technology (Medtronic), which is a phosphorylcholine layer deposited on the wire surfaces intended to reduce thrombogenicity and increase endothelialization. In vitro studies have demonstrated reduced thrombogenicity relative to an uncoated PED.23 In vivo studies have demonstrated lower thrombogenicity and a more rapid endothelialization due to the coating.14,29 The DERIVO FD wires are treated to form surface oxides and oxynitrides, termed BlueXide, which is intended to reduce friction/delivery force and thrombogenicity.30 The newer iteration of FRED, FRED X, features a poly (2-methacryloyl acrylate) coating, which has been shown to reduce thrombogenicity in vitro.31 A newer iteration of the p48, p48_HPC (phenox), features an antithrombogenic glycan-based hydrophilic coating that has demonstrated promising results in a 5-patient cohort of unruptured aneurysms treated with single antiplatelet therapy.32

### Future Directions

As the clinical use of FDs has become more popular, the intensity with which FD technology is being researched and developed has also become more popular. The growing body of clinical FD performance data can be leveraged in the design of next-generation devices. Computational hemodynamic approaches are being applied to better understand the optimal FD design parameters for saccular blood stagnation and aneurysm occlusion.33 Active surface coatings are being engineered to impart therapeutic effects. For example, nitric oxide–releasing coatings show promise in reducing thrombogenicity and increasing endothelialization.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alloy</th>
<th>Elastic Modulus</th>
<th>Elastic Limit</th>
<th>Market-Approved FD Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt-nickel-chromium</td>
<td>~120–240 GPa(^a)</td>
<td>~0.5 to 1.5%(^a)</td>
<td>PED, PED Flex, PED Shield, PED Vantage, Surpass Streamline (Stryker), Surpass Evolve(^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitinol</td>
<td>~40–10 GPa(^a)</td>
<td>~6%–12%(^a)</td>
<td>FRED, FRED Jr, FRED X, Silk, Silk+, p64, Tubridge(^2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\)Use due consideration in applying values for modeling because the Young modulus and elastic limit (pseudoelastic for nitinol) can vary widely due to process-structure texture and strength outcomes. Bookends given here are realistic possible outcomes dependent on the supplier production path and target outcomes.
Table 2: Properties of radiopaque materials used in market-approved FDs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radiopaque Materials</th>
<th>Radiopacity (Density)a</th>
<th>Market-Approved FD Examples</th>
<th>Radiopaque Element Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Platinum-tungsten</td>
<td>~19.75 g/cm³</td>
<td>PED, PED Flex, PED Shield, Surpass Streamline, Surpass Evolve</td>
<td>12 Platinum-tungsten wires incorporated into the overall braid²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tantalum</td>
<td>16.65 g/cm³</td>
<td>FRED, FRED Jr, FRED X</td>
<td>Interwoven tantalum strands between braided layers and 4 markers on flared ends of device²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platinum</td>
<td>21.45 g/cm³</td>
<td>Silk, Silk+, p64, Tubridge</td>
<td>Silk features 4 platinum wire strands with flared ends. Silk+ features 8 platinum wires and 4 platinum coils at device ends.¹ The p64 features 2 platinum wires wrapped along the length of the device and 8 markers at the device end.¹² Tubridge features 2 platinum strands²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitinol outer, platinum inner DFT</td>
<td>~6 g/cm³ for nitinol; 21.45 g/cm³ for platinum</td>
<td>Silk Vista, Silk Vista Baby, p48MW (phenox), p48_HPC, DERIVO</td>
<td>48 Braided DFT wires. DERIVO features 3 additional markers on the device end²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt-nickel-chromium outer, platinum inner DFT</td>
<td>~8.5 g/cm³ for cobalt-nickel-chromium; 21.45 g/cm³ for platinum</td>
<td>Pipeline Vantage</td>
<td>48 or 64 Braided DFT wires¹⁴</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Density is given as a rough proxy for radiopacity in lieu of the lack of corroborative data for these materials and geometries by a consistent approach. Future studies, outside the present scope, may focus on quantitative radiopacity comparison as a function of material, geometry, filtering materials, and imaging parameters. Such a study could be used to aid in the design of future devices.

of vascular scaffolds.³⁴ Heparin or other anticoagulant-containing coatings may also reduce thrombogenicity.³⁵ Proteins may be attached to device surfaces to facilitate endothelial cell capture and proliferation.³⁶ Biodegradable FDs are also under development.³⁷ These devices are intended to dissolve after healing the aneurysm, mitigating or eliminating complications associated with the permanent presence of conventional FDs.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Most practicing interventionalists gain their understanding of FD performance on the basis of their clinical experience. Choosing an FD may be related to personal preference and the availability of FDs at a given medical center. Furthermore, certain devices have only achieved market approval in specific geographic regions. For example, the PED, Surpass, and FRED are the 3 FDs currently available in the United States; Silk, p64, and DERIVO are from European companies with CE approval, and Tubridge (MicroPort) has National Medical Products Administration approval in China.² The geographic availability influences the popularity of certain devices in certain regions. Each FD features a unique design resulting in its own set of advantages and disadvantages. A more comprehensive understanding of the relationship between engineering design principles and clinical performance may help practicing interventionalists navigate the rapidly growing FD market. Furthermore, we believe this improved understanding can promote collaboration between clinicians and engineers, ultimately accelerating the development of FD technology.

Disclosure forms provided by the authors are available with the full text and PDF of this article at www.ajnr.org.
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